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Background: Visual exploration in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is characterized by attenuated social attention. The underlying oculomotor function during visual exploration is understudied, whereas oculomotor function during restricted viewing suggested saccade dysmetria in ASD by altered pontocerebellar motor modulation. Methods: Oculomotor function was recorded using remote eye tracking in 142 ASD participants and 142 matched neurotypical controls during free viewing of naturalistic videos with and without human content. The sample was heterogeneous concerning age (6–30 years), cognitive ability (60–140 IQ), and male/female ratio (3:1). Oculomotor function was defined as saccade, fixation, and pupil-dilation features that were compared between groups in linear mixed models. Oculomotor function was investigated as ASD classifier and features were correlated with clinical measures. Results: We observed decreased saccade duration ($\Delta M = -0.50, CI [-0.21, -0.78]$) and amplitude ($\Delta M = -0.42, CI [-0.12, -0.72]$), which was independent of human video content. We observed null findings concerning fixation and pupil-dilation features (POWER = .81). Oculomotor function is a valid ASD classifier comparable to social attention concerning discriminative power. Within ASD, saccade features correlated with measures of restricted and repetitive behavior. Conclusions: We conclude saccade dysmetria as ASD oculomotor phenotype relevant to visual exploration and emphasize endogenous over exogenous attention. We propose altered pontocerebellar motor modulation as underlying mechanism that contributes to atypical (oculo-)motor coordination and attention function in ASD. Keywords: Eye tracking; pupillometry; visual attention; biomarker; brainstem; cerebellum; locus coeruleus.

Introduction
Visual exploration describes free viewing of stimuli and characterizes how we distribute our visual attention in complex environments (Itti & Koch, 2001). Oculomotor function is the underlying eye muscle movement that motorically bounds visual exploration (Corbetta, 1998). In autism spectrum disorder (ASD), visual exploration is characterized by attenuated attention to social stimuli (Frazier et al., 2017), which has been established as predictor of ASD diagnosis (Jones et al., 2016). This different visual exploration in ASD has been explained by a theory of ‘low social motivation’ (Chevallier, Kohls, Troiani, Brodkin, & Schultz, 2012), although empirical evidence is inconsistent (Bottini, 2018). Alternatively, different visual exploration in ASD might be determined by aberrant oculomotor function (Johnson, Lum, Rinehart, & Fielding, 2016).

In ASD, it is largely unknown whether oculomotor function is different during visual exploration because oculomotor function has been predominantly investigated during restricted viewing, which refers to experimentally manipulated gaze behavior. A meta-analysis on restricted viewing described that ASD participants were less able to inhibit (pro-) saccades in the antisaccade task ($SMD = 1.71$; Johnson et al., 2016), showed increased variation in saccade accuracy during visually guided (pro-) saccades ($SMD = 0.88$), and decreased saccade-to-target accuracy during smooth pursuit ($SMD = 1.35–1.87$). These findings were interpreted as saccade dysmetria, that is, ASD individuals are less able to adapt their saccades to visual targets (Mosconi et al., 2013). However, restricted-viewing paradigms evoke oculomotor function that is uncommon (e.g., antisaccades, smooth pursuit) during visual exploration, whereas visual exploration does not provide unambiguous visual targets to quantify impaired accuracy. Thus, restricted-viewing findings might not be translatable to naturalistic visual exploration.

Oculomotor function during visual exploration has been investigated in limited ASD samples. Infants at risk for ASD showed shorter fixation duration (Wass et al., 2015) and increased reorienting to previously fixated areas (Gliga, Smith, Likely, Charman, & Johnson, 2015) on static images of faces and objects. ASD adults with average IQ showed less fixations per second, longer saccade duration, and...
attenuated saccade velocity on naturalistic static images (Wang et al., 2015). Those oculomotor-function studies in ASD suggested attenuated visual exploration of static images, which is supported by gaze pattern analysis and has been associated with restricted and repetitive behaviors (RRBs; Manyakov et al., 2018). However, these findings may not generalize to the ASD population as contributing effects of cognitive ability and age are unexplored.

In addition, those studies did not conclusively replicate saccade dysmetria, which might be due to the application of static stimuli. In a seminal paper (Chevallier et al., 2015), attenuated social attention only occurred in ASD children/adolescents during naturalistic videos of interacting children compared to static images and face-versus-object videos. In a corresponding meta-analysis, attenuated social attention in ASD was emphasized by stimuli that resembled human social situations (Frazier et al., 2017). In contrast, ASD oculomotor function – as motor boundary of visual exploration – would be independent of human content. Thus, ASD oculomotor function that translates to naturalistic visual exploration needs to be explored during naturalistic videos with and without human content.

Oculomotor function can further inform about underlying neuronal networks (see Figure 1). Saccade production has been attributed to the frontoparietal attention networks including temporoparietal junction (TPJ; Corbetta et al., 1998). These cortical attention networks output attention-target information, which is converted to a topographic map within the superior colliculi, and executed as saccade via pontine and brainstem oculomotor neurons (PBON; Johnson et al., 2016).

Figure 1 Neural networks underlying oculomotor function. Boxes describe associated function of neural network nodes (oval) relevant to oculomotor function. Abbreviations: AI, anterior insula; FEF, frontal eye fields; FON, fastigial oculomotor neurons; IPS, intraparietal sulcus; LC, locus coeruleus; PBON, pontine and brainstem oculomotor neurons; SC, superior colliculi; TPJ, temporoparietal junction; vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex

Fixation production has been attributed to a corticopontocerebellar network (Munoz & Everling, 2004) that also includes PBON and fastigial oculomotor nucleus (FON) both related to saccade inhibition execution. This pontocerebellar network sets corresponding peak velocity for a saccade to a cortically defined visual target (Nakamagoe, Iwamoto, & Yoshida, 2000) and has been associated with rapid transitions in ASD motor execution (Blakemore, Cook, & Press, 2013). A disproportionate setting of peak velocity led to an over- or undershooting of saccades (Guerrasio, Quinet, Büttner, & Goffart, 2010; Johnson et al., 2012) and could be observed during visual exploration as altered saccade duration or amplitude. In ASD, altered pontocerebellar motor modulation has been proposed to explain decreased saccade duration and amplitude during restricted viewing (Mosconi et al., 2013; Takarae, Minshew, Luna, & Sweeney, 2004).

Altered pontocerebellar motor modulation could further contribute to behavioral findings of attenuated visual exploration (Wass & Smith, 2014) and delayed peripheral orienting (Landry & Parker, 2013). A decreased saccade duration and amplitude bound visual exploration to spatially clustered fixations (Gliga, Bedford, et al., 2015; Wang & Munoz, 2015). This could relate saccade dysmetria in ASD to attenuated visual exploration and propose pontocerebellar motor modulation as shared underlying mechanism.

The present study investigates ASD-specific oculomotor function during free viewing of naturalistic videos in comparison with matched neurotypical controls. We assess a large and heterogenous sample to identify findings generalizable to the ASD population.
population. We apply naturalistic videos with and without human content, as oculomotor function should be independent of stimulus content. ASD-specific oculomotor function is operationalized as group differences in saccade, fixation, or pupil dilation (PD) features. Features of oculomotor function that are less frequent during free viewing (e.g., smooth pursuit) are not investigated. We expect ASD-specific oculomotor function that relates to previous restricted-viewing findings of saccade dysmetria. Thus, we hypothesize decreased saccade duration and amplitude during visual exploration. Previous literature also indicated fewer and shorter fixations to social stimuli, which we do not expect in ASD-specific oculomotor function independent of stimulus content. Further, we exploratively investigate PD during visual exploration, as an altered pupillary light reflex has recently been outlined as predictor of ASD symptoms (Nyström et al., 2018).

We assume oculomotor function to be an informative ASD classifier that correlates with ASD clinical screening and symptom measures.

Methods and materials

Sample

The final study sample (ASD: \( n = 142 \), typical development [TD]: \( n = 142 \)) was part of the EU-AIMS LEAP study (see Figure 2; Charman et al., 2017). In contrast to the original study, we additionally excluded ASD participants that scored below clinical cutoff (Gotham, Pickles, & Lord, 2009; Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule [ADOS-2] Calibrated Severity Score < 4, \( n = 105 \)) and participants with substantially deviating IQ scores (IQ < 60|IQ > 140; ASD: \( n = 5 \); TD: \( n = 6 \)). Remaining participants with sufficient data quality (see data preprocessing; ASD: \( n = 194 \), TD: \( n = 217 \)) were matched within age subgroups for full scale IQ, age, gender distribution, and eye-trackers’ sampling rate (see procedure) by nearest neighbor method with 0.1 SD tolerance. Matching excluded 28 children (ASD: \( n = 9 \), TD: \( n = 19 \)), 53 adolescents (ASD: \( n = 31 \), TD: \( n = 22 \)), and 46 adults (ASD: \( n = 12 \), TD: \( n = 34 \)). Matched groups were comparable concerning pre- and postprocessing data quality, but differed on the inattentiveness subscale of the Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) rating scale (Kessler et al., 2005), which is unsurprising given elevated ADHD co-occurrence in ASD (Simonoff et al., 2008). All sample descriptive variables (see Table 1) were considered in the statistical analysis. Clinical characterization suggested ASD symptom heterogeneity (see Table 2).

Procedure

The full EU-AIMS LEAP assessment protocol has been outlined elsewhere (Loth et al., 2017). All participants and their caregiver provided written informed consent. Ethical approval for this study was obtained through ethics committees at each site (see Table S1). Assessments were done at six site-specific eye-tracking laboratories with luminance that is adapted for optimal eye detection (Lux: \( m = 164, SD = 109 \)). Oculomotor function was recorded by remote eye-trackers (Tobii T120 or TX300, Stockholm, Sweden) with a target distance of 65 cm, while heads could be moved freely. Nine naturalistic videos were presented without a specific task (see Table S2). Videos were presented in pseudo-random order on 17- or 23-inch displays with a fixed display area of 345 × 259 mm. Videos were of two content conditions (4 human, 5 nonhuman), varied in duration (10 s–124 s), and were displayed with audio, although no speech was involved – that is, human interactions were either nonverbal or speech was superimposed by ambient sounds – to ensure comparability across multinational sites.

Remote eye-trackers limited sampling rates compared to restricted-viewing studies that usually require head restraints to reduce noise (Schmitt, Cook, Sweeney, & Mosconi, 2014). This was not feasible in our child/adolescent samples and would have contrasted the naturalistic visual exploration rationale. Thus, our lower temporal resolution introduced larger temporal measurement errors. However, simulations demonstrated that this is compensated by our large number of saccade measurements per participant (120 Hz: \( m = 445, SD = 249 \); 300 Hz: \( m = 594, SD = 282 \)), which did not differ between groups (\( t < 1 \)) and is well above suggested cutoffs (Andersson, Nyström, & Holmqvist, 2010).

Data preprocessing

We assessed eye-tracking data sets of 672 individuals (see Figure 2). Raw-data quality was estimated on four quality dimensions (Flicker, Precision, Accuracy, and Binocular Disparity), which were derived from principal component analysis on quality related metrics (Radach, Deubel, Vorstius, & Hofmann, 2017; see Table S3). Based on these four quality dimensions, raw datasets were clustered in four preprocessing
Table 1 Sample descriptives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>Autism spectrum disorder (ASD)</th>
<th>Typical development (TD)</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>142 16.23/5.77 6–30</td>
<td>142 16.21/5.62 6–30</td>
<td></td>
<td>.984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>39 9.6/1.57 6–11</td>
<td>38 9.69/1.59 6–11</td>
<td></td>
<td>.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>55 15.24/1.71 12–17</td>
<td>61 15.4/1.64 12–17</td>
<td></td>
<td>.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>48 22.74/3.7 18–30</td>
<td>43 23.13/3.42 18–30</td>
<td></td>
<td>.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ (score)</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>142 102.17/15.59 61–139</td>
<td>142 102.58/15.31 61–134</td>
<td></td>
<td>.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>55 98.09/18.17 61–139</td>
<td>61 98.67/16.45 61–134</td>
<td></td>
<td>.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>48 102.47/12.13 77–124</td>
<td>44 103.59/15.76 62–132</td>
<td></td>
<td>.707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (category)</td>
<td>Female Male</td>
<td>Female Male</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>37 (26%) 105 (74%)</td>
<td>41 (29%) 101 (71%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>13 (33%) 26 (67%)</td>
<td>11 (29%) 27 (71%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>8 (15%) 47 (85%)</td>
<td>19 (31%) 42 (69%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>16 (33%) 32 (67%)</td>
<td>11 (26%) 32 (74%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD inatt. (total)</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>142 4.47/3.16 0–9</td>
<td>142 1.13/1.84 0–9</td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>39 5.23/3.05 0–9</td>
<td>38 0.63/1.28 0–7</td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>55 4.38/3.05 0–9</td>
<td>61 1.46/2.17 0–9</td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>48 3.96/3.31 0–9</td>
<td>43 1.09/1.67 0–6</td>
<td></td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling rate (Hz)</td>
<td>300 120</td>
<td>300 120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preprocessing (clusters)</td>
<td>Good Medium Flicker Good Medium Flicker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>52 (37%) 49 (35%) 41 (29%) 71 (50%) 40 (28%) 42 (22%) 40 (23%) 30 (26%) 13 (24%) 42 (77%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>11 (28%) 12 (31%) 16 (41%) 15 (39%) 10 (26%) 13 (24%) 19 (35%) 22 (44%) 14 (23%) 47 (77%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.955</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>1 (51%) 12 (82%) 24 (63%) 32 (52%) 20 (33%) 9 (15%) 28 (72%) 12 (28%) 31 (72%) 9 (15%) 28 (72%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.906</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>15 (31%) 32 (69%)</td>
<td>12 (28%) 32 (72%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>.906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprocessing (%)</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td>N Mean/SD Range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>142 99.68/0.41 97.8–100</td>
<td>142 99.67/0.43 97–100</td>
<td></td>
<td>.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>39 99.51/0.5 97.8–100</td>
<td>38 99.56/0.51 97–100</td>
<td></td>
<td>.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescent</td>
<td>55 99.77/0.29 98.8–100</td>
<td>61 99.71/0.39 98.4–100</td>
<td></td>
<td>.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>48 99.71/0.41 98–100</td>
<td>43 99.7/0.35 98.7–100</td>
<td></td>
<td>.883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Test = group comparison with t-test or Chi-square test, ADHD inatt. = ADHD rating scale – inattentiveness sub-scale. preprocessing = preprocessing data quality clusters (flicker = missing segments but otherwise good raw data), postprocessing = postprocessing data quality.

data quality clusters (cluster label [ASD/TD]: Good [125/126], Medium [136/78], Flicker [108/59], Insufficient [29/11]). We dropped the ‘insufficient’ cluster from further analysis (n = 40). A total of 632 raw datasets were preprocessed by GraFIX software (de Urabain, Johnson, & Smith, 2014), which estimated fixations and saccades by a velocity-based identification algorithm (see Table S3). PD was provided by the raw data. We created a postprocessing data quality variable (see Table 1) to check whether preprocessing delivered sufficient data quality, which was defined as percentage of successful fixation/saccade identification per video. Five participants (n = 5) with deviating postprocessing data quality – less than 97% – were excluded and additional participants with a sampling rate smaller than 120 Hz (n = 8). Additional datasets were excluded as demographic data was incomplete (n = 92).

Oculomotor features

Seven oculomotor features (OFs) were selected based on previous literature and calculated in the preprocessed data. This includes fixation duration (Wass & Smith, 2014), fixations per second (Auyeung et al., 2015), relative pupil dilation (Mill, O’Connor, & Dobbins, 2016), that is, absolute pupil dilation corrected for per-participant mean, saccade duration (Schmitt et al., 2014), saccade amplitude (Mosconi et al., 2013; Wass et al., 2015), and peak saccade velocity (Schmitt et al., 2014; Takarae, Minshew, Luna, & Sweeney, 2004). We further included velocity main sequence as coefficient of peak saccade velocity and saccade amplitude (Bashill, Clark, & Stark, 1975), which allows to interpret peak saccade velocity independent of varying saccade amplitude. For each of the 7 OFs, we calculated a mean and SD of each OF for all participants and video (see Table 3), which were applied as dependent variables in the statistical analysis. We were not able to estimate accuracy and latency measures (Johnston et al., 2016), as the visual exploration precludes a priori definition of visual targets.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by R 3.4.3. software (R Core Team, 2014) with additional packages (Bates, Maechler, Bolker, & Walker, 2014; Ho, Imai, King, Stuart, & Whitworth, 2018; Kuznetsova, Brockhoff, & Christensen, 2017; Robin et al., 2011; Russell, 2018). Oculomotor features (OFs) were scaled and separately compared in i = 14 linear mixed models with restricted maximum likelihood estimation and group as fixed effect.
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Table 2 Clinical characterization of the autism spectrum disorder sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (unit)</th>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Adolescent</th>
<th>Adult</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADOS-2 (CSS)</td>
<td>Mean/SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean/SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6.74/1.9</td>
<td>4–10</td>
<td>7.20/1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social affect</td>
<td>7.13/1.81</td>
<td>3–10</td>
<td>7.71/1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted/repetitive</td>
<td>5.77/3.06</td>
<td>1–10</td>
<td>4.73/2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADI-R (total)</td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>15.15/7.23</td>
<td>1–26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>14.82/5.25</td>
<td>5–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted/repetitive</td>
<td>4.79/3.15</td>
<td>0–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questionnaires (total)</td>
<td>SRS parent</td>
<td>105.24/27.7</td>
<td>51–163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SRS self</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RBS-R</td>
<td>21.25/13.77</td>
<td>1–62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We included video content (human, nonhuman) as within-subject fixed effect and modeled an interaction with group. Main group effects without video content interactions would indicate ASD-specific oculomotor function that is independent of human content. We modeled age (child: 6–11 years, adolescent: 12–17 years, adult: 18–30 years), IQ (below average: <85, average: 85–115, above average: >115), and sex (male, female) as categorical, between-subject fixed effects and allowed two-way interactions with group. Categorization of age and IQ does allow to interpret interactions with group as oculomotor function specific to ASD covariate subgroups. Sampling rate, preprocessing data quality, and postprocessing data quality were controlled for by inclusion as covariates. We further included the inattention subscale of the ADHD rating scale as covariate, as we expected ADHD inattentiveness symptoms to affect visual exploration. Participant ID nested in study site were included as random effects. Fixed effects were tested for significance by ANOVA with Satterthwaite's method. Fixed-effect significance is corrected for false detection rate (FDR) by the number of estimated models (i = 14). We report pairwise comparisons of predicted marginal means (ΔM) to quantify group differences for significant effects, which are presented with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Oculomotor function was defined as the composite of the 14 OFs. Discriminative power of oculomotor function was assessed by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves, which were estimated by a logistic regression with the 14 OFs as predictors of group affiliation in the overall sample and in age, IQ, and sex subgroups. Area under the curve (AUC) is reported with confidence intervals (Sun & Xu, 2014).

In addition, OFs were correlated by Pearson’s coefficient with ASD symptom and screening measures. These measures

Table 3 Descriptives of oculomotor features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oculomotor feature (unit)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Plausible range</th>
<th>Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)</th>
<th>Typical development (TD)</th>
<th>KW-test</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD Min Max</td>
<td>Mean SD Min Max</td>
<td>Mean SD Min Max</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixation duration (ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100–800 339.65 16.54</td>
<td>250.86 473.93</td>
<td>335.52 38.57 188.83</td>
<td>459.20</td>
<td>.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixations per second (1/s)</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>1.37 0.40 0.32</td>
<td>2.23 1.48 0.42</td>
<td>0.34 2.32</td>
<td>.051</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative pupil dilation (%/100)</td>
<td>0.7–1.3</td>
<td>1.01 0.04 0.89</td>
<td>1.19 1.01 0.05</td>
<td>0.87 1.14</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccade duration (ms)</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>31.20 3.56 23.37 43.98</td>
<td>31.61 3.53 24.23 47.59</td>
<td>.606</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saccade amplitude (°)</td>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>5.52 0.70 3.18 7.06</td>
<td>5.72 0.75 3.66 7.89</td>
<td>.066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak saccade velocity (/s)</td>
<td>0–1,500</td>
<td>386.56 93.11 249.60 689.82</td>
<td>380.93 87.99 225.14 663.16</td>
<td>.744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity main sequence (1/s)</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>76.23 15.00 48.17 120.18</td>
<td>74.67 15.89 51.46 119.27</td>
<td>.146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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are described above (Table 2) and further outlined elsewhere (Loth et al., 2017). We report significant coefficients after Bonferroni’s multiple comparison correction.

**POWER analysis**

We estimated the achieved POWER for group differences by simulation with the observed random variance (Green & MacLeod, 2016). POWER confidence intervals (CI) were based on 1,000 iterations. For small group differences ($\Delta M = 0.2$), we achieved a POWER ($1 - \beta$) of 81%, CI [78.43, 83.39].

**Results**

Full models are provided in Tables S4–S6 with effects for age (Table S7) and video content (Table S8). These fixed effects were independent of ASD and thus not further discussed here. We further found no effect of IQ on oculomotor function.

**Oculomotor function in autism spectrum disorder**

During visual exploration of naturalistic videos, we found ASD-specific features with decreased means in saccade duration ($\Delta M = -0.50, \text{CI} [-0.21, -0.78]$) and saccade amplitude ($\Delta M = -0.42, \text{CI} [-0.12, -0.72]$). There were no significant interactions, which indicated that ASD-specific oculomotor function was independent of video content, IQ, sex, or age. Decreased saccade duration and amplitude were further controlled for the effects of pre- and processing data quality, sampling rate, and ADHD inattentiveness ratings. In contrast, we did not observe group differences concerning fixation or pupil-dilation features.

**Discriminative power**

Oculomotor function as feature composite measure was able to classify group affiliation in the overall sample with $\text{AUC} = .670, \text{CI} [0.608, 0.733]$. Discriminative power was significantly increased ($\text{AUC} > .733$) when comparing classification in specific subgroups like children, adults, females, below average IQ, and above average IQ (see Table 4). In contrast, discriminative power was not increased when classification was restricted to human or nonhuman videos (see Figure 3).

**Correlations with clinical measures**

In correlation analysis, ADI-R restricted/repetitive domain score correlated positively with mean in velocity main sequence ($r(134) = .26, p_{\text{adj}} < .037$), whereas SSP total score correlated negatively with variance in velocity main sequence ($r(84) = -.32, p_{\text{adj}} < .041$). Descriptive correlations are presented in Table S9.

**Discussion**

We investigated ASD-specific oculomotor function during visual exploration of naturalistic videos. This design delivers increased ecological validity compared to restricted-viewing paradigms. We did not find group differences in fixation or pupil-dilation features, which is reliable regarding the achieved POWER. In contrast, we did find ASD-specific oculomotor function with decreased saccade duration and saccade amplitude. This saccade dysmetria was independent of human video content and thus cannot be explained by attenuated social attention. In our heterogenous sample, these findings were independent of age, cognitive ability, and sex. Thus, decreased saccade amplitude and duration during naturalistic visual exploration are concluded as an oculomotor phenotype relevant across the ASD population.

Decreased saccade amplitude and duration describe an ASD gaze behavior that is characterized by erratic saccades to peripheral targets (see Tables S5 and S6). Additional compensatory saccades will be required to reach peripheral targets (Takarae, Luna, Minshew, & Sweeney, 2008), which has been described during restricted viewing as less precise saccades (Takarae, Minshew, Luna, Krisky, & Sweeney, 2004) and increased variation in accuracy (Mosconi et al., 2013). This interrelation of decreased saccade amplitude/duration and impaired saccade accuracy relates our visual exploration findings to previous restricted-viewing findings (Johnson et al., 2012).

Decreased saccade amplitude and duration further describe spatially clustered fixations during visual exploration. This is in line with ASD findings for static pictures with decreased gaze deviations from screen center (Wang et al., 2015) and increased reorienting to previously fixated areas (Gliga, Smith, et al., 2015). Accordingly, decreased saccade amplitude and duration reflect attenuated visual exploration. Specifically, ASD visual exploration could be characterized by reduced exogenous compared to endogenous attention (Corbetta, Patel, & Shulman, 2008). Exogenous attention describes stimulus-driven and spatially distributed visual exploration, whereas endogenous attention describes task-focused and spatially clustered visual exploration (Chica, Bartolomeo, & Lupiáñez, 2013). Reduced exogenous attention in ASD was reported for behavioral attention tasks (Renner, Grofer Klinger, & Klinger, 2006). In infants at risk for ASD, a delayed response was reported to disengage gaze from a central visual target to orient to a peripheral one, which was a predictor of later ASD diagnosis (Bedford et al., 2017). Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis in ASD described delayed peripheral orienting in Posner-type attention tasks (Landry & Parker, 2013). We conclude that these behavioral findings and decreased saccade amplitude/duration both describe attenuated visual exploration in ASD with reduced exogenous compared to endogenous attention.
Decreased saccade amplitude and duration also correspond to meta-analytic findings of impaired motor coordination in ASD, such as during arm movement, gait, or postural control (Fournier, Hass, Naik, Lodha, & Cauraugh, 2010). Impaired motor coordination in ASD has been described by increased variation of movement speeds (Gowen & Hamilton, 2013) and rapid transitions in motor execution (‘jerky movements’; Blakemore et al., 2013). This erratic motor execution is reflected in oculomotor function as decreased saccade duration and amplitude.

We propose altered modulation between FON and PBON – that is, pontocerebellar connectivity – as shared underlying neural mechanism of erratic (oculo-)motor execution. Pontocerebellar connectivity modulates finetuning of motor execution and ballistic saccade trajectories (Bodranghien et al., 2016) and has been proposed to explain saccade dysmetria independent of ASD (Choi, Kim, Cho, & Kim, 2008; Golla et al., 2008). This corresponds well to resting-state findings in our EU-AIMS LEAP sample (Oldehinkel et al., 2018), which reported ASD-specific increased connectivity of the cerebellum with a medial motor network including FON and PBON. In ASD, increased pontocerebellar connectivity has been associated with saccade dysmetria during restricted viewing (Schmitt et al., 2014). A recent review outlined brainstem alterations and its cascading effects on cortical and cerebellar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Adolescent</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Above average</th>
<th>Below average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Human</th>
<th>Nonhuman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower bound</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper bound</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>0.864</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.751</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lower and upper bounds of AUC 95% confidence intervals.

Figure 3 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of oculomotor function. Black lines represent the ROC in the overall sample. Colored lines represent ROC curves in subgroups or video content conditions.
development as promising etiological factor in ASD (Dadalko & Travers, 2018). Thus, altered pontocerebellar motor modulation underlying saccade dysmetria – and other motor execution findings – might be a promising ASD biomarker.

Oculomotor function as feature composite measure was able to determine group affiliation in our heterogenous sample in 67% of the cases (AUC = .670, CI [0.608, 0.733]). This performance is comparable to the widely established ‘social attention’ as ASD classifier, which was investigated in toddlers (AUC = .710, CI [0.660, 0.760]; Pierce et al., 2016), younger children (AUC = .853, CI [0.705, 0.964]; Frazier et al., 2016), and older children (AUC = .721, CI [0.589, 0.853]; Chevalier et al., 2015). However, these studies assessed more homogenous samples with restricted age and IQ ranges compared to our study. Accordingly, classifier performance was significantly increased (AUC > .733) in more homogenous age, IQ, and sex subgroups (see Table S6), which emphasized those covariates as ASD stratification markers (Charman et al., 2017).

Within the ASD sample, restricted and repetitive behavior (RRB) as measured by ADI-R was positively correlated ($r = .26$) with velocity main sequence, which describes peak saccade velocity divided by saccade amplitude (see Table S9). This relates saccade dysmetria to the ASD core symptom domain B (i.e., RRB). In addition, decreased atypical sensory responses as measured by a higher SSP score was negatively correlated ($r = -.32$) with variation in velocity main sequence. Thus, higher sensory symptoms introduce additional variation to saccade behavior within ASD. Sensory symptoms were closely associated with other RRB (Mandy, Charman, & Skuse, 2012), and RRB was related to ASD-specific gaze patterns (Manyakov et al., 2018). Thus, saccade dysmetria is further concluded as oculomotor indicator of RRB in ASD.

Our findings are preliminary and might not generalize to people with subclinical ASD symptoms, as we applied ADOS clinical cutoff as inclusion criterion. Independent replication is recommended with eye trackers of improved precision and higher sampling rate. Future studies would need to evaluate the specificity of ASD-specific oculomotor function in comparison with ADHD, which is likewise associated with aberrant visual exploration. Future studies may further relate ASD-specific oculomotor function to concurrent gaze behavior including social attention.

Conclusions
Oculomotor function during visual exploration in ASD is characterized by decreased saccade duration and amplitude. This extends previous findings of inaccurate saccades during restricted viewing to naturalistic visual exploration. This saccade dysmetria is concluded as ASD oculomotor phenotype, which bounds saccades to spatially clustered fixations that attenuate visual exploration independent of human content. Saccade dysmetria was further related to RRB in ASD. Altered pontocerebellar motor modulation is proposed as underlying mechanism of erratic (oculo-)motor execution that contributes to attenuated visual exploration.
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Key points

- During restricted viewing, previous research indicated saccade dysmetria in autism.

- During visual exploration, we specify saccade dysmetria in autism to decreased saccade duration and amplitude, which suggests attenuated visual exploration.

- Altered pontocerebellar motor modulation is outlined as underlying mechanism that should be further investigated as ASD biomarker.
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