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A previously unexplored encounter: the English judiciary, carte de visite and photography as a form of mass media.

Leslie J Moran*

Abstract

Studies exploring the link between the representation of judges, photography and mass media tend to focus on the appearance of cameras in courtrooms and the reproduction of the resulting photographs in the press at the beginning of the 20th century. But more than 50 years separates these developments from the birth of photography, in the late 1830's. This study examines a previously unexplored encounter between the English judiciary and photography that began in the 1860's. The pictures where known as ‘carte de visite’. They were the first type of photographic image capable of being mass produced. It’s a form of photography that for a period of almost 20 years attracted a frenzy of interest. Drawing upon a number of archives, including the library of Lincoln’s Inn, London’s National Portrait Gallery and my own personal collection this article has two objectives. The first is to examine the carte portraits of senior members of the judiciary that were produced during that time. What appears within the frame of this new form of portraiture? Of particular interest is the impact the chemical and technological developments that come together in carte photographs had on what appears within the frame of judicial portraits. The second objective is to examine the manner in which they were displayed. This engages a commonplace of scholarship on portraiture; the location and mode of display shape the meaning of what lies within the frame of the picture. Carte portraits were produced with a particular display in mind: the album. They were to be viewed not in isolation but as part of an assemblage of portraits. Few albums survive. Those that do offer a rare opportunity to examine the way carte portraits of judges were used and the meanings they generated through their display. Three albums containing carte portraits of judges will be considered.

Introduction

Studies that explore the link between the representation of judges, photography and mass media tend to focus on the appearance of cameras in courtrooms and the reproduction of the resulting photographs in the press. But as Keller (1991/2011) notes there is a considerable gap, more than 50 years, separating the birth of
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† For example see Mulcahy in this volume and (Mulcahy, 2017; Nead, 2002 and Rubin, 2008). Others have explored the links between judges and photography in the 19th century in the context of the reception of photographs in legal disputes. That research has focused on the evidential qualities of photography. See (Mnookin, 1998).
photography, in the late 1830’s, and the technological developments that led to the mass production and circulation of photographic images via newspapers at the end of the 19th century. What, if anything, was happening during the intervening period? The argument presented here is that photography as a form of mass media was having an impact upon the production and consumption of representations of the English judiciary from the early 1860’s. This was brought about by the invention of a form of photographic picture known as ‘carte de visite’. One goal of this article is to consider the impact this particular type of photography had on what appears within the frame of these portraits of judges. The second goal is to explore the way they were consumed and displayed. As various scholars have noted the meaning of pictures is not solely derived from what lies within the frame. Their meaning also shaped by practices of curation and the mode and location of their display (Pointon, 1993). Known as ‘album cards’, carte portraits were produced with a particular display in mind: individual portraits were integrated into a larger collection of cartes in an album. Album making and album gazing were central to the experience of carte portraiture (Perry, 2012, p. 741). Few albums survive. After introducing the carte album and the practices of consumption and curation associated with this mode of display three albums containing carte portraits of senior members of the English judiciary will be considered. The objective is to examine the place they occupy in these three displays and to consider the meanings generated through their use.

The project draws upon carte portraits in a number of archives. The library of one of the Inns of Court in London, Lincoln’s Inn, has a collection of over 400 carte portraits. Many of the sitters are judges. All the cartes in this collection are linked to albums, the majority of which are intact. Two will be considered below. Another source is London’s National Portrait Gallery (NPG). It has an extensive collection of carte portraits. A search of the NPG catalogue for portraits of senior English judges in post 1860 and the 1880’s generates numerous carte portraits. In many cases they are the only photographic portraits of the judicial sitters in the gallery’s collection. In several cases there are multiple carte portraits of the same sitter in slightly different poses all from the same studio, produced at the same time. The NPG collection also includes an album that contains a number of carte portraits of judges. It is catalogued as, ‘The Tichborne Claimant Trial Album: cartes-de-visite by

---

2 Others include state law officers (Attorney General and Solicitor General) as well as barristers, many of which are Queen’s Counsel.
3 The Inn has a total of five albums. In one instance the album has been lost but the album’s collection is preserved, stored in a box.
various photographers, 1860s-1870s’ (Unknown, undated).\(^5\) The research also draws on my own collection of carte portraits purchased via eBay.

Before embarking upon the study of these photographic portraits a brief introduction, using existing research, provides some background about their production and consumption. A number of issues will be highlighted. The first is the factors that come together in the carte format thereby turning photography into a mass media phenomenon. The second is their impact on the production of portraiture. The focus then shifts to examine their distribution and consumption. As most readers are likely to be unfamiliar with carte de visite pictures I start with some basics.

Carte de visite; the basics

\(\text{Figure 1. The Honorable Mr Justice Brett, Court of Common Pleas. He was appointed to the post of judge in the Court of Common Pleas in 1868. With the reform of the higher courts in 1875 he became a judge of the Common Pleas Division of the High Court. In 1883 he was appointed to the post of Master of the Rolls. The caption on the carte suggests this photograph dates from before the 1875 reforms.}

The portrait of ‘The Hon Justice Brett Court of Common Pleas’ (Figure 1)\(^6\) is an

\(^5\) Other archives with albums that contain carte portraits of English judges are to be found in a variety of locations for example the State Libraries of New South Wales and South Australia, the John Rathbone Oliver Criminological Collection of the Harvard Medical Library and the US National Library of Congress.

\(^6\) Another copy of this carte portrait is in the National Portrait Gallery’s collection of nine portraits of this sitter. Six of the nine portraits are photographs three of which are carte portraits. The others include a pen and ink sketch, a photogravure print of a painted portrait and a chromolithograph cartoon. See William Baliol Brett, 1st Viscount Esher available at [https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/portrait/mw243853/William-Baliol-Brett-1st-Viscount-Esher?LinkID=mp86064&search=sas&sText=Brett&OOnly=true&role=sit&rNo=0](https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/portrait/mw243853/William-Baliol-Brett-1st-Viscount-Esher?LinkID=mp86064&search=sas&sText=Brett&OOnly=true&role=sit&rNo=0)
example of a carte de visite portrait of a judge. The name ‘carte de visite’ is in part a reference to the size of the picture. Measuring approximately 89 mm x 58 mm (3 1/2 in. x 2 1/4 in) carte photographs are about the size of a visiting card. The photographic paper print is mounted on card. The barely visible difference between the surface levels of the print and the mount reveals the thinness of the print. In this case a red graphic line printed onto the card frames the print. This example also includes a caption; the sitter is named by reference to his institutional position. Viewing them today their small size, everyday materials and less than perfect production qualities, suggests an object that is at best a cheap historical curiosity rather than a technological marvel and an innovation that was a cultural sensation.

In common with many carte portraits the name of the studio that produced the picture is printed below the photograph. In this case ‘Stereoscopic Coy’ is an abbreviated reference to the ‘The London Stereoscopic and Photographic Company’. It had studios in two of London’s primary retail locations; Cheapside in the City and Regent’s street in the West End (Moran 2017). The company’s brand is on the reverse side. In this case it is made up of a variety of symbols; of royal patronage, high culture (medallions incorporating Greco-Roman iconography) and technological innovation (‘Sole Photographers to the International Exhibition 1862’). Woven together by delicate tracery the branding connects social, cultural and technological values to the studio name and this particular type of portrait.

Carte portraits do not include a date. But the reference to the Court of Common Pleas in the caption, the court didn’t survive the 1875 reforms of the High Court of England and Wales and the branding which was in use only between 1873 and 1878 suggest it dates from sometime between 1873-5.

**Innovations that changed photography**

‘Carte de visite’ is a type of photographic picture made possible by the combination of two developments; one in chemistry, the other in the technology of camera optics. The albumen print process was a development in the chemistry of photography that enabled the production of the first cheap and relatively easy to use, commercially viable method of producing a photographic print from a negative plate on to paper (Stulik and Kaplan, 2013). In 1854 a multiple lens camera was patented by an enterprising French photographer Andre Adolphe Eugene Disdéri (McCauley, 1985). Different lenses could be opened to the light at different times to capture the sitter in a variety of poses on a single negative in a single sitting. Together these developments enabled the production of a portrait at a fraction of the cost of any other method of portraiture (McCauley, 1985, p. 27). The repeated use of the negative allowed for the speedy production of multiple copies of the same quality. In 2016.

(indices accessed 15 March 2018). Other carte portraits of him are to be found in the Lincoln’s Inn collection.

7 No reference is made to the artist/photographer who made the picture.
8 For more information on the studio’s branding Anon 2016.
their combination these factors worked to produce a form of photograph capable of being mass produced.

The carte photograph was introduced into England in 1857. In the decade that followed there was a frenzy of production and consumption. 19th century commentators invented new terms to describe it; ‘carteomania’ and ‘cardomania’ (Teukolsky, 2015). One estimate is that between three and four hundred million cartes were sold in England between 1862-1866 (Darrah, 1981, p. 4). In part this was driven by people using relatively small amounts of their disposable income to commission carte portraits of themselves and other family members; the primary market. But it was also driven by studio led initiatives to produce cartes of sitters for sale to the public; the secondary market. These mass produced portraits of noteworthy individuals for sale to the public were not only displayed in street level showcases and window displays of the studios (Hargreaves, 2001, p. 43; Moran, 2017) but could also be bought in other outlets such as fine art shops, stationary supply stores and booksellers. Prices varied from a shilling to one and sixpence depending on the fame of the sitter.

Figure 2. Carte de visite portrait of Sir Alexander James Edmund Cockburn by F. R. Window studio 63A Baker Street. Circa 1873. The sitter held the office of Chief Justice from 1859-1880.

The carte portrait of Sir Alexander James Edmund Cockburn who held the office of Chief Justice from 1859-1880 has no caption. He is dressed in civilian clothing, rather than robes of office. Is this a portrait commissioned by him for personal use or one that was also made for sale to the public as a result of the studio’s own initiative for sale to the public? With regard to carte portraits of sitters who were well known public figures such as Cockburn that it is now difficult if not impossible to differentiate between those produced for the primary and secondary markets (Perry 2012, p.
In the early days of production the two markets were closely connected. Studios were proactive in offering this new form of portraiture to eminent and celebrated individuals. The resulting portraits were offered to the sitter for their personal use and at the same time the studio gained a right to produce copies for sale to the public. Plunkett’s (2003a) study of the English copyright records during the first ten years of production discovered that in one year alone, 1866, 44 carte portraits of Queen Victoria, 77 of the Prince of Wales, the heir to the throne, and 70 of Princess Alexandra of Denmark, the Prince’s young wife, were produced for sale by studios. Hargreaves (2001, p. 45) estimates that between 1860 and 1862 up to four million cartes of Queen Victoria were sold to the public. While many carte portraits of the Queen include a caption referencing her title not all of them do. Inviting and encouraging eminent and celebrated people to use this new product was a marketing strategy; a way of raising the profile of a new product to grow a market for it.

There is evidence that carte portraits of judges were made for sale to the public. A catalogue entitled, ‘Carte de Visite Portraits of the Royal Family Eminent and Celebrated Persons’ dated January 12th 1866 lists the cartes available for purchase from S. B. Beal a ‘Photographic and Fine Art Dealer’ based in the City of London (Beal, 1866). The length and diversity of the list of sitters in the catalogue offers some evidence of what Hacking describes (2010, p. 871) as the zealous pursuit by studios of established members of the elite and other contemporary eminent and famous people for purposes of their commercial exploitation. Common to all is public visibility linked to public recognition, reputation or significance, what van Krieken (2012) describes as people with attention capital. This is linked to the sitter’s ‘well-knownness’, or ‘renown’ (Kornmeier, 2008, p. 278). The appearance of senior judicial office holders, Lord Chancellors, Chief Justices and Justices of the High Courts, appear in this catalogue is testament to their public visibility which was being commodified and resold in the market for carte portraits. The appearance of multiple carte portraits of judges who were in post in the 1860’s and 70’s in the NPG collection suggests that many studios were involved in producing carte portraits of senior judges. As members of an eminent group in society it is perhaps no surprise that the portraits of at least some them appeared in the long lists of cartes for sale to the public. With all these points in mind I now want to turn to consider what appears within the frame of these portraits.

While portraits make up the majority of the cartes a minority are of other subjects including landscapes, ancient and venerated buildings. Another group are pictorial representations of popular sentiments (No. 502, ‘The wolf and the lamb’, No. 798 ‘Many a fellah it a fool and doth‘nt know it’.)
Carte portraits of judges: What appears within the frame?


Figure 4. Rt. Hon. Lord Selborne. The studio logo on the back of the CdV is in a style used by the studio between 1880 and 1885. He held that office for a second time from 1880-1885. He is shown here in judicial robes worn by a Lord Chancellor.

The cartes figures 1, 3 and 4 all come from one of the most prolific studios; the London Stereoscopic and Photograph Company. Like those that appear in the
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10 The frequency with which carte portraits of senior judges in the NPG collection are from this studio offers some evidence of that studio’s determination to exploit the attention capital of judges for profit. For all the studio’s carte portraits in that collection see London Stereoscopic & Photographic Company (active 1854-1922) [link](https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/person?sort=dateAsc&LinkID=mp16395&search=)
Beal catalogue, all are judges in the highest courts. Justice Brett (figure 1) was a judge in the Court of Common Pleas. Figure 3 ‘The Lord Chief Justice’ is a portrait of, Sir Alexander James Edmund Cockburn that dates from between 1873-1875.11 ‘Rt Hon Lord Selbourne’ (figure 4) was Lord Chancellor, at the time the head of the judiciary, for two periods, 1872-1874 and 1880-1885.12

While there is some variation in composition all three have much in common. Backdrops are plain, props and furnishings are largely absent. The focus is the body of the sitter. However, much of the detail of the sitter’s body is missing. All have a compositional preoccupation with the display of ceremonial regalia. The body functions more as a surface for the display of the symbols of the office of judge: the wig, robes and ornaments. If the upper body compositions of the carte portraits of Selborne (figure 4) and to a lesser extent, of Brett (figure 1) give greater prominence to the judicial face, the full bottom wig frames the face obscuring much of the detail of the head. The carte portraits of judges in Lincoln’s Inn and the NPG collection suggest that far from being a composition unique to the London Stereoscopic company it was pervasive.

Art historians have identified these compositional characteristics as ones associated with a particular style of portraiture; state portraiture. Jenkins defines state portraits as representations of rulers or their deputies (Jenkins 1947).13 Judges, as the Sovereign’s organ of justice are easily accommodated within the parameters of the phrase ‘rulers or their deputies’. State portraits have a specific purpose, foregrounding the qualities and characteristics of the office rather than the personality and the character of the individual office holder. The individual is represented as their very embodiment (Jenkins 1947, p. 1). As such it is a form of state portraiture.

---

11 Prior to 1880 there were three ‘Chief Justice’ posts. Cockburn held two of them; Chief Justice of Common Pleas (1856-59) then Chief Justice of Queen’s Bench. The reforms of the court structure that began in 1875 did not result in a single Chief Justice until after Cockburn’s death in 1880. The Carte portraits show him when Chief Justice of Queen’s Bench. The NPG has 11 portraits of him in its collection All are dated as being produced during the period 1860-1880. The majority of these, six portraits including a copy of the one from my collection reproduced here, are Carte portraits. All dated ‘circa 1873’ showing him in his ceremonial robes, were produced by one studio, the London Stereoscopic Company. Two other two Carte portraits show him in civilian clothing. The remaining portraits, all of which show Cockburn in his robes of office, are made using a variety of other methods; a painted portrait, a black and white mezzotint, a chromolithograph caricature. All incorporate captions; ‘The Lord Chief Justice’. See Sir Alexander James Edmund Cockburn, 12th Bt (1802-1880), Judge, National Portrait Gallery, London, https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/person/mp00944/sir-alexander-james-edmund-cockburn-12th-bt (accessed 26 January 2018).

12 The branding on the back links this portrait to the latter period. See note 4 above for more on the NPG collection of portraits of this sitter.

13 See also Kantorowicz, 1957.
portraiture that resorts to special methods of handling the sitter, using distinctive aesthetic codes designed to give visual form to a particular set of institutional attributes, characteristics, qualities, particularly concerned with social and political rank. Full and three quarter body poses dominate. The face takes up a relatively small area of the portrait’s surface. Backgrounds are monotone or loosely figured offering little to distract the viewer’s eye from the symbols of office displayed on the sitter’s body. All the carte examples shown here suggest the long aesthetic tradition of state portraits used in making painted portraits of judges was incorporated into the portraits of judges made through the new medium of carte photography.14

The portrait of Cockburn (figure 2) in which he appears in civilian clothing also dates from the early1870’s.15 There are no traces of ceremonial judicial regalia. His pose is less formal, sitting adjacent a small domestic writing desk on which he rests his right arm. His pose and gaze, looking direct at the camera, suggest a momentary break from studying a text that lies open on the desk. Overall the composition suggests a private setting and an intimate moment. As in the other portrait of him there is little in the background to distract the eye from the sitter; the heavy, dark curtain offers a simple contrast. As noted above it is now difficult to identify if this carte was produced for the primary market alone. The appearance of a caption with his institutional title in some examples of Cockburn’s civilian portraits suggests that they may have been produced for the secondary market.16 How are we to make sense of this different carte portrait of a judge?

Plunkett’s (2003b) work on the media image of Queen Victoria and her family suggests that the informality in carte portraits should not necessarily be read as antithetical to the portrayal of an institutional authority figure. He notes that a key feature of Queen Victoria’s multimedia engagement in general and her use of carte portraits in particular is that they contain no trace of the usual royal regalia. Costumes, props, are those of everyday bourgeoisie domestic respectability and poses are informal (Perry 2012 p.729). While the adoption of this style of self fashioning and self presentation by social and political elites in their portraits

---

15 The carte portrait was made by the studio of F R Window at 63A Baker Street London. Window was attributed with the invention of a form of mass photography, the larger cabinet card, that eventually replaced the carte format. See Anon (undated a) and Anon (undated b).
16 Carte portraits similar to that in figure 2 are to be in albums in the Lincoln’s Inn collection. A cabinet card (a format that superseded carte portraits) of Cockburn dating from the same period includes an institutional caption. See Sir Alexander James Edmund Cockburn, 12th Bt, by Alexander Bassano, albumen cabinet card, 1875-1880. Available at https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/portrait/mw249766/Sir-Alexander-James-Edmund-Cockburn-12th-Bt?LinkID=mp00944&role=sit&rNo=6 (accessed 23 April 2016).
predates both the invention of the carte format and the reign of Victoria\textsuperscript{17} its adoption by the royal family and its dissemination via carte portraits increased its visibility and popularity. So while the portrait of Cockburn at figure 2 is visibly very different from the long tradition of state portraiture what appears within the frame of his portrait at figure 3 the former is also legible as a portrait of an institutional figure of authority. What has changed is the adoption of the signs of bourgeois respectability to show the values and virtues of the office that the he personifies.

The appearance of many cartes of judges dressed in civilian clothing in the carte collections of Lincoln’s Inn and the NPG suggests that Lord Cockburn was not alone in his adoption of these symbols for the purpose of institutional self fashioning and self presentation. If the adoption of this more informal style of the ‘ordinary bourgeois subject’ had the potential for long established institutional elites to disappear from view, because they look like any other bourgeois subject, it was also evidence of their re-formation as the legitimate authority within a rapidly changing society.

To stop here in the analysis of what lies within the frame would be premature. One thing that all these carte portraits of judges have in common is that they exhibit what contemporary commentators described as the failings of this type of portraiture (Perry 2012, p. 730). One of the ‘failures’ is linked to the capacity of the technological innovations to capture the physicality of the sitter in sometimes unflattering and idiosyncratic detail. For example the robes of both Justice Brett and the Lord Chief Justice Cockburn look rather creased and unkempt. In figure 3 Cockburn’s stiff collar appears to cut into his face. The inner lining of his wig sticks out beneath the curls. Despite the ability of the wig to hide the detail of Cockburn’s head, the camera captures the detail of the fleshy undulating surface of his face; his fleshy jowls; the wrinkles under his eyes, on the bridge of his nose, between his eyebrows. Lord Selbourne’s overbite and receding chin, Brett’s full lower lip, are other examples of facial idiosyncrasies captured in detail. The appearance of these unflattering and idiosyncratic details goes against the tendency of state portraiture to idealise and perfect the sitter. It is contrary to an aesthetics that emphasises the transcendent aspects of the sitter’s institutional personae.\textsuperscript{18}

But the numerous carte portraits of Queen Victoria and other senior members of the royal family and the buoyancy of sales of these portraits doesn’t suggest their ‘failings’ led to the conclusion that were a form of portraiture to be avoided by elite figures or shunned by consumers. Plunkett explains that royal interest was closely linked with the ability of the camera’s lens to represent the idiosyncrasies of the sitter and thereby in its capacity to humanise the subject (2003b, 68). These failings were


\textsuperscript{18} The lower production values and cheaper materials (paper and card) of carte portraiture are another dimension their ‘failure’. 
engaged as part of an initiative to modernise the representation of established institutional authority. The signs of the authority figure’s humanity were an antidote to the symbols and aesthetic traditions linked to forms of aristocratic authority. They were signs associated with the growing power of the urban bourgeoisie. Plunkett’s study of Queen Victoria suggests that it is important not to forget that the undulating surface of the face with all its idiosyncrasies functions as part of the symbolic assemblage that is within the frame. The veracity of the representation produces symbols that link the authenticity of the representation to the institutional virtues the sitter embodies, such as proximity, openness and transparency.

The informality of costume and composition of the figure 2 carte portrait of Cockburn put on display a break with the conventions of portraying an established authority figure. But cartes that apparently reproduce the aesthetic conventions of state portraiture in the photographic portraits of judges also incorporate signs of change. In these portraits it takes the form of the symbols of the sitter’s ordinary fleshy humanity. Both informal and formal photographic portraits have the effect of making authority figures look more ordinary, more commonplace, more like the viewer (Perry 2012).

Before leaving what lies within the frame I want to highlight one final factor that impacts on the way the frame shapes the meaning of the picture; the size of the portrait. The small size of carte portraits is was also characterised as one of their failings. This is particularly so with portraits of elites in general and State portraits in particular: they tend to be large in scale. A judicial example is the portrait of Sir Matthew Hale Chief Justice of the King’s Bench from 1671-76. This full body portrait, is over six feet high.19 In contrast carte portraits are small: they can be held in the hand. Large scale full body portraits have long been associated with the expression of high status and social distance. Small portraits are associated social proximity and intimacy (Lloyd 2009, p. 18).

Holding the carte portrait of a senior judge in the palm of the hand provides the viewer with an experience of physical and social proximity; an intimacy with an otherwise remote subject. This is amplified by the fidelity of the picture.20 These experiences were more difficult if not impossible to achieve by other techniques of reproduction available at the time. This Plunkett suggests was part of the magic and the allure that attracted both viewers and sitters to carte portraits (Plunkett 2003a, p. 45). The ‘insinuating and sensuous realism’ (Plunkett 2003b, p. 145) is central to the viewer’s experience of mediated quasi intimacy (Thompson, 1995) with the sitter. From our current position it is difficult to imagine the magic and the shock of the viewer’s experience and the novelty of the perception of the transparency, openness

19 It measures 86 inches (216 cm) x 56 inches (142 cm). It now hangs in the Great Hall of Lincoln’s Inn.
20 One of the related inventions that accompanied the invention of the carte portrait was a machine that included a magnifying glass that allowed the viewer to get an even closer look at the sitter. See Anon (undated b).
and the truth of the judicial authority figures that were portrayed in this manner for the first time. The ability to hold these small portraits close to the body also draws attention to a commonplace of portrait scholarship is that the location of the picture and the mode of display impact on its meaning (Pointon, 1993). I now want to turn to consider in more detail how carte portraits were displayed and how the mode of display shaped the meanings of the portraits of judicial sitters.

**Judges in the album; locating the meaning**

Carte portraits were rarely viewed in isolation. When on display for sale to the public they were always presented in the shop window in multiple portrait displays (Moran 2017). People who purchased them also displayed them with other carte portraits. Cartes were displayed in an album. Di Bello (2007) describes the album as a blank container. Carte albums have a common format. Each double sided laminated card page has a number of frame mounts cut into it; the standard is four per side. Each vacant mount on the album page is an irresistible invitation to fill the space (Hargreaves, 2001, p. 47). Album making and album gazing were central to the experience of is form of portraiture (Perry 2012, p. 741).

Filling the blank spaces involved a number of activities. Some relate to the acquisition of cartes. These include shopping for new cartes (commissioning photographs or buying readymade cartes). Others include obtaining new cartes through other social encounters. For example it became fashionable to use them as calling cards; a phenomenon particularly associated with city settings (Batchen 2009, 88). A portrait assisted in authenticating identity in the relatively anonymous context of a city (McCauley 1985, p. 30). Giving and exchanging cartes also became linked to specific celebrations, such as New Year’s Day (McCauley 1985, p. 28). Negotiating swaps with other album owners was another mode of acquisition.

Other activities relate to curation; generating a system or a narrative that makes and makes sense of the display as a whole and the position of each carte within the album. Di Bello (2007) notes that the work of curation was often undertaken by women. On occasions it not only involved the arrangement of the portraits but sometimes it also involved decoration of the album’s pages (Di Bello, 2007, pp. 24-5). An example of this in an album that includes carte portraits of judges in the collection of the State Library of South Australia. It is attributed to Mary Giles and is entitled *Prominent South Australians*. Page three of the album is made up of a display of 17 portraits; all are legal professionals, several are judges. Like the other pages in the album this page has also been decorated. At the centre is the first Chief Justice of South Australia, Sir Charles Cooper. Foliage has been drawn around the edge of the card mount. Strands of foliage also tie his portrait to that of others on the

---

21 The McConnell album in the Lincoln’s Inn archive is an example of a smaller format with only one carte per page.

page in a format reminiscent of a family tree. Tumbling across the page and cavorting through the foliage is a multitude of black devils with horns and twisting pointed tails. In sharp contrast to the multicoloured butterflies and small animals that are to be found on the other pages of the album the devils that decorates the genealogical display of portraits of members of the legal establishment suggests a playful counter-narrative to the display of these eminent individuals.

Before examining the display of portraits that are to be found in the pages of the albums it is important to dwell for a short while of the external features of carte albums. Scholars have noted that a common characteristic of carte albums is the way they were designed to look like a family bible. The Victorian family bible tended to be substantial volume bound in thick tooled leather. One of its functions was to be the place in which the family’s genealogy was recorded for posterity and put on display. If in part the family bible format suggests the album was a device for putting the curator’s immediate kinship and social network on display the curatorial process was not limited to these imagined communities. Albums also provided opportunities for the curator to construct and display a network of relations that involved what Batchen describes as ‘flights of fancy and expressions of sentiment’ (2009, p. 92). In general the carte album was a vehicle for the visualisation of an imagined community and curator’s position within it (Batchen 2009, p. 91).

Viewing the album provided opportunities to identify sitters, remember them and gossip about their relationships and activities. Albums became a feature of many households from the aristocracy to the lower middle classes (Hargreaves 2001, p. 8). The three albums considered below provide opportunities to examine three different imagined communities that incorporated carte portraits of judges.

**The Effie Chitty album**

Donated to the library of Lincoln’s Inn in 2012 the name ‘Effie Chitty’ and the date ‘1900’ appear on the album’s inner binding. Effie Chitty was born in 1866. As some of the carte portraits date from around the time of her birth and the ten or so years that follow she is unlikely to have been the originator of the album. The album has many of the physical characteristics associated with the family bible format. Measuring 27cm x 20cm the binding is thick dark green leather tooled into a raised design that resembles a decorated frame. A brass clasp keeps the 48 gilt edged pages together when closed. Each frame cut into the page has a gilded line round it. The album contains 135 portraits.

Hand written captions under each portrait all of which appear to be in the same hand offer evidence of the organising system that shapes the imagined community which

---

23 Her proper name was Jessie Chitty.
24 The album also contains 11 cabinet cards. It is a format that superseded carte portraits in the 1880’s. The album also contains other items including a dried flower mounted on a card dated ‘10/Aug/62’.
the portraits visualise. The first page is made up of a double display of ‘Grandfather’ and matching ‘Grandmother’ portraits; one Chitty, the other Pollock. Page two contains a full body portrait ‘Mother C, Jessie Chitty’, (Effie’s mother). ‘Arthur J. Chitty’ and ‘Helena L Chitty’ two of Effie’s siblings, are displayed on pages two and three respectively. The album continues in this manner; ‘Grandfather’, ‘Grandmother’, ‘Mother’ ‘Aunt’, ‘Uncle’ are scattered thorough the album as a whole. Sitters cross a wide range of ages; from babies and teenagers to sitters in the final years of their life. This is very much a family album, a genealogical display.

Various family members were legal professionals including judges. Her father, Sir Joseph William Chitty, enjoyed a successful career at the Bar and was appointed a judge of the High Court in 1881 (Rigg, 2004a). Her mother, Jessie, was the daughter of an eminent judge, Sir Jonathan Frederick Pollock, who was Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer (1844-66) and his second wife Sarah Ann Amowah (Rigg, 2004b). Holborn (2013) describes the Chitty and Pollock families as two of the best known 19th century legal dynasties.

The album has only one portrait of Effie’s father, Sir Joseph William Chitty. It appears on page 6 without a caption. Showing his upper body he wears civilian clothing; a top hat, overcoat and gloves. He poses as if reading a newspaper. His portrait is adjacent to a double portrait of his parents.

The portrait of Joseph Chitty, like the others on the page, probably dates from the early 1870’s. It depicts him as the embodiment of bourgeois respectability. Portraits of him dressed in the ceremonial robes of judicial office do exist but they are not in carte format: he was not appointed to judicial office until the fashion for the carte format had been superseded. Displayed opposite his parents, its position emphasises the portrait’s family meaning and genealogical significance.

Above in the top left is a portrait of a man dressed in judicial regalia including a full bottom wig. The accompanying caption reads ‘Baron Martin (Uncle Sam)’. It blends together institutional and family position. Samuel Martin appointed to the post of judge of the Exchequer Court, ‘Baron Martin’, in 1850 where he remained until his retirement in 1874. He was uncle, ‘Uncle Sam’ to Effie Chitty by marriage. His wife

25 John Forster died in 1876; Thomas Chitty in 1878.
27 Top right is a portrait of ‘John Forster’ in civilian clothes. He was a well connected writer, literary advisor, a close friend of Charles Dickens and sometime resident of Lincoln Inn Fields. Forster began his short lived legal career in the chambers of Thomas Chitty, the father of Lord Justice Chitty. After leaving the law he remained a close family friend (Ritchey 1927, 14).
was Frances the eldest daughter of Sir Frederick Pollock and his second wife, a sister to Effie’s mother. The display blends and integrates his institutional status emphasised by the portrait’s composition into the genealogical themes of the family album.

The sitter most frequently represented in the album, appearing in eight portraits is ‘Sir Frederick Pollock’. He held high judicial office, Lord Chief Baron of the Exchequer for over twenty years, retiring two years before his death in 1870. His dominant position echoes the major role he (and his two wives) played in populating the Pollock dynasty: he was father of over twenty children. All the portraits show him as a man in his later years: he was in his eighties at the height of the popularity of carte portraits. In seven of the portraits he wears civilian clothing in a variety of poses; in some he sits, in others he stands. The remaining portrait, a cameo composition shows little more than his head in profile. He is wearing the full bottom wig and his chain of office and judicial robes are visible. His pose is one of inner reflection. With one exception the captions that accompany these portraits, ‘Grandfather’, emphasise their family meaning. Their display also visualises this. On two occasions, pages 12 and 38, his portrait adjoins one of his second wife. Page 4, a page devoted to him, is a homage to this dynastic significance, ‘4 of Grandfather’, and a reference to the curator’s position within that dynasty.

The portrait in which he wears in judicial regalia is his final appearance in the album. His retirement from judicial office in 1868 suggests the portrait predates that event making it one of the earliest portraits in the album. With the exception of the different costume, the composition is the same as a cameo portrait displayed on p.45. Both carte portraits were produced by the same studio, John and Charles Watkins. The robed cameo portrait is mounted opposite a carte of his brother, Sir George Pollock also dressed in robes of office; the military regalia associated with the position of Field Marshal. The respective captions are more formal; ‘Sir Frederick Pollock’ and ‘Field Marshall Sir George Pollock’. While the carte portrait of Sir Frederick Pollock in his judicial robes of office does stand out from the other portraits in the album like the portrait of ‘Baron Martin’ it is not out of place in a family album and a display devoted to genealogical. It is woven into it. If the multiple appearances of Sir Frederick give visual form to his dynastic significance the final cameo celebrates and adds the

28 The NPG has nine portraits of him. 3 are carte portraits. See Sir (Jonathan) Frederick Pollock, 1st Bt https://www.npg.org.uk/collections/search/portrait/mw00015/The-House-of-Commons-1833?LinkID=mp03601&search=sas&sText=Pollock&role=sit&rNo=0 (accessed 16 March 2018).
29 His second wife Sarah Ann Amowah is the second most frequently represented sitter in the album.
30 One of the portraits is a second copy of the portrait on page 12 offering evidence of the production of multiple copies. In another the chair, is the same as in the portrait on page 34, suggesting both were the product of a single sitting.
value that comes from his institutional status to the domestic dynastic community displayed in and through the album’s pages.31

‘Album 2’

Little is known about the provenance of the next album. It was donated to Lincoln’s Inn late in the 20th century by the Law Society of England and Wales. It is catalogued as, ‘Album 2’. Of similar size and style to the Effie Chitty album its 34 pages contain 91 carte portraits and two larger cabinet card portraits; some pages have empty mounts. The portraits date from the 1860’s to 1880’s.

The family organised and displayed in this album is different. It is all male. All its members are adults. The accompanying captions reference each sitter’s legal institutional position. 82 are identified as judicial office holders.32 The captions suggest that the family on display here is made out of relations between legal institutional office holders.

On closer inspection the album’s display appears to be in two parts. The first part, with 16 portraits (several of the mounts in this part are empty) runs from pages one to nine. This is followed by 66 that fill the remaining pages.

The opening page of the album stands apart. While it has the usual carte size mounts cut into its surface two larger format cabinet card portraits (108x 165 mm (4 1⁄4 by 6 1⁄2 inches), have been pasted over the original mounts. In composition they are much like the two carte portraits displayed below them and in the rest of the album. Both are upper body portraits with the head angled, one to the left the other to the right; both show the sitters wearing a bench wig dressed in black judicial robes. Each has a caption integrated into the picture; ‘Mr Justice Day’ and ‘Mr Justice Wills’. Both the format and the captions indicate these portraits post date all the others in the album: Mr Justice Day’ (John Charles Day) was appointed to that post in 1882, ‘Mr Justice Wills’ (Alfred Wills) in 1884. They also appear later (page 6) in carte portraits, looking younger, dressed in the robes and wig of a barrister. The caption under the second Wills portrait reads ‘Alfred Wills QC’. That under Day’s portrait repeats his later institutional title, ‘Mr Justice Day’. Both were appointed as QC (Queens Counsel) in 1872. In common with the rest of the album the captions make reference to the sitter’s professional status but the institutional title does not always match the sitter’s costume.

One way of making sense of the first part of the album is by turning to the second part. The reason for this is that starting on page 10, a clear organising theme is

31 The juxtaposition of the robed Sir Frederick Pollock next to his highly decorated brother adds value attached to the social status of military office to the dynastic display.
32 Variations in the handwriting suggests that the captions are the work of a number of individuals. Also differences between the institutional position as represented by the sitter’s costume and the institutional title of the caption is some evidence that the captions were a added or amended after pictures were added.
apparent; legal institutional hierarchy. It begins with portraits of sitters identified as Lord Chancellors. The pages that follow group together Lord Chief Justices 33 followed by those who held the office of Master of the Rolls, Lord Justices and Justices of various divisions of the High Court. 34 The final pages display portraits of senior law officers; Attorney Generals, Solicitor Generals and Queen’s Counsel. Each institutional category provides an opportunity to show the genealogy of that office. For example the eight portraits Lord Chancellors include two, of Lord Brougham and Lyndhurst who held office prior to the invention of carte portraits but who in the 1860’s remained eminent figures at least in part by virtue of that office. Lyndhurst, whose portrait is first in the sequence died in 1863, the second, Lord Brougham died in 1868. In contrast the first section is more idiosyncratic, more personal and the legibility of the system is now difficult to decipher. But its priority over the use of portraits in a display of legal institutional hierarchy suggests it had particular significance for the album’s curator.

Do the album’s organising themes, of professional relations, institutional hierarchy break with the family and genealogical dynamics carte albums? In short the answer is ‘no’. The ‘Effie Chitty’ album illustrates biological family ties and legal professional ties are not mutually exclusive. In Album 2 the family/professional relationship is inverted; legal professional and institutional relations that are displayed as family relations. Metaphors of family relations are commonplace in the interrelationships that make up legal professional communities (Moran 2011). 35 Lord Chancellors followed by Chief Justices then High Court judges, QC’s and so on is a display of the curator’s institutional ‘great grandfathers’, ‘grandfathers’, ‘fathers’, ‘uncles’ and ‘brothers’ in law. Professional social networks are a form of kinship; a set of relationships through which the members negotiate the trials and tribulations of their professional life course (Butler 2002). The fact that all the sitters are adult men doesn’t disrupt the familial and the genealogical themes of the album. The portraits put it on display and show it to be a homosocial phenomenon in which men are central to the production and reproduction of the legal professional world. If the Effie Chitty album domesticates judicial portraits weaving them into a display that is devoted to the representation of biological domestic family relations, Album 2 offers an example of the way portraits of judges’ function as family portraits for a rather different imagined community of family members.

---

33 Page 13 contains a carte that is almost identical to figure 2. The only difference is that the open book is now in his hands rather than on the desk. Like the other portraits it is accompanied by a handwritten caption. It refers to the sitter as the ‘Late LCJ’. He died in 1880.

34 Many of the captions name the office holders rank with ‘Ex’ or ‘Late’ which may suggest the captions were added sometime after the Cartes were purchased and mounted. It is impossible to say if the captions were added by the original or a later curator.

35 Others have also noted similar characterisations of legal professional communities (Thornton, 1996) as a ‘fraternity’ or ‘brotherhood’.
Before leaving Album 2 I want to highlight one more feature of the portraits and their display. The majority of the carte portraits of judicial office holders show the sitter not in robes of office but in civilian dress. They share much in common with the portrait of Lord Cockburn above (figure 2). The repetitive nature of the poses and overall compositions can in part be explained by the technical limitations of photography at the time and the procedures used by the studios to produce carte portraits (Perry 2012, p. 729). But the repetitive and predictable aesthetic also has social and political significance. It is not something that sitter’s attempted to avoid, but more an aesthetics of choice that was engaged as a part of their self performance and self staging of bourgeois identity (Batchen 2009, p. 86). Individually and collectively they display what Perry describes as the ‘surplus of ordinariness’ (2012, p. 728) that is the manner of bourgeois respectability that was the fashion at the time. 36 ‘Album 2’ puts the legal professional investment in this ordinariness on display and shows how it has been integrated into the curator’s extended legal family.

The ‘Tichborne claimant album’

The final album is catalogued in the NPG’s collection as, ‘The Tichborne Claimant Trial Album: cartes-de-visite by various photographers, 1860s-1870s’ (Unknown, undated). Its 50 pages contain 148 carte portraits. Purchased by the gallery in 1984 from Eric Horne he inherited it from his grandmother who purchased it at an auction sometime after the First World War.37 The seller speculated that it was originally owned by a member of the Tyndall family38 who had connections with the Tichbornes.

The title given to the album refers to a legal dispute that has been described as the the greatest cause-célèbre of the Victorian age (McWilliam 2007). The dispute related to the Tichborne title and estates. Roger Tichborne, the rightful heir, left England in 1853 for South America and was thought to have been lost at sea in 1854. In August 1865 Arthur Orton who was then living in the country town of Wagga Wagga in New South Wales Australia claimed he was the long lost heir. His long and complicated campaign to claim the title and property ran from 1867 to 1874. It culminated in two court cases, a civil case to establish his claim and a second criminal case in which he was charged with and found guilty of perjury (Annear 2002). The media frenzy and popular interest turned these cases into two of the best know courtroom disputes of the 19th century (Tucker, 2016).

36 As noted earlier it is difficult to know if the informality of the costume is indicative of the commissioning process; made at the behest of private individuals for distribution amongst family members, friends, professional associates and incorporated into the album to memorials these relationships or produced by studios and purchased and mounted to situate the curator in a wider community.
37 In correspondence relating to the sale now in the archive of the NPG in a letter dated 2 November 1983 Eric Horne then owner of the album outlined what he knew of the provenance of the album. He also provided a photograph, dated 1922, that shows his great uncle with his grandmother examining the album as they sit outside having tea.
38 They lived in Eversley, 30 miles north east of Tichborne.
But calling this album after the legal proceedings is misleading. The majority of portraits in the album have no connection with the Tichborne case. Those that do are confined to nine of the 50 pages of the album. It begins with the portraits of the lost heir, the claimant and key family members of their respective families. Other portraits are of barristers involved in the cases, key witnesses and eminent figures who supported the parties. One carte is a group portrait of the members of the jury from the second criminal trial. 14 of the portraits in this section are of judges; 12 are in the style of ‘state portraits’ of judges. Before examining the display of these portraits of judges in the Tichborne section in more detail I want to consider their place in the organisation of the album as a whole.

Like the other albums its binding references the usual associations with ‘family’ and ‘genealogy’. Its etched red leather covers are embellished with a number of brass fittings; edgings, twin clasps and interwoven letters ‘C’ ‘A’ and ‘T’. But from its opening pages its content seems to have little to do with these types of relationship. It begins with two portraits of Queen Victoria, both photographic reproductions of earlier graphic portraits, one that dates from 1825 and the other 1837. The following pages display portraits of aristocrats both domestic and European followed by religious figures including the Pope and the Bishop of London (John Jackson), and famous figures such as Florence Nightingale. The bulk of the album, over 50%, is made up of portraits of writers, artists, actors, actresses and female opera singers.

The longer history of collecting reproductions of portraits and making albums of them helps to shed some light on this display. In the 18th century it became a fashionable pastime for wealthy male to collect reproductions of portraits and to organise their display in albums (Pointon, 1993). James Granger published a book that set out a system of display for album makers to follow. The title of his book, *A Biographical History of England from Egbert the Great the Revolution: consisting of Characters disposed in different Classes and adapted to a methodical Catalogue of Engraved British Head intended as an Essay towards reducing Biography to System, and a Help to the knowledge of Portraits, with a preface showing the utility of a Collection of Engraved portraits to supply the Defect and answer the various purposes of Medals* (Granger, 1769) draws attention to the organising themes of his system of display. One is social hierarchy. The genealogy of that social hierarchy is another. At the top of this hierarchy is royalty. Ten other rankings follow: the lowest is ‘Persons of both Sexes, chiefly of the lowest Order of the People, remarkable for only one Circumstance in their Lives; namely such as lived to a great Age, deformed Persons, Convicts, &c.’ (quoted in Pointon, 1993, p. 56) Granger’s system classifies society by way of a range of categories of ‘well-knownness’, or ‘renown’ (Kornmeier, 2008, p. 278). It does this by reference to a hierarchy of public visibility linked to public recognition, reputation or significance, what van Krieken (2012) describes as attention capital. The value of the attention attached to the highest category is closely associated with its longevity and its institutionalisation. That association with the lowest is more quixotic, idiosyncratic, lacking in substance and legitimacy.
A ‘grangerized book’ is one in which the organisation of visual images displayed follows this system. The Tichborne album has all the hallmarks of a ‘grangerized book’. The result is an imagined community that is different from the other albums considered here. Its range and diversity suggests that in all likelihood it stretches way beyond the curator’s biological, domestic or professional family. A contemporary description of carte albums from the 19th century publication, *Art Journal* is that they are a ‘family portrait of the entire community’ (Plunkett 2003a, p. 61).

This captures well the range of sitters in the Tichborne album as a whole. Queen Victoria is the ‘mother’ of the entire community of the time. The highest officers of state Court officers and senior aristocrats are the respected avuncular figures and elder siblings. Sporting heroes, opera singers and music hall performers are the more artistic and creative relatives.

The location of the carte portraits of judges in the Tichborne album fits this scheme of things. The 14 portraits of judges occupy a position in the album consistent with their ranking within Granger’s imagined hierarchical community; coming after the clergy and before senior politicians. Displayed in this location the portraits show them as the fathers and brothers ‘in-law’ of the whole community. However the Tichborne subset in which the judges appear actually disrupts the hierarchy that shapes the large distribution of portraits.

The subset opens not with a portrait of the highest ranking judge but with portraits of the absent aristocrat at the centre of the litigation and the claimant; at the time a working class down at heel butcher from rural Australia. The working class butcher appears a second time on the last page of the section, this time as ‘The prisoner’. When the judges do appear the logic of their display is far from clear as their display does not seem to follow the usual rules of institutional value. Chief Justice Cockburn, and senior trial judges appear before the portrait of the Lord Chancellor Roundell Palmer who held that position during the litigation, between 1872 and 1874. How are we to make sense of the display that makes up the Tichborne section?

The display of portraits visualise a sensational courtroom drama. The curator has organised them according to the requirements of a dark romance of the landed gentry in battle against the corrupting forces of working class attempt to seize their lands and title. The opening display of the lost heir and the claimant starts the story rolling. The closing display of the claimant as ‘The Prisoner’, his wife and his two crucial now discredited witnesses shows the just deserts that follow the restoration of the status quo. The display shows the disruption of the ranking of visibility that a social drama being played out in and through the legal process and reported in the media might have.

---

39 Jean Luie, gave evidence that he rescued the claimant/heir from the sea after the ship wreck and Andrew Bogle, an ex-slave from Jamaica and valet for the Tichborne family, who identified the claimant as the true heir. The latter was accused of being motivated by revenge for the poor treatment he received from the Tichborne family (Lumsden 1999).
Judicial portraits appear as ‘characters’ in this story. But the order of their appearance doesn’t quite fit this scheme of things. Cockburn, who was one of the judges in the second criminal trial appears too early in the story and before the judge who presided over the first trial, the civil case, Sir William Bovill. One explanation for this might be Cockburn’s pre-existing attention capital. In his long judicial career he had been involved in many cases that attracted lots of media attention. He ‘relished the limelight’ (Lobban 2004). He certainly had ample opportunity to develop his media profile in the 188 days of the criminal trial of the Tichborne claimant. He gave an extravagant judicial performance with the delivery of an 800 page summary of the second trial. It filled the pages of the newspapers for 18 days. He later went on to publish it as a book. McWilliam (2007, 105) describes it as one of the great sensation novels of the day.

Before leaving this album I want to make a brief comment about the other portraits of judges in the Tichborne section. While all were active at the time of the Tichborne dispute the majority do not appear to have had a direct connection with those proceedings. If their appearance at this point makes sense in terms of Granger’s rankings of a tradition of public visibility their distribution in and amongst the other characters involved in courtroom drama that made up the ‘trial of the century’ puts on display the link between their visibility and the changing landscape of celebrity. As Tucker notes, carte de visite in general and the London Stereographic and Photographic Company studio in particular played an important role in making the Tichborne legal proceedings a visual spectacle for all to see and its main characters celebrities (Tucker 2016).

Conclusion

This study takes research on the relationship between judges, photography and the mass media in a new direction adding a new dimension. It explores the birth of the photographic image of the senior judiciary in the mid 19th century. In doing so it begins to close the gap between the birth of photography as a form of mass media and first appearances of photographic images of the judiciary in news print at the beginning of the 20th century. Unlike graphic and later photographic images of courtroom proceedings in which the judge tends to be a marginal character, the carte de visite portraits put the judges at the centre of the picture. Now largely forgotten, this study brings these pictures back into view and offers an analysis of their nature and cultural significance.

The examples considered here are typical of carte portraits of judicial sitters. In many cases...
respects what appears within the frame indicates that they are unremarkable portraits. Those that follow what I have described as the tradition of state portraiture follow a longstanding aesthetic convention through which the individual office holder is depicted through a set of symbols associated with the office as the embodiment of its values and virtues. In others the sitter fashions and presents himself according to a more recent aesthetics of bourgeois respectability. Carte portraits provided cheaper, quicker and more easily available opportunities for those in judicial office to not only have access to their own image and also to circulate it. While the subjects of these portraits were in part responsible for their production studios also played a key role in commissioning these portraits with a view to selling them to the public.

At the time the carte portraits first appeared they had great novelty. They offered viewers the most accurate representations of the physical likeness of the senior members of the judiciary. While contemporaries were critical of this ‘warts and all’ quality it was a feature of these portraits that introduced a new set of signs through which the values and virtues of judicial office might be represented; openness, transparency, authenticity. Their incorporation into portraits of authority figures was not unique to the judiciary but indicative of wider changes taking place in the signs used in the depiction of figures of authority.

Another other important dimension of this change is the opportunities carte portraits provided for viewers to experience mediated quasi interactions with senior judicial office holders. Of particular interest here are the experiences of proximity to and intimacy with figures of authority such as the judiciary. These photographic portraits made the extraordinary, such as royalty, and the senior judiciary, appear more ordinary. In this context ‘ordinary’ has strong class overtones; being associated with bourgeois respectability.

Making the extraordinary figure of the elite judge more ordinary also needs to be juxtaposed with another dimension of the changes that the carte phenomenon engaged. They played a role in making the ordinary into the extraordinary. The reduced cost of portraiture and the entrepreneurial zeal of the studios not only widened access to portraiture of royalty, aristocrats and senior judges (all of whom had already made use of portraiture) but it also created new opportunities for public visibility for a much wider range of people. The display of judicial carte portraits in the Tichborne album illustrates this point. The sitters gathered together in the Tichborne section of that album offer examples of the carte portraits of ordinary subjects made extraordinary; butchers, ex-slaves, otherwise invisible members of the working class. In the pages of the album they rub shoulders with aristocrats, senior judicial officers, elite politicians. Celebrity is a term that points to this process of expanding public visibility of individuals. If carte portraits allowed judges to further grow their visibility ostensibly based on the importance of their role in society it is a form of picture that also increased the competition in the market place of visibility.
The three albums provide an opportunity to examine three different contexts in which carte portraits of judges contributed to making and making the meaning of three 19th century imagined communities of various sizes and scales. They offer three examples of the ways in which carte de visit pictures of judges were embedded in community formations. Common to all three is the idea of the use of portraiture and its display to fashion community as a set of social relations through which identity is formed and belonging is created. The difference between them lies in shape and scope of the imagined communities formed and put on display in these albums. In the Effie Chitty album the pictures of judges are made sense of as characters in a network of domestic kinship whose institutional status adds value to kinship community in general and the curators position within it in particular. In Album 2 the curators uses portraits of judicial office holders to shape and put on display a different network of ‘family relations’; legal professional relations. Last but by no means least in the The Tichborne album the curator integrates portraits of judges into a display of the imagined community of civil society. In the section devoted to the trials of the century the attention capital of senior judicial office holders that Granger captured in his system of rankings is being challenged. They now jostle for position, at least temporarily, with the minor celebrities made through the media reports of the courtroom narratives that became so popular. All three albums draw attention to the important role played by the viewer in making the meaning of the carte portraits of judges.