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INTRODUCTION

Research suggests that offspring of mothers who experience high levels of stress during pregnancy are more likely to have problems in neurobehavioral development. There is preliminary evidence that prenatal maternal stress (PNMS) is a risk factor for both autism and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), however most studies do not control for confounding factors and no study has investigated PNMS as a risk factor for behaviors characteristic of these disorders in early childhood. A population cohort of 2900 pregnant women were recruited before their 18th week of pregnancy and investigated prospectively. Maternal experience of stressful life events was assessed during pregnancy. When offspring were age 2 years, mothers completed the child behavior checklist. Multiple regression showed that maternal stressful events during pregnancy significantly predicted ADHD behaviors in offspring, after controlling for autistic traits and other confounding variables, in both males (p = 0.03) and females (p = 0.01). Similarly, stressful events during pregnancy significantly predicted autistic traits in the offspring after controlling for ADHD behaviors and confounding variables, in males only (p = 0.04). In conclusion, this study suggests that PNMS, in the form of typical stressful life events such as divorce or a residential move, show a small but significant association with both autistic traits and ADHD behaviors independently, in offspring at age 2 years, after controlling for multiple antenatal, obstetric, postnatal, and sociodemographic covariates. This finding supports future research using epigenetic, cross-fostering, and gene–environment interaction designs to identify the causal processes underlying this association.

Keywords: prenatal, stress, ADHD, autism, autistic traits, Raine Study

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; CBCL, child behavior checklist; PDP, pervasive developmental problems; PNMS, prenatal maternal stress.
6- to 12-year-old children with diagnosed ADHD that relied on maternal retrospective recall reported that maternal emotional stress was significantly associated with offspring ADHD diagnosis (McIntosh et al., 1995) and that number of stressful life events during the mother’s pregnancy was associated with the severity of the offspring’s ADHD symptoms (Grisenko et al., 2008). In addition, positive life events and marital satisfaction were found to be lower during pregnancy in women who had children with ADHD versus controls (Lee et al., 2006). Finally, using a cross-fostering design, a significant association between ADHD symptoms in offspring and maternal stress was reported in biologically related mothers but not in a group of non-biologically related mothers, which led the authors to suggest that the association between PNMS and ADHD may be due to inherited factors (Rice et al., 2010).

Although their core diagnostic symptoms do not explicitly overlap, high levels of comorbidity between ASD and ADHD have been reported. For example, a population-derived sample that used structured assessments found that 28% of their sample with ASD also had ADHD (Simonoff et al., 2008). Autistic traits and ADHD behaviors are positively correlated in the general population (Constantino et al., 2003; Reiersen et al., 2008; Ronald et al., 2008, 2010), and twin studies suggest that ADHD behaviors and autistic traits share some genetic and environmental influences (Constantino et al., 2003; Reiersen et al., 2008; Ronald et al., 2008, 2010). The identification of risk factors for ASD and ADHD is an important goal for child mental health research and for developmental psychology.

The first aim of this study was to extend the literature reviewed above to explore the association between PNMS and autistic traits and ADHD behaviors in 2-year-olds, using a prospective longitudinal sample, a broad measure of common stressors, and including multiple confounders. Because both ADHD and autism show a male predominance, analyses were split by gender. The second aim was to explore whether PNMS is associated with both autistic traits and ADHD behaviors independently, or whether PNMS is part of a common etiological pathway that could help explain the high co-occurrence of autistic traits and ADHD behaviors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The Western Australia Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) Study is a longitudinal study of women and their children recruited between 16 and 18 weeks of pregnancy from the public antenatal clinic at King Edward Memorial Hospital (KEMH) or surrounding private clinics in Perth. Approximately 100 women per month were enrolled from August 1989 to April 1992, with a final sample of 2900 pregnancies. The inclusion criteria were English language skills sufficient to understand the study demands, an expectation to deliver at KEMH, and an intention to remain in Western Australia to enable future follow-up of their child (Newnham et al., 1993). From the 2900 pregnancies recruited, 2868 live born children have been comprehensively phenotyped over the past 20 years. Children with intellectual disability with a known cause were excluded from the current study ($n = 29$). Participant recruitment and all follow-ups of the study families were approved by the Human Ethics Committee at KEMH and/or Princess Margaret Hospital for Children in Perth.

**OUTCOME VARIABLES**

Child behavior was assessed at the 2-year follow-up using the child behavior checklist (CBCL) for Ages 1½ – 5, an empirically validated parent-report measure (Achenbach et al., 1987). Caregivers were provided with 99 statements regarding their child’s behavior and asked to respond on a three-point Likert scale as to whether a particular statement was very true (score of 2), somewhat true (score of 1) or not true (score of 0). The ADHD and pervasive developmental problems (PDP) scales are based upon DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) symptom categories for ADHD and Autistic Disorder, respectively. The ADHD scale consists of six statements assessing a child’s inattentive and hyperactive behavior (e.g., “Cannot concentrate, cannot pay attention for long,” “Cannot sit still, restless, or hyperactive”), and the PDP scale includes 13 statements regarding the child’s social-communicative (e.g., “Avoids looking others in the eye”) and repetitive behaviors/routines (e.g., “Disturbed by any change in routine”). Responses to items within each scale were summed to provide a total score, with higher scores denoting more ADHD symptoms (range: 0–12) or ASD-like traits (range: 0–26). Scores from cases with missing data on up to half of the items on a particular scale were prorated to yield a score out of the maximum for that scale. Data were censored as missing when more than half of the items on a particular scale were not answered.

The PDP preschool scale has an acceptable test–retest reliability of 0.86 and high sensitivity for detecting children with ADOS-G classification of autism (80%) but modest specificity (42%; Sikora et al., 2008). The ADHD CBCL preschool scale has been shown to have test–retest reliability of 0.74 and an odds ratio of 4, that is, children who score in the clinical range have four times greater odds of being from a referred sample than those that do not score in the clinical range (Achenbach and Rescorla, 2000). The internal consistency in the Raine cohort was modest for the PDP Scale (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.60$) and adequate for the ADHD scale (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.77$).

The PDP scale can be further divided into two subscales, relating to social and non-social autistic-like traits (Ronald et al., 2010). From the CBCL PDP scale, six items related to social behaviors (e.g., paucity of social interaction, not answering to name) and five items to non-social behaviors (e.g., preference for sameness, repetitive body motions), with a further two PDP items excluded because they do not clearly relate to either category (“speech problems” and “strange behaviors”). Within each subscale, individual item scores were tallied to provide an overall index ranging from 0 to 12 (social subscale) or 0 to 10 (non-social subscale), with higher scores indicating greater severity of traits. The prorating procedure described above was applied to these subscales as well. The internal consistency for the social (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.41$) and non-social subscales (Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.50$) in the sample were modest. Both scales were positively skewed and were normalized using cumulative frequency rank-transformation.

**PREDICTOR VARIABLES**

The predictor variable was the number of stress events experienced by mothers during pregnancy. Mothers were asked at 18 and 34 weeks gestation whether or not they had experienced any of 10 major life stress events selected from a broader life stress inventory...
Statistical Analyses

Multivariate linear regression analyses using the block enter method were employed. Missing data were deleted pairwise. Analyses were conducted separately for males (n = 913) and females (n = 833). A sample size of 800 is robust to detect an effect size of 0.05 with 99% power and 95% confidence and 15 predictors.

Results

Table 1 presents the frequency characteristics of the cohort with maternal stress event information during pregnancy and CBCL data at age 2 years. Figures 1A,B show the distribution of the PDP and ADHD scores for these participants, respectively. Continuous variables were normally distributed (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test of normality, p > 0.05), except for the number of stress events during pregnancy and during the first year of life, which were rank transformed using cumulative frequency z-scores. Z-scores of this variable were used for all analyses. Independent t-tests and chi-square analyses found a gender difference between one predictor variable only, with fathers of girls more likely to be absent from the family home during pregnancy (p = 0.01; all other comparisons, p > 0.10). Gender differences in PDP and ADHD scales were then examined. PDP scores were positively skewed and were rank transformed using cumulative frequency z-scores. Once again, z-scores for this variable were used for all analyses. Independent t-tests revealed that males (M = 5.97, SD = 2.72, range = 0–12) had significantly higher scores on the ADHD scale than females (M = 5.6, SD = 2.7, range = 0–12, p = 0.01). There was a trend in the same direction for PDP scores (untransformed scores for males: M = 3.17, SD = 2.52, range = 0–15; females: M = 2.97, SD = 2.35, range = 0–16), however the difference did not reach statistical significance (comparison for transformed scores, p = 0.14).

Bivariate analyses identified a significant positive correlation between the number of stress events during pregnancy and the PDP and ADHD scales in male offspring (r = 0.17 and 0.15, respectively, both p < 0.01). For females, stress events during pregnancy positively correlated with the ADHD subscale (r = 0.14, p < 0.01), but not with the PDP scale (r = 0.07, n.s.).

Univariate linear regression analyses examined the relation between the individual confounding variables and PDP and ADHD scores. All the variables presented in Tables 2 and 3 showed a significant association with either the PDP or ADHD scale. No associations were found with either PDP or ADHD scales at age 2 years for the following variables: maternal country of birth, moderate maternal smoking (1–10 cigarettes per day) and alcohol intake (once per week or less) during pregnancy, gestational age at birth, proportion of optimal birthweight, or Apgar scores at 5 min. These variables were excluded from further analyses.

Table 2 presents the results of the multivariate linear regression analyses examining the effect of the predictor variable and covariates on PDP scores. There was a significant, positive association between the number of maternal stress events experienced during pregnancy and PDP scores in male offspring (p < 0.01), but not female offspring (p = 0.79), after controlling for all the confounding variables. The inclusion of PNMS in the second block of the regression analysis for males significantly improved the model,
In additional analyses, the association between the number of stress events during pregnancy and scores on PDP “social” and “non-social” subscales at age 2 years was assessed separately. The number of stress events was positively correlated with the social and non-social subscales in males (social: \( r = 0.11; \) non-social: \( r = 0.13; \) both \( p < 0.001 \)) but not females (social: \( r = 0.03; \) non-social: \( r = 0.06; \) both \( p > 0.05 \)). Multivariate linear regression for males found a significant effect of stress events on scores for the non-social scale (\( p = 0.01 \)) but not the social scale (\( p = 0.16 \)).

Multivariate linear regression models investigating the effect of PNMS on ADHD scores at age 2 years (Table 3) identified a significant positive association in males (\( p = 0.03 \)) and females (\( p < 0.01 \)). PNMS accounted for 1% of the variance in ADHD scores at age 2 years in both genders, after controlling for multiple confounds, including PDP scores. Child scores on the PDP subscale positively predicted child ADHD scores in males and females. Among males, maternal smoking of 11+ cigarettes per day during pregnancy accounted for an additional 1% of the variation in PDP scores at age 2 years. Of the potential confounders included in the models, child ADHD scores were positively associated with child PDP scores in both genders, while maternal baby blues symptoms were associated with higher PDP scores in females only. Among males, child’s age positively predicted PDP scores, while maternal consumption of alcohol several times a week or more during pregnancy was negatively associated with PDP scores.

Table 1 | Frequency distribution of the predictor variable (N stress events during pregnancy) and covariates (other factors) for male and female offspring.

| Continuous variables | Males | | Females | |
|----------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|                      | \( n \) | \( M \) | \( SD \) | \( n \) | \( M \) | \( SD \) |
| Maternal age at conception (years) | 913 | 28.15 | 5.63 | 833 | 27.96 | 5.75 |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks) | 888 | 39.40 | 2.62 | 808 | 39.37 | 1.98 |
| \( N \) stress events during pregnancy | 913 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 833 | 1.87 | 1.83 |
| Proportion of optimal birth weight (%) | 912 | 97.52 | 12.71 | 829 | 97.31 | 12.44 |
| 5 min Apgar scores | 913 | 9.10 | 3.06 | 831 | 9.05 | 0.71 |
| Baby blues symptoms | 796 | 2.96 | 2.95 | 729 | 2.93 | 3.05 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorical variables</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education at pregnancy</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed secondary school</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>41.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not complete secondary school</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>58.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking in pregnancy</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>78.20</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>76.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–10 cigarettes daily</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11+ cigarettes daily</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption during pregnancy</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>58.50</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>62.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week or less</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>35.80</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Several times a week or more</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income during pregnancy</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \geq $24,000 )</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>61.90</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>60.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(&lt; $24,000 )</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>39.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological father living with family during pregnancy</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>88.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>45.60</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>50.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>31.40</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>28.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 1 | Histograms showing the distribution of scores on the autistic trait (A) and ADHD behavior (B) subscales for the 1746 two-year-olds.
pregnancy and maternal baby blues symptoms related to higher scores on the ADHD scale, while the child’s age at CBCL assessment and a parity of greater than one was associated with lower ADHD scores.

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and PDP scales correlated significantly and positively (r = 0.38 for males, r = 0.34 for females, both p < 0.001). Partialling out PNMS did not significantly diminish these correlations (partial correlation after controlling for PNMS: r = 0.36 for males, r = 0.32 for females, both p < 0.001).

Finally, because one item in the PNMS measure (“pregnancy problems”) could be confounded with perinatal complications, which have been associated with autism and ADHD, analyses were rerun after removing this one item from the PNMS measure. The results were not significantly different: the effect of PNMS without the pregnancy problems item on PDP scores was significant for boys (p = 0.005) but not girls (p = 0.446), and the effect of PNMS without the pregnancy problems item on ADHD scores was significant for both boys and girls (p = 0.014 and 0.028, respectively).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this prospective investigation was to explore for the first time the association between PNMS and autistic traits and ADHD behaviors in 2-year-olds. PNMS was significantly associated with ADHD behaviors in both genders after controlling for autistic traits. PNMS was significantly associated with autistic traits after controlling for the effect of ADHD behaviors in boys only. Autistic traits and ADHD behaviors were moderately correlated in our sample, and partialling out the effect of PNMS did not significantly lower the correlation. These findings suggest that PNMS is independently associated with both autistic traits and ADHD behaviors. In terms of effect size, PNMS explained 1% of the variance of both autistic traits and ADHD behaviors, after controlling for multiple covariates. Although small, this effect was significant for both scales (with the exception of females for autistic traits).

Although previous studies have shown an association between specific prenatal stressors (family discord, hurricanes) and retrospectively recalled stressors (stressful life events) with autism, this is the first study to use a prospective assessment of a broad array of common stressors as an indicator of PNMS, and to report an association with a broader quantitative measure of autistic traits in a preschool sample. In terms of the PNMS and ADHD literature, this study concurs with existing literature in showing a significant

---

**Table 2** | Multivariate linear regression model for autistic trait scores at age 2 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 1: COVARIATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at conception</td>
<td>-0.05  0.01</td>
<td>-0.06  0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father not present in family home</td>
<td>0.02  0.09</td>
<td>-0.03  0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income &lt;$AUD24,000</td>
<td>0.00  0.06</td>
<td>0.01  0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATERNAL INTAKE DURING PREGNANCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol ≥ several times per week</td>
<td>-0.07* 0.13</td>
<td>-0.04  0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking 11+ cigarettes per day</td>
<td>0.00  0.09</td>
<td>0.01  0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARTY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00  0.07</td>
<td>0.03  0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>-0.02 0.08</td>
<td>0.00  0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby blues symptoms</td>
<td>0.06  0.01</td>
<td>0.11** 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s age at 2 year assessment</td>
<td>0.07* 0.18</td>
<td>0.06  0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADHD score</td>
<td>0.35** 0.01</td>
<td>0.33* 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$ for step 1</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 2: PREDICTORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N stress events during pregnancy</td>
<td>0.10** 0.04</td>
<td>-0.01  0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta R^2$ for step 2</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in $F_{model}$</td>
<td>9.22**</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Moderate maternal smoking and alcohol intake during pregnancy, gestational age at birth, proportion of optimal birthweight, Apgar scores (5 min) and maternal country of birth were excluded from the analyses because they did not show a significant univariate association with PDP or ADHD scales for either sex. PDP, pervasive developmental problems (autistic traits) subscale. ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity subscale. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

**Table 3** | Multivariate linear regression model for ADHD behavior scores at age 2 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 1: COVARIATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age at conception</td>
<td>0.01  0.02</td>
<td>0.01  0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father not present in family home</td>
<td>0.01 0.29</td>
<td>0.02  0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family income &lt;$AUD24,000</td>
<td>-0.01  0.20</td>
<td>0.07  0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MATERNAL INTAKE DURING PREGNANCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol ≥ several times per week</td>
<td>0.02  0.40</td>
<td>-0.05  0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>0.07* 0.28</td>
<td>0.05  0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11+ cigarettes per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity = 1</td>
<td>0.06  0.21</td>
<td>-0.02  0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity &gt;1</td>
<td>-0.09* 0.25</td>
<td>-0.07  0.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baby blues symptoms</td>
<td>0.09* 0.03</td>
<td>-0.03  0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child’s age at 2 year assessment</td>
<td>-0.08* 0.56</td>
<td>0.00  0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDP score</td>
<td>0.35** 0.10</td>
<td>0.33** 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$ for Step 1</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEP 2: PREDICTORS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N stress events during pregnancy</td>
<td>0.07* 0.11</td>
<td>0.10** 0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta R^2$ for Step 2</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in $F_{model}$</td>
<td>4.73*</td>
<td>7.50**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDP, pervasive developmental problems (autistic traits) subscale. ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity subscale. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.
association between PNMS and ADHD behaviors, and it extends
the literature by showing that this association still holds after con-
trolling for a large number of covariates, including autistic traits,
and that the association is significant in preschool children.

In terms of the covariates, it is notable that significant asso-
ciations were observed between ADHD behaviors in 2-year-old
boys and prenatal maternal smoking and postnatal maternal baby
blues symptoms; findings that concur with some previous litera-
ture (e.g., Hay et al., 2003; D’Onofrio et al., 2008). A significant
association was also observed between autistic traits in 2-year-old
girls and postnatal maternal baby blues symptoms. The gender
differences in these findings are intriguing but require replication
in other samples.

The prospectively collected data, the large sample, and the statis-
tical adjustment for a large number of covariates are clear strengths
of this study. Several limitations of the current design should also be
noted. Autistic traits and ADHD behaviors were based on maternal
ratings. Correlated rater error would act to inflate the correlation
between maternally rated PNMS and maternally rated autistic
and ADHD behaviors in the offspring. However, parental assess-
ment of problem behavior is a practical option for large preschool
samples, and parents are familiar with behavior across time and a
range of contexts. In terms of the measures, it was advantageous
to employ scales from the CBCL, which have been widely used and
assessed for reliability and validity (Achenbach and Rescorla, 2000).
Nevertheless, the reliability, as assessed by Cronbach’s \( \alpha \), for the
PDP scale was modest in the present sample. Error of measurement
from these scales would act to decrease the phenotypic correlation
between PNMS and offspring behavior, which would reduce the
observable effect size of the association. As such, if anything this
error of measurement acts to make the results more conservative.

The study did not include information on the mothers’ sub-
jective experience of the stressors. There is some evidence that
objective measures of stress are better than subjective measures
at predicting later child outcome (Laplante et al., 2008). Finally,
the sample was subject to a modest degree of attrition bias, with
socially disadvantaged mothers less likely to participate. While
the loss of these participants may have affected the results of this
study, computer simulations using data from the Avon Longitudinal
Study of Parents and Children, have found that selective dropout
in cohort studies only marginally affects regression coefficients, if
participant selection occurs according to the predictor variable(s)
(Wolke et al., 2009). Future studies that prospectively assess moth-
ers’ PNMS and their offspring’s behavior could aim to use longer
measures and observational (rather than maternal) assessments in
order to avoid potential for correlated rater error between the two
points of assessment.

What are the potential genetic and environmental causes under-
lying these associations? Stressful life events might be considered
to be environmental variables. However, it is a well-established
finding in behavior genetics that many “environmental” variables
show genetic influence, the so-called “nature of nurture” (Plomin
and Bergeman, 1991). It is possible that genes that influence to what
degree mothers experience stress during pregnancy are shared with
the genes that influence risk for developmental problems in off-
spring (who share 50% of their mother’s DNA). A recent study that
tried to disentangle the role of genetic and environmental influences
on the relationship between PNMS and developmental problems in
offspring employed a cross-fostering design – comparing ADHD
behaviors in offspring whose prenatal environment was provided
by a genetically related “biological” mother versus an unrelated
“non-biological” mother. The study found that ADHD behaviors
in offspring of non-biological mothers did not show an association
with PNMS (Rice et al., 2010). This suggests that the association
between PNMS and ADHD behaviors in offspring may be due to
inherited factors. No cross-fostering design has studied PNMS and
autism or autistic traits.

The degree to which the mother’s genes influence PNMS will
depend on the nature of the stressor. For example, hurricanes
and wars are likely to be stressors that occur independently of the
mother’s behavior and of her genotype, whereas the presence of
family discord is likely to be partly correlated with the mother’s
genotype (this is analogous to life events being distinguished as to
whether they are independent or not necessarily independent of
disease onset, e.g., Brown and Birley, 1968). In the present study,
we included stressors such as “death of a close friend” that, in most
cases, are unlikely to be related to the mother’s genotype, whereas
“personal relationship problems” and “money problems” may be
correlated with heritable components such as maternal tempera-
ment (gene–environment correlation). However, our measure of
PNMS did not include enough items that might be deemed suffi-
ciently independent of the mother’s genotype to test the association
separately for these types of stressful events. The mother’s genotype
will also affect the nature of the stress response, regardless of the
type of stressor. Further research is needed; our tentative prediction
is that the 1% variance that PNMS explained in ADHD behaviors/
autistic traits in preschool children in our study is due to both
genetic and environmental factors.

Finally, gene–environment interaction studies could reveal
further information about causality. In this context there could be
both maternal genotype \( \times \) environment interactions and child
genotype \( \times \) environment interactions. That is, the effects of PNMS
on autistic or ADHD traits may differ depending on the mother’s
or the child’s underlying genotypes (e.g., Wakschlag et al., 2010).
In this vein, a recent study reported a significant gene–environment
interaction between the catechol O-methyltransferase (COMT)
Val158Met polymorphism and maternal smoking during preg-
nancy that resulted in increased autistic-like stereotyped behavior
in a sample of children with ADHD (Nijmeijer et al., 2010).

The effect size of the association (1%) was notably smaller than
that reported in two previous studies on the association between
maternal prenatal state trait anxiety/stress and ADHD behaviors in
middle childhood which reported effect sizes of 22–23% (Van den
Bergh and Marcoen, 2004; Rodriguez and Bohlin, 2005). The differ-
ent effect sizes may be due to the different prenatal stress variables
used: prenatal state trait anxiety versus common stressful life events
(employed here), as well as the much larger number of confounding
variables that were controlled for in the present study, including
autistic traits, which are significantly associated with both PNMS
and ADHD. For example, the effect size of the association found
in our study was three times greater before all the confounding factors
were controlled for. Therefore a study that does not control for these
confounders will give inflated estimates of the degree of associa-
tion. It seems likely that removing the effects of covariates will have
removed variation explained by both genetic and environmental influences. An additional reason for the relatively small effect size compared to studies of older children is the reliability of measurement of ADHD behaviors and autistic traits in 2- to 3-year-olds. The literature on early screening for ASDs has shown that behaviors show a degree of fluctuation across the preschool period and reliable measurement can be challenging (Yirmiya and Charman, 2010). Both ASD and ADHD diagnoses are usually not confirmed until children are slightly older. As such, it might be that the effect size of the association between PNMS and offspring behaviors, in this case those characteristic of autism and ADHD, gets larger as offspring develop and behaviors become more stable.

In conclusion, this study suggests that PNMS, in the form of typical stressful life events, such as divorce or a residential move, show a small but significant association with both autistic traits and ADHD behaviors independently, in offspring at age 2 years, after controlling for multiple antenatal, obstetric, postnatal, and sociodemographic covariates. The findings suggest that intervention of the offspring developing autistic traits or ADHD-like behaviors. These results lay the foundations for future research using epigenetic, cross-fostering, and gene–environment interaction designs to identify the causal processes underlying these associations.
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