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ABSTRACT

I present very high resolution \( R = 400 \,000 \) and \( R = 880 \,000 \) observations of interstellar Ca II absorption lines towards eight early-type stars situated within 15\( ^\circ \) of \( \alpha \) Oph on the sky. I confirm an earlier result that the interstellar structure responsible for the anomalously strong Ca II absorption towards \( \alpha \) Oph has a spatial extent of \( \leq 1 \) pc (and is probably very much smaller). Many discrete interstellar absorption components are apparent in these high-resolution data, but while several have velocities in the range expected for the local interstellar medium (LISM), no convincing detections of either the local interstellar cloud (LIC), or the so-called G cloud, were made. In the former case, I argue that the relatively weak LIC components are masked by components arising in more distant clouds, but which have LISM-like velocities owing to the pervasive influence of outflow from the Sco-Cen association. The non-detection of the G cloud is more problematical, and I tentatively argue that, previous claims notwithstanding, this feature does not extend to galactic latitudes as high as \( \pm 15^\circ \) in this direction.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The presence of an unusually strong interstellar Ca II K line towards the nearby \( (14.3 \pm 0.2 \) pc; ESA 1997) star \( \alpha \) Oph was first discovered by Münch & Unsöld (1962). The equivalent width (\( \approx 25 \) mA; Crawford & Dunkin 1995; Welty, Morton & Hobbs 1996) is the largest known for any star within about 30 pc of the Sun, and for this reason the sightline has long held a special place in studies of the local interstellar medium (e.g. Frisch 1981; Paresce 1983; Frisch, York & Fowler 1987; Crawford & Dunkin 1995). In their initial study, Münch & Unsöld (1962) observed a number of stars close to \( \alpha \) Oph on the sky in an attempt to place limits on the extent of the structure (‘cloud’) responsible for this absorption, deriving ‘an upper limit of 5 pc, with an actual value more likely to be around 1 pc.’

Given the interest in this sightline, and the fact that Münch & Unsöld’s spectra were obtained at relatively low dispersion (typically 3–9 A mm\(^{-1}\)) using photographic plates, it seemed worthwhile to repeat their study at much higher spectral resolution using modern detectors. To this end, I have used the Ultra-High-Resolution Facility (UHRF) at the Anglo-Australian Telescope to obtain very high resolution observations of the interstellar Ca II K line towards eight stars close to \( \alpha \) Oph on the sky, all of them previously observed by Münch & Unsöld (1962). The list of stars is given in Table 1, and their locations on the sky, relative to \( \alpha \) Oph itself, are shown in Fig. 1.

Perhaps surprisingly, most of these interstellar Ca K lines seem do not seem to have been re-observed since the initial study by Münch & Unsöld (1962). Indeed, a bibliographic search of the SIMBAD data base revealed additional observations reported in the literature for only the two brightest stars in the present sample: HD 152614 (\( \iota \) Oph) and HD 156164 (\( \delta \) Her). References to these earlier studies, and the interstellar equivalent widths obtained by them, are given in Table 2. In addition to this paucity of later observations, the primary justifications for repeating the work of Münch & Unsöld at higher spectral resolution are as follows:

(i) The resolution is sufficient to resolve the number of individual velocity components present, down to the limit imposed by the intrinsic linewidths. Thus we should be able to unambiguously distinguish an \( \alpha \) Oph cloud component from others which may be present along the line of sight. This is especially important for the more distant stars in the sample, for which the probability of encountering additional absorption components is high.

(ii) Given that the resolution is sufficient to resolve the intrinsic absorption line profiles, the measured linewidths enable us to place limits on the physical conditions (specifically temperature and turbulence) prevailing in the absorbing medium.

(iii) Finally, the higher resolution results in much greater
sensitivity to weak, narrow interstellar lines, likely to have been missed in earlier, lower resolution, surveys (cf. Section 3.6 below).

2 OBSERVATIONS

The observations were obtained using the Ultra-High-Resolution Facility (UHRF; Diego et al. 1995) at the Anglo-Australian Telescope, during observing runs in 2000 April and August. In April, the spectrograph was used in its R = 10⁶ mode, although a measurement of the instrumental profile (using a stabilised HeNe laser as a source) indicated that the actual resolving power was R = 880 000 (0.34 ± 0.01 km s⁻¹, FWHM). In August, the spectrograph was used in its R = 300 000 mode, but a similar measurement of the instrumental profile yielded an actual value of R = 400 000 (0.75 ± 0.01 km s⁻¹, FWHM; this greater than nominal resolution for the lower-resolution modes of the UHRF has been discussed by Diego et al. 1995). On both occasions the detector was the AAO Tectronix CCD (1024 × 1024 24-μm pixels). The spectrograph was used in conjunction with an image-slicer (Diego 1993), and the output was binned by a factor of eight perpendicular to the dispersion direction in order to reduce the readout noise associated with the broad spectrum which results. Details of individual observations are given in Table 2.

The spectra were extracted from the CCD images using the FIGARO data reduction package (Shortridge et al. 1998), and subsequent measurements were made using the dipso spectral analysis program (Howarth et al. 1998), at the University College, London (UCL), Starlink node. Wavelength calibration was achieved using a ThAr comparison lamp; second-order polynomial fits to five comparison lines yielded rms residuals of ~3.5 × 10⁻⁴ Å (~0.03 km s⁻¹). Three stars (HD 154494, 158490 and 159610) were found to exhibit strong and broad photospheric Ca K lines, and these were fitted by Gaussians to define the local continuum for the much narrower interstellar (or possibly circumstellar) lines in their cores. These Gaussian fits then gave an independent estimate of the stellar radial velocities, and these are listed in Table 1. Once wavelength calibrated, the spectra were converted to a heliocentric velocity scale, and are shown in Fig. 1.

Superimposed on the observed line profiles in Fig. 2 are the best-fitting line-profiles obtained using the χ-squared minimization routine vapid (I.D. Howarth et al., in preparation). This program returns the optimum values of the radial velocity (v_helio), velocity dispersion (b), and column density (N) for a specified number of absorption components, and these values are listed in Table 2. For comparative purposes, I reproduce the interstellar Ca K spectrum of α Oph itself, obtained by Crawford & Dunkin (1995), in Fig. 3. Also, for consistency with the present work, I have re-analysed this spectrum using the vapid routine, and the results are also given in Table 2; with the exception of the b-value for the very weak (~32 km s⁻¹) component, the line profile parameters agree closely with the values obtained in the earlier work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Star (HD)</th>
<th>(Name)</th>
<th>l (°)</th>
<th>b (°)</th>
<th>V (mag)</th>
<th>Sp. Type</th>
<th>$D_{\text{Hipp}}$ (pc)</th>
<th>$E(B-V)$ (mag)</th>
<th>$v_a$ (SMBAD) (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$v_a$ (here) (km s⁻¹)</th>
<th>$v_{\text{IC}}$ (km s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>152614</td>
<td>(ζ Oph)</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>30.66</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>B8 V</td>
<td>71.7±2.3</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>-21 ± 5</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154494</td>
<td>(60 Her)</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td>29.22</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>A4 IV</td>
<td>44.1±1.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>-4 ± 2</td>
<td>+5 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156164</td>
<td>(δ Her)</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>A3 IV</td>
<td>24.1±2.2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>-40 ± 2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157741</td>
<td></td>
<td>37.84</td>
<td>26.10</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>B9 V</td>
<td>149±17</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>-19 ± 6</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158148</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.71</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>B5 V</td>
<td>144±15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>-30 ± 2</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158490</td>
<td></td>
<td>33.62</td>
<td>23.15</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>A0</td>
<td>201±12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>-14 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159610</td>
<td>(56 Oph)</td>
<td>36.48</td>
<td>22.78</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>A0</td>
<td>119±15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-32 ± 7</td>
<td>-21 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160765</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.15</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>A1 V</td>
<td>128±16</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>-23 ± 5</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α Oph</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.89</td>
<td>22.57</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>A2 III</td>
<td>14.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>+12 ± 5</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The location of the observed stars on the sky, expressed in galactic coordinates. The position of α Oph itself is marked by an asterisk and the stars observed here by filled circles; the position of γ Oph, observed by Crawford, Craig & Welsh (1997) and discussed in the text, is marked by an open circle. The 1 pc scale bar holds for the distance of α Oph (14.3 pc; ESA 1997); for a distance of 1 pc (i.e. on the scale of the LIC) this scale bar corresponds to only 0.07 pc.
from the nearby (~140 pc) Sco-Cen OB association. Recently, Breitschwerdt, Freyberg & Egger (2000) have proposed a persuasive model whereby these low-density clouds arise from the fragmentation of the ‘wall’ of neutral gas at the boundary of the LB and adjacent, expanding, Sco-Cen (‘Loop I’) superbubble. Based on a range of ground-based and Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations, Lallement et al. (1995) concluded that the LIC is moving past the Sun with a heliocentric velocity of $-26 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the direction $l = (6 \pm 3)^\circ$, $b = (+16 \pm 3)^\circ$. As reviewed by Frisch (1998), this vector is very similar to that deduced from observations of interstellar matter flowing through the Solar System [$-25.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from $l = (4.0 \pm 0.2)^\circ$, $b = (+15.4 \pm 0.6)^\circ$]. Lallement et al. (1995; see also Lallement & Bertin 1992) also drew attention to a somewhat different local flow of material ($-29 \pm 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from $l \approx 4.5^\circ$, $b = +20.5^\circ$).
observed towards stars in the galactic centre hemisphere, which they dubbed the 'G cloud'. It is still unclear whether the LIC and G clouds are separate entities (Frisch 1998), although the absence of a LIC component towards a Cen D makes this seem likely (Lallement et al. 1995). Indeed, in their recent three-dimensional model of the LIC, Redfield & Linsky (2000) place the Sun very close (≈0.05 pc) from the edge of the LIC in the galactic centre direction.

Table 1 lists the expected velocities of the LIC and G clouds towards each of the stars observed here. The vertical dotted lines in Fig. 2 enclose the velocity range expected for the LISM (defined by the range occupied by LIC and G cloud velocities given in Table 1, including the ±1.1 km s\(^{-1}\) uncertainty). In each panel the published stellar radial velocity (Table 1) is indicated by a star symbol; where these are uncertain by more than ±2 km s\(^{-1}\) an error bar is included (in the case of HD 159610 I have adopted the velocity obtained from the present observations, cf. Table 1).

The observed Ca K lines towards the observed stars. The observed data are plotted as histograms, and the best-fitting theoretical line profiles (Table 2) are shown superimposed. The radial velocity of the central absorption component towards a Oph (≈26.2 km s\(^{-1}\)) is marked by a vertical dashed line in each panel, and the vertical dotted lines give the velocity range expected for the LISM (defined by the range occupied by LIC and G cloud velocities given in Table 1, including the ±1.1 km s\(^{-1}\) uncertainty). In each panel the published stellar radial velocity (Table 1) is indicated by a star symbol; where these are uncertain by more than ±2 km s\(^{-1}\) an error bar is included (in the case of HD 159610 I have adopted the velocity obtained from the present observations, cf. Table 1).

Figure 2. The interstellar Ca K lines towards the observed stars. The observed data are plotted as histograms, and the best-fitting theoretical line profiles (Table 2) are shown superimposed. The radial velocity of the central absorption component towards a Oph (≈26.2 km s\(^{-1}\)) is marked by a vertical dashed line in each panel, and the vertical dotted lines give the velocity range expected for the LISM (defined by the range occupied by LIC and G cloud velocities given in Table 1, including the ±1.1 km s\(^{-1}\) uncertainty). In each panel the published stellar radial velocity (Table 1) is indicated by a star symbol; where these are uncertain by more than ±2 km s\(^{-1}\) an error bar is included (in the case of HD 159610 I have adopted the velocity obtained from the present observations, cf. Table 1).

Table 1 lists the expected velocities of the LIC and G clouds towards each of the stars observed here. The vertical dotted lines in Fig. 2 enclose the velocity range occupied by the LIC and G clouds as defined by Lallement et al. (1995; allowing for the ±1.1 km s\(^{-1}\) velocity uncertainty on each). The velocities predicted from interstellar material actually observed in the Solar System (Frisch 1998) are essentially identical to those predicted for the LIC. Thus, regardless of the precise relationship between the LIC and G clouds, the dotted lines in Fig. 2 enclose the range of velocities expected for the very closest components of the LISM.

Following a brief discussion of the possible contamination of the present data by circumstellar absorption, the remainder of this section will explore how the present observations can be used to constrain the picture of the LISM sketched above, and how the a Oph cloud fits into it.

3.2 Possible circumstellar lines

Holweger, Hempel & Kamp (1999) have recently drawn attention to the high percentage of normal A-type stars (≈30 per cent in their sample) which exhibit narrow circumstellar Ca ii lines superimposed on the broader photospheric line profiles. Similar results for circumstellar Ti ii lines were obtained by Abt, Tan & Zhou (1997). Holweger et al. argue convincingly that many of these lines
interstellar absorption at all to an equivalent-width upper limit of 1.5 mA; all the stars observed here exhibit absorption components at or near (i.e. within ~1 km s\(^{-1}\)) the velocity range expected for the LIC (vertical dotted lines in Fig. 2). Several of these lie to the more positive velocity side of the LISM band, at or near the velocities expected for the LIC. However, in spite of their LIC-like velocities, there are at least two reasons why we cannot straightforwardly make this identification:

(i) In four cases (HD 152614, 157741, 159610 and 160765), the most LIC-like components also occur at or near the published stellar radial velocities (Fig. 2). As discussed above, this means that a circumstellar origin cannot be excluded, and may in fact be quite likely. However, while the possibility of circumstellar absorption does confuse the issue, it is unlikely to explain away all of these apparently LIC-like velocity components because in two cases (HD 156164 and HD 158148) these occur well away from the published stellar radial velocities (see Fig. 2).

(ii) The carefully constructed LIC model of Redfield & Linsky (2000) places the boundary of the LIC at a distance of only 0.07 pc in the direction of \(\alpha\) Oph, and predicts a corresponding neutral hydrogen column density of \(N(H) = 2.2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-2}\). For their best-fitting \(N(\text{Ca}^{+})/N(H)\) ratio \(2.3 \times 10^{-4}\) this would lead us to expect a LIC \(\text{Ca}^{+}\) column density of only \(5.1 \times 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), which is well below the detection limit of the present observations. Thus, if the LIC-like velocity components identified here [all of which have \(\log N(\text{Ca}^{+}) > 10.00\); Table 2] really are formed in the LIC the otherwise well-supported model of Redfield & Linsky (2000) will have to be discarded. It seems much more likely that the very weak LIC components predicted by Redfield & Linsky (expected \(W_{\lambda} < 0.1 \text{ mA}\)) are actually present towards all these stars (including HD 154494) but are masked by the relatively poor signal-to-noise ratio and the presence of stronger components at similar velocities.

Given that the dynamics of the whole LISM is dominated by outflow from the Sco-Cen association (e.g. Frisch 1995; Breitschwerdt et al. 2000), the detection of more distant interstellar clouds with LIC-like velocities is quite plausible. The \(b\)-values of these stronger components generally imply upper limits to the kinetic temperature in the range 6000 to 9000 K (Table 2), so if they do represent more distant clouds, these appear to have physical conditions similar to the LIC. The \(\text{Ca}^{+}\) column densities of these components are also similar to those across the whole extent of the LIC \((\log N = 10.60 \text{ cm}^{-2})\); Redfield & Linsky 2000), with the exception of those towards HD 160765 and HD 157741 which are an order of magnitude larger (but which, again, may be circumstellar and/or contain additional unresolved velocity structure).

### 3.4 The G cloud

One striking observation from Fig. 2 is the general absence of components which can readily be attributed to the G cloud (i.e. absorption components close to the left-hand side of the LISM band, delineated by the vertical dotted lines in the figure). Note that whereas the non-detection of the LIC towards these stars can easily be explained by its expected very low column density in this direction (Redfield & Linsky 2000; see discussion in Section 3.3), the same argument cannot be so easily invoked to explain the apparent absence of G cloud components, as the lines of sight would be expected to sample the whole extent of the cloud. Typical
G cloud Ca II column densities given by Bertin et al. (1993) lie in the range \(2 \times 10^{10} \) to \(4 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2}\) \((W_\lambda = 2-4 \text{ mÅ})\), which should be detectable in the present data (excluding the very noisy spectrum of HD 160765), if present.

It is true that three stars (HD 158148, 158490 and 159610) do have absorption components with G cloud-like velocities. However, in the former case the component is too narrow \((b = 0.52 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) to arise in a warm medium like the G cloud (see Section 3.6), while those towards HD 158490 and HD 159610 are better interpreted as arising in a separate cloud responsible for the anomalously strong absorption towards \(\alpha\) Oph (see Section 3.5; although in this case blending with a weaker G cloud component cannot be excluded).

The boundaries of the G cloud have never been rigorously defined, and as reviewed by Frisch (1998), it is still unclear whether it really represents an interstellar structure separate from the LIC. When introducing the concept of a separate G cloud, Lallement & Bertin (1992) identified a galactic longitude range of \(210^\circ \leq l \leq 60^\circ\) and a latitude range of \(-70^\circ \leq b \leq +40^\circ\). All the stars observed here fall within this very large area of sky, and, as a G cloud component has been identified in the spectrum of the very nearest star \((\alpha\) Cen, \(D = 1.35\) pc; Lallement et al. 1995), G cloud components might be expected towards all these stars.

It is noteworthy, however, that the region of sky considered here lies close to the northern boundary of the G cloud as defined by Lallement & Bertin (1992; cf. their fig. 3). Given that this boundary is poorly defined, the most plausible explanation for the lack of G cloud components is that these lines of sight have in fact missed it completely. In this interpretation, the \(\alpha\) Oph-like components (discussed below) arise in a separate, more distant (but still \(<14\) pc) interstellar structure. The alternative, that the present observations straddle the G cloud boundary with \(\alpha\) Oph, HD 159610 and HD 158490 lying within it and the other stars lying outside, is effectively excluded by the non-detection of the G cloud towards \(\gamma\) Oph \((l = 28.0^\circ, b = 15.4^\circ, D = 29\) pc; open circle in Fig. 1) by Crawford et al. (1997).

Interestingly, in their recent work on the LIC, Redfield & Linsky (2000) have tentatively identified the area of sky lying within \(40^\circ\) of \(\alpha\) Oph as being occupied by the G cloud. This more restricted definition gives the G cloud a smaller angular extent than given by Lallement & Bertin (1992), but moves its northern boundary to much more positive galactic latitudes. It is clear from Fig. 1 that this definition would predict G cloud component towards \(\alpha\) Oph (see Section 3.5; although in this case blending with a weaker G cloud component cannot be excluded). The velocity of the main absorption component towards \(\alpha\) Oph \((-26.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}; \text{Table 2})\) is essentially identical to that predicted for the G cloud (Table 1). However, as discussed above, the non-detection of this feature towards the other stars makes this identification unlikely. Moreover, as first noted by Bertin et al. (1993), the column density of this component \([\log N (\text{Ca II}) \geq 11.20; \text{Table 2}]\) is approximately an order of magnitude greater than found for other G cloud components. Although Bertin et al. suggested that the most likely explanation was the presence of another cloud blended with the G cloud in velocity space, it now seems most likely that there is no G cloud towards \(\alpha\) Oph, just several (at least three – cf. Table 2; also Crawford & Dunkin 1995) more distant clouds with G (and LIC)-like velocities. As noted in Section 3.3, the fact that the whole LISM is dominated by the Sco-Cen outflow makes this apparent velocity coincidence less surprising than it might at first appear.

3.5 The \(\alpha\) Oph cloud components

The velocity of the main absorption component towards \(\alpha\) Oph \((-26.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}; \text{Table 2})\) is essentially identical to that predicted for the G cloud (Table 1). However, as discussed above, the non-detection of this feature towards the other stars makes this identification unlikely. Moreover, as first noted by Bertin et al. (1993), the column density of this component \([\log N (\text{Ca II}) \geq 11.20; \text{Table 2}]\) is approximately an order of magnitude greater than found for other G cloud components. Although Bertin et al. suggested that the most likely explanation was the presence of another cloud blended with the G cloud in velocity space, it now seems most likely that there is no G cloud towards \(\alpha\) Oph, just several (at least three – cf. Table 2; also Crawford & Dunkin 1995) more distant clouds with G (and LIC)-like velocities. As noted in Section 3.3, the fact that the whole LISM is dominated by the Sco-Cen outflow makes this apparent velocity coincidence less surprising than it might at first appear.

The narrow components

One of the major advantages of ultra-high-resolution spectroscopy is its ability to unambiguously detect intrinsically narrow lines.
Observed at lower resolution, these lines are often lost through blending with neighbouring components, and in any case their intrinsic widths cannot be measured reliably if these are narrower than the instrumental resolution. This is well-illustrated by the case of HD 152614 (α Oph), which was observed with a resolving power of $R \approx 150000$ by Vallerga et al. (1993). Their spectrum is very similar to that found here, but whereas they clearly resolved only three velocity components (at $v_{\text{helio}} = -30.8$, $-21.5$, and $-11.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), the present data reveal the presence of an additional, very narrow ($b = 0.62 \pm 0.19 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) component at $-13.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Inspection of Vallerga et al.’s actual spectrum (their fig. 1) does in fact show some evidence for this feature, blended with their $-11.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ component, but they were unable to resolve it properly and thus, of necessity, it went unanalysed.

In addition to this narrow component towards HD 152614, the present work has identified two comparably narrow components towards HD 158148, at $v_{\text{helio}} = -24.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($b = 0.52 \pm 0.07$) and $-19.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ($b = 0.54 \pm 0.21 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). These narrow components are of interest because the $b$-values imply rigorously upper limits to the kinetic temperature, $T_k^2$, in the range 700 to 900 K (Table 2), far lower than encountered on the nearby LISM clouds. As all interstellar clouds are turbulent to some extent, the actual kinetic temperatures are probably significantly lower. Note, moreover, that a LIC-like turbulence can also be excluded for these components; Linsky et al. (1995) measured a one-dimensional rms turbulent velocity for the LIC of $\approx 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which would yield $b = 1.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, even if $T_k = 0$ (see equation 1 of Crawford et al. 1997).

It is interesting that the two narrow components present towards HD 158148 have LISM-like velocities (Fig. 2), although linewidth considerations would seem to argue against a LIC origin. The narrow component towards HD 152614 lies well away from the LISM velocity range. In this direction, radial velocities with respect to the local standard of rest (LSR) are approximately 16 km s$^{-1}$ more positive than heliocentric values, so these narrow components all have low negative (or, in the case of HD 152614, low positive) LSR velocities. Thus, one possibility, and perhaps the most likely, for the origin of these narrow components is in cool, relatively dense, background interstellar clouds, perhaps related to similar components identified in Na absorption lines in the first galactic quadrant by Génova et al. (1997) and attributed by them to interstellar material unaffected by the Sco-Cen outflow(s).

On the other hand, while at first sight a location outside the Local Bubble seems the most likely location for these narrow components, and is consistent with the stellar distances (72 and 144 pc for HD 152614 and HD 158148, respectively), a more local origin cannot be absolutely excluded. In this respect it is worth noting that the discovery of almost comparably narrow ($b \leq 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) components towards δ Vel ($D = 24 \text{ pc}$) and α Pav ($D = 56 \text{ pc}$) by Crawford, Lallement & Welsh (1998) has raised the possibility that a population of such cool, relatively dense clouds exists within the Local Bubble, but has been missed by earlier, lower resolution observations. It would be interesting to know if such a population of cool clouds within the Local Bubble would be predicted by models, such as that of Breitschwerdt et al. (2000), for the origin of the LISM clouds.

3.7 Other interstellar components

In addition to the various absorption components discussed above, several stars exhibit additional velocity components. Specifically, HD 152614, HD 158148, HD 158490 and HD 159610 all have one or more relatively broad ($2 \leq b \leq 4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) components in the velocity range $-20 \leq v_{\text{helio}} \leq -10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). This heliocentric velocity range corresponds to velocities which are effectively at rest in the local standard of rest ($-4 \leq v_{\text{helio}} \leq -6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), suggesting an origin in more distant material not partaking in the general motion of the LISM. In addition, HD 152614 also has a weak component at the more negative heliocentric velocity of $-30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; although barely significant in the present data (Fig. 2), this component was also detected by Vallerga et al. (1993) and is certainly real.

While it is possible that some of these components may be circumstellar (Fig. 2), this cannot plausibly account for all of them. It is notable that all four of these stars are fairly distant ($D > 70 \text{ pc}$, and only HD 152614 lying closer than 100 pc), and many discrete absorption components arising in low-density clouds along these lines of sight would be expected. For example, in the case of the much-studied, and comparably distant, star ζ Oph ($l = 6.28^\circ$, $b = +23.59^\circ$, $D = 140\pm15 \text{ pc}$), Barlow et al. (1995) identified a total of 12 interstellar Ca II components in the velocity range $-35 \leq v_{\text{helio}} \leq -5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ on the basis of high signal-to-noise ratio UHRF spectra (cf. their fig. 6). From this perspective it is highly likely that at least the broadest of these components contain additional velocity structure which is obscured by the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio of the present observations.

4 CONCLUSIONS

By obtaining very high resolution observations of interstellar Ca II absorption lines towards eight early-type stars within 15° of α Oph on the sky, I have reached the following conclusions:

(i) Components with velocities ($v_{\text{helio}} \approx -26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) sufficiently close to those of the α Oph cloud component(s) to be plausibly identified with them are only found towards the two stars which are closest to α Oph on the sky (HD 158490 and HD 159610; cf. Fig. 3). This confirms the result of Münch & Unsöld (1962), that the interstellar structure responsible for the anomalously strong Ca II absorption towards α Oph has a spatial extent of $\leq 1 \text{ pc}$ (and is probably very much less). Given this small size, it is suggested that a search for temporal variability would be of interest.

(ii) Although absorption components with velocities close to that predicted for the Local Interstellar Cloud occur towards five of the eight stars studied, the column densities [log N(Ca II) $\approx 10.00$; Table 2] are about two orders of magnitude higher than predicted for the LIC in this direction by the recent model of Redfield & Linsky (2000). It seems likely that some of these components are infact circumstellar (Section 3.2), with the others arising in more distant clouds which nevertheless have LIC-like velocities owing to the general outflow from the Sco-Cen association, which dominates the dynamics of the local interstellar medium.

(iii) The non-detection of the so-called G cloud of Lallement & Bertin (1992) towards these stars argues against the α Oph cloud(s) being part of this structure, despite the coincidence in velocities. As is the case for the LIC-like components, the α Oph cloud(s) probably lies outside the immediate vicinity of the Sun, but exhibits LISM-like velocities owing to the influence of Sco-Cen outflow(s).

(iv) This suggests that the northern boundary of the G Cloud (assuming that such a discrete entity exists at all) lies south of $b = 15^\circ$ in this galactic longitude range [where the strongest constraint comes from the non-detection towards γ Oph reported by Crawford et al. (1997)]. This is just about compatible with the...
distribution sketched by Lallement & Bertin (1992), given the uncertainties, but not with the suggestion of Redfield & Linsky (2000) that the G cloud occupies the area of sky lying within 40° of α Oph.

(v) Three very narrow \( b \leq 0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) components were identified, one towards HD 152614 (α Oph) and two towards HD 158148. These components have low velocities with respect to the LSR, and probably arise in cool \( T_k \approx 700 \text{ K} \) and probably much lower), relatively dense, background interstellar clouds unaffected by the flow(s) away from the Sco-Cen association (see also Génova et al. 1997). However, an origin within the Local Bubble cannot be excluded given the detection of similarly narrow components towards two somewhat closer stars (δ Vel, \( D = 24 \text{ pc} \); α Pav, \( D = 56 \text{ pc} \)) by Crawford et al. (1998). Thus it remains possible that a population of cool clouds in the immediate vicinity of the Sun has been missed by earlier, lower resolution, surveys.
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