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Comparison of dike intrusions in an incipient seafloor-spreading segment in Afar, Ethiopia: Seismicity perspectives
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[1] Oceanic crust is accreted through the emplacement of dikes at spreading ridges, but the role of dike intrusion in plate boundary deformation during continental rupture remains poorly understood. Between 2005 and 2009 the ~70 km long Dabbahu-Manda Hararo rift segment in Ethiopia has experienced 14 large volume dike intrusions, 9 of which were recorded on temporary seismic arrays. A detailed comparison of the seismic characteristics of the seismically monitored dikes is presented with implications for dike intrusion processes and magmatic plumbing systems. All of the migrating swarms of earthquakes started from a <5 km radius zone at the middle of the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo segment, and traveled northward and southward along the rift axis. Small magnitude earthquakes associated with the margins of the propagating dike tips are followed by the largest magnitude, primarily low-frequency earthquakes. The seismic moment distributions show >80% of energy is released during the propagation phase, with minimal seismic energy release after the dike propagation ceases. We interpret that faulting and graben formation above the dikes occurs hours after the passage of the dike tip, coincident with the onset of low-frequency earthquakes. Dike lengths show no systematic reduction in length with time, suggesting that topographic loading and stress barriers influence dike length, as well as changes in tectonic stress. The propagation velocities of all the dikes follow a decaying exponential. Northward propagating dikes had faster average velocities than those that propagated southward, suggesting preconditioning by the 2005 megadike, or ongoing heating from a subcrustal magma source north of the midsegment.


1. Introduction

[2] About two thirds of Earth’s crust is created at divergent plate boundaries [e.g., Kidd, 1977; Delaney et al., 1998; Macdonald, 1998]. Exposures of sheeted dikes in ophiolite complexes and the seismically identified layer 2b show the importance of dike intrusions for crustal accretion [e.g., Macdonald, 1998]. However, such processes are often undetected mainly due to lack of access to the active oceanic rifts and our ability to detect signals remotely. Studies of subaerial volcanotectonic rifting processes, however, have contributed significantly to the understanding of dike intrusion related processes [Björnsson et al., 1977; Abdallah et al., 1979; Einarsson and Brandsdóttir, 1980; Tryggvason, 1984; Klein et al., 1987; Einarsson, 1991; Fox et al., 1995; Rubin et al., 1998; Dzika et al., 2004; Doubre et al., 2007a; Traversa and Grasso, 2009]. In most cases dike intrusions are characterized by deflation-inflation sequences of the dike feeder chamber and associated subsidence-uplift cycles above it and by lateral migration of earthquake swarms as magma is removed from the chamber and intruded into the rift [Moore and Krivoy, 1964; Brandsdóttir and Einarsson, 1979; Einarsson, 1991; Rubin et al., 1998; Toda et al., 2002]. Elastic models of propagation of fluid-filled cracks show fault slip ahead of a laterally propagating dike and graben formation above it account for the majority of seismicity [e.g., Rubin and Pollard, 1988; Rubin, 1992; Rubin and Gillard, 1998]. Such models require an ambient stress distribution that is near failure, and existing fractures that extend to the dike plane at depth. Seismicity during dike intrusions is also attributed to the volumetric response of the solid matrix to the emplacement of magma [e.g., Traversa et al., 2010].
Geodetic and seismic observations in different rift settings and on basaltic volcanoes also support these modeling results [e.g., Rubin and Pollard, 1988; Brandsdottir and Einarsson, 1979; Rubin et al., 1998; Traversa and Grasso, 2009]. The temporal coincidence between deflation signals and migrating earthquakes observed in some rifts suggest that dike widening stops when deflation stops at the source [e.g., Einarsson, 1991; Yamaoka et al., 2005].

[3] Within tectonic rifts, dike feeder zones are located within the crust, and dikes are injected along the rift axis perpendicular to the rift opening direction. The 20 dike intrusions and fissural eruptions, for instance, between 1975 and 1984 in Krafla, Iceland were fed from a shallow magma chamber located at ~3 km depth beneath the Krafla caldera [Einarsson, 1978; Brandsdottir et al., 1997], which itself was probably fed from a subcrustal source [Bjornsson, 1985; de Zeeuw-van Dalfsen et al., 2004]. Shallow axial magma chambers were also identified beneath the Asal rift [Doubr et al., 2007b], on the Juan de Fuca Ridge [Bohnenstiehl et al., 2004] and beneath Kilauea caldera [Owen et al., 2000; Cervelli et al., 2002].

[4] Magma breaches the walls of a chamber and propagates along the rift when the magma chamber pressure reaches a threshold value, commonly known as breakout pressure [Rubin, 1995; Buck et al., 2006]. Among the factors that control propagation of dikes are the rate of pressure drop in the source, stress level to overcome resistance at the dike tip, the hydraulic connection between the source and dike, regional tectonic stress level, viscous resistance of the magma and presence of stress barriers [Delaney and Pollard, 1982; Lister and Kerr, 1991; Rubin, 1995; Segall et al., 2001; Behn et al., 2006; Buck et al., 2006; Rivalta and Segall, 2008]. Buck et al. [2006] used an elastic model and showed the evolution of tectonic stress due to successive dike intrusions and the decrease in magma chamber pressure due to magma withdrawal control the pattern of multiple dike intrusions. By assuming a constant breakout pressure, their model predicts intrusion of a sequence of dikes propagating in one direction followed by intrusions in the opposite direction. In each sequence the first dike is the longest and subsequent intrusions propagate shorter distances. The model also predicts that fissural eruptions occur in the vicinity of the feeder zone toward the end of the rifting episode, presumably as tectonic stress is relieved. Such a dike intrusion pattern was supported by data from the 1975–1984 Krafla, Iceland rifting episode [e.g., Tryggvason, 1984; Einarsson, 1991], and was also observed at different volcanic settings [Takada, 1997]. Models of the time history of individual dikes show that the propagation velocity of dikes depends on the pressure drop in the chamber and the inherent rigidity of the rocks surrounding the magma chamber [Rivalta and Segall, 2008; Rivalta, 2010]. Moreover, dike size and growth, and the evolution of the stress field due to the preceding intrusion also affect propagation velocities [Hamling et al., 2010; Traversa et al., 2010].

[5] Since September 2005 the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo (DMH) rift segment within the Afar Depression experienced 14 major dike intrusions, and three of these were associated with fissural eruptions [e.g., Hamling et al., 2009; Ebinger et al., 2010; Ferguson et al., 2010]. Seismicity accompanying the initial and largest dike intrusion recorded on regional stations was presented by Ayele et al. [2009], and two subsequent dikes recorded on a sparse temporary array and a permanent station by Keir et al. [2009]. Hamling et al. [2009] and Grandin et al. [2010a] use surface deformation patterns to predict magma volumes, dike dimensions and magma source zones for the dike intrusions, but without constraints from seismicity data. Ebinger et al. [2010] summarized briefly the onset/end times and migration directions of the seismicity associated with the dikes between 2007 and 2009 but left the detailed comparison for this paper. We present, for the first time, detailed analyses of the seismicity patterns accompanying Dikes 7–13 recorded on a 44-station seismic network, and interpret them in the growing body of structural and geodetic studies from this region, and of models of rift zone dike intrusions worldwide.

[6] In this paper we compare and contrast the detailed temporal and spatial distribution of intense seismic activity from 7 of the 14 dike intrusions that took place between 2007 and 2009, and were detected on the same 44-station seismic array. We include seismicity patterns for Dikes 2 and 3 [Keir et al., 2009] and parameters from elastic models of InSAR deformation patterns [Hamling et al., 2009; Hamling, 2010] in our effort to understand the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo dike sequences. In addition, interdike seismicity distribution is presented. Our aim is to gain insight into the timing and dynamics of the magma intrusion process, feeder zone(s) location, repeat intervals of the dike intrusions, rates and directions of propagation, and faulting processes above and ahead of the propagating dikes, and to evaluate the relative importance of factors controlling dike lengths. These interpretations of the multiple dike intrusion episodes within the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo segment provide an unprecedented opportunity to understand how magma is emplaced, and to test and refine models for the along-axis segmentation of the incipient slow-spreading seafloor spreading center in Afar that shares many morphological characteristics of slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges [e.g., Hayward and Ebinger, 1996]. Thus, studies of this rift segment provide fundamental constraints on the initiation and maintenance of mid-ocean ridge segments, and the role of intense rifting episodes in the development of rift faults.

2. Tectonic Setting

[7] The ~300 km wide Afar Depression marks the zone of linkage between the Gulf of Aden, Red Sea, and Main Ethiopian Rift systems [McKenzie et al., 1970] (Figure 1). The Red Sea and Aden rifts separate Arabia from Africa; rifting has progressed to seafloor spreading along the length of the Gulf of Aden, and in the southern Red Sea north of 14°N [e.g., Manighetti et al., 1997; Cochran, 1983; Bellahsen et al., 2003; d’Acremont et al., 2005] (Figure 1). South of 14°N, the Red Sea narrows, and a parallel zone of extension and magmatism lies within the Afar depression [Barberi and Varet, 1977; Manighetti et al., 1998; Ayele et al., 2007b; ArRajehi et al., 2010; McClusky et al., 2010]. Since 3 Ma, rifting in the Afar Depression became localized within the ~60 km long Quaternary magmatic segments with aligned cones, small offset faults and fissures marking the locus of active deformation along the length of the MER, southern Red Sea, and westernmost Gulf of Aden rifts [Mohr, 1967; Barberi and Varet, 1977; Hayward and Ebinger, 1996;
Manighetti et al., 2001; Wolfenden et al., 2005]. The mafic thin crust, high crustal velocities, and low upper mantle velocities compared to normal continental crust show the Afar crust has been heavily intruded and underplated since at least 30 Ma [Berckhemer et al., 1975; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987].

The ∼70 km long Dabbahu-Manda Hararo (DMH) rift segment, where the 2005 – present volcanotectonic rifting episode is taking place, comprises the Dabbahu segment at its northern limit and the northern part of the Manda Hararo rift, including the Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex [Barberi et al., 1974; Varet, 1978; Hayward and Ebinger, 1996] (Figure 1). The Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex (AVC) is a WSW-ENE trending chain of heavily faulted felsic volcanoes straddling both sides of the rift. The rifted volcanic edifices on either side of the midsegment have ages ranging between ∼0.3 Ma in the rift center and 1.5 Ma on the eastern flank [Lahitte et al., 2003]. This indicates the segment has been maintained over

Figure 1. Seismicity of the Afar depression (gray circles) recorded between 2007 and 2009 on the combined IRIS-PASSCAL (stars) and Seis-UK (diamonds) seismic network shown the in the inset. Gray circles represent earthquakes. Heavy black lines show Oligocene border faults of the southern Red Sea, and the heavy broken line represents the Tendaho Goba’ad Discontinuity (TGD) marking the East African rift-Red Sea rift intersection. The thin lines are national boundaries. Gray filled regions with dashed line edges indicate the locus of Quaternary faulting and magmatism: AL, Alayta; AVC, Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex; D, Dabbahu; EA, Ert’Ale; MH, Manda-Hararo; TA, Tat’Ale; AG, Asal-Ghoubet magmatic segments. A is Arabian Plate; DB is the Danakil Block; S is Somalian plate, GA is Gulf of Aden; MER, Main Ethiopian Rift; N, Nubian Plate; RS, Red Sea.
many rifting cycles of ~30,000–50,000 years, as estimated from young flows resurfacing part of the central rift valley [Williams et al., 2009]. Thus, the magma plumbing system has existed beneath the region for at least 1.5 My, the age of the Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex (AVC) [Lahitte et al., 2003]. From north to south long the DMH rift segment, normal fault orientations change from N-S to NNW-SSE, and some <10 km long faults show 3–5 m of displacement after the 2005 megadike intrusion [Rowland et al., 2007; Grandin et al., 2009], demonstrating the importance of fault slip in dike seismicity patterns.

3. Dike Intrusions Between 2005 and 2007

The current rifting cycle in the DMH rift segment was preceded by a few regionally recorded earthquakes starting from 14 September 2005, followed by an intense swarm of slowly southward migrating seismic activity on 20–24 September [Ayele et al., 2009]. This volcanic unrest may have triggered the intrusion of ~2.5 km³ of magma along the ~70 km long DMH rift segment [Wright et al., 2006; Ayele et al., 2009]. The swarm of earthquakes then jumped to the midsegment on 24 September and migrated mainly to the north. Models of surface deformation indicated that the September 2005 megadike was sourced beneath the Dabbahu and Gab’ho volcanoes [Wright et al., 2006], as well as a deeper magma reservoir beneath the segment center within the AVC [Grandin et al., 2009]. Ayele et al. [2009] used earthquake migration patterns to confirm a magma source beneath the DMH segment center. Seismicity patterns recorded on a temporary array deployed 2 weeks after the dike intrusion illuminated a <3 km wide zone from the AVC northward, and illuminated multiple shallow crustal magma reservoirs and conduits beneath the Dabbahu volcanic complex [Ebinger et al., 2008].

The September megadike intrusion was followed by intrusion of a relatively smaller volume, June 2006 dike (Dike 2) from the midsegment [Hamling et al., 2009; Keir et al., 2009; Grandin et al., 2010a] (Figure 2). Keir et al. [2009] used seismicity and geodetic data to show that Dikes 2 and 3 were fed from a chamber beneath the midsegment of the rift, and that dike opening coincides with maximum seismic moment release. Unfortunately, Dikes 4–6 occurred during a gap in local-scale seismic monitoring, and no constraints on the detailed timing of seismicity patterns of these dike intrusion episodes are available. Hamling et al. [2009] modeled InSAR data acquired over a 35 day period spanning the intrusion of Dikes 2–8, assuming a source at the midsegment and two conjugate 65° dipping faults which extend to a depth of 2 km. They obtained average dike lengths of ∼10 km, and dike widths of 0.5–2 m (Table 1). The deformation patterns showed that the dikes intruded the...
riff to the north and south of the AVC. The combined analysis of the available data indicate that a central segment magma reservoir feeds dikes and maintains the along-axis segmentation of this late stage continental rift [Rowland et al., 2007; Keir et al., 2009].

4. Data

[11] As part of the collaborative Afar Consortium project, which involves U.S., UK and Ethiopian universities, 44 IRIS-PASSCAL and SEIS-UK 3-component Guralp CMG-40T, CMG-3T and CMG-3ESP broadband seismometers were deployed and operated between March 2007 and October 2009 across a ~350 km x 365 km region within the Afar Depression and on the eastern side of the Ethiopian plateau (Figure 1). Sample rate was set to 50 Hz, and a detection threshold of ~1.9 ML is obtained from the power law cumulative frequency-magnitude distribution [Gutenberg and Richter, 1956] (Figure S1 of the auxiliary materials).1 Within the Afar Depression, due to the remoteness of the region, temporary seismic stations could only be deployed in villages and guarded government facilities, preventing deployments above the presumed intrusion zone. However, the remoteness contributed to the low level of high-frequency cultural noise (>1Hz) observed on most of the stations. Most of the stations operated continuously despite the extremely harsh field conditions. Four of these stations reoccupied locations from the 2005–2006 experiment [Ebinger et al., 2008; Keir et al., 2009].

5. Methods

[12] Continuous waveforms from the combined PASSCAL and SEIS-UK arrays were manually scanned and analyzed using the analysis program Seismic Handler Motif (SHM) [Stammler, 1993]. P and S wave arrival times were picked manually on Butterworth filtered (0.6–15 Hz) vertical and horizontal components, respectively. P phase arrival times were assigned quality factors of 0, 1, 2 or 3 according to estimated measurement errors of 0.05 s, 0.1 s, 0.15 s, and 0.3 s, respectively. S wave quality factors of 0, 1, 2, and 3 were assigned to arrivals with estimated measurement errors of 0.1 s, 0.175 s, 0.25 s, and 0.3 s, respectively. A total of 45272 P and 39867 S wave arrival times were picked from ~5320 local earthquakes recorded from within the Afar Depression during the two and a half year operation period of the network. Almost half of the earthquakes occurred during the dike intrusion episodes within the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo segment (Figure 1).

[13] All events were initially located using the absolute location program Hypoinverse2000 [Klein, 2002]. A 3-layer one-dimensional P wave velocity model of Jacques et al. [1996] derived from earlier seismic refraction studies in Afar [Berckhemer et al., 1975; Ruegg, 1975; Makris and Ginzburg, 1987] and Vp/Vs ratio of 1.8 determined from regression of travel times were used for the initial earthquake locations. Earthquakes recorded on 4 or more stations and with 6 or more P and/or S arrival time readings are used for location. During location of the events arrival times were given weights of full (1), 0.75, 0.5, and 0.25 for P wave readings with quality factors of 0, 1, 2, and 3, respectively. S waves were given half weighting relative to P waves of the same quality factor.

[14] A new minimum 1-D P wave velocity model and station corrections were determined by simultaneously relocating earthquakes and inverting for velocity structure with VELEST [Kissling et al., 1995]. Only earthquakes with twelve or more P arrivals, and an azimuthal gap of less than 180° were used to invert for the 1-D P wave velocity model. 610 earthquakes satisfied the selection criteria and were relocated. Hypocenter accuracy of the earthquakes and stability of the velocity model were tested by randomly shifting hypocenters and considering higher and lower velocities (Figure S2). From these tests we estimate hypocenter accuracy for earthquakes of about ±1000 m in horizontal directions and ±3000 m in depth. Only earthquakes related to the dike intrusion episodes in the DMH segment are considered here.

[15] Magnitude for all the earthquakes is estimated using the local magnitude scale and distance correction terms for the Main Ethiopian Rift [Keir et al., 2006]. Maximum zero-to-peak body wave amplitudes are measured on simulated Wood-Anderson horizontal displacement seismograms. In addition, the Mo-mb scale determined specifically for the region including the DMH rift system (Region IV) [Hofstetter and Beyth, 2003] is used to estimate the seismic moments for the earthquakes and cumulative seismic moments for the dike intrusions. The b values for each dike intrusion related swarms are estimated using the maximum likelihood approach [Aki, 1965], and magnitude completeness values are determined from the frequency-magnitude distribution [Gutenberg and Richter, 1956] (Table S1).

[16] The data set reveals a range of volcanotectonic earthquake types, including low-frequency events with long codas associated with scattering and high attenuation [e.g.,

---

Table 1. Parameters Determined Using Geodetic Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dike</th>
<th>Geodetic Moment (×10¹⁸ Nm)</th>
<th>Volume (km³)</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Width (m)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.017</td>
<td>9.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.048 ± 0.001</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.088 ± 0.002</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.066 ± 0.002</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.001</td>
<td>10.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.077 ± 0.005</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.007</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Auxiliary materials are available in the HTML. doi:10.1029/2010JB007908.
therefore, is seen as a result of the source mechanism(s). Keir et al. [2009] were two sequences of intrusion; 4 of the dikes intruded the rift to the south of AVC and 2 dikes propagated to the north of the AVC. One of intrusions resulted in seismicity distributed both to the north and south of AVC. Two of the dikes produced fissural eruptions to the south of the AVC [Ferguson et al., 2010]. All times are in UTC, and distances are measured along the rift axis from 12.3°N and 40.6°E. Average migration velocities are estimated by projecting the epicenters along an average along-axis propagation line inferred from the seismicity distribution. The slope of the distance versus time plot for a given subset of events is considered as the average migration velocity. Subsets of events are chosen from the distance–time plots with clear change in slope.

6.1. The 11–13 August 2007 Intrusion and Fissural Eruption (Dike 7)

[18] A localized seismic swarm started at 23:29 on August 11 with a tectonic earthquake of 1.8 Ml near the center of the rift segment (Figure 3a). Activity continued with very small magnitude earthquakes recorded only at a station ~40 km from the rift resulting in an apparent gap of located events until the activity started to increase around 09:00 on August 12. The first low–frequency event was recorded at 09:09 (Figure 4a). Around 11:00 the number of earthquakes increased to a maximum of 19 events per hour (Figure 5a) with more earthquakes north of AVC than in the south where the basaltic fissural eruption occurred [Ferguson et al., 2010] (Figure 3a). The first thermal alert from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) was recorded at 20:15 on 12 August, hours after the seismicity level decreased (Figures 3a and 4a). Depths of earthquakes range from the surface to ~8 km (Figure 6a). Local pastoralists observed a sudden, southward propagating cracking noise on 12th of August and first noticed the fissural eruption as ‘fire’ around 14:30 on the 13th. The eruption took place along an ~5 km fissure and covered ~200 m wide zone [Yirgu, 2007]. Taking 2 m of average flow thickness the erupted volume is estimated to be <0.002 km³ [e.g., Hamling et al., 2009]. The erupted rocks are transitional from sub–alkaline to alkaline hypersthene-normative basalt lavas, with SiO₂ contents of 48–49 wt.% [Ferguson et al., 2010], indicating a relatively low viscosity.

[19] About half of the located events are low–frequency earthquakes and they occurred both to the north and to the south of the AVC along the rift (Figure 4a). A few low–frequency earthquakes were recorded on 13 August to the

---

Table 2. Dike Parameters Determined From Earthquake Swarms Associated With the Intrusionsex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dike</th>
<th>Onset Time</th>
<th>Migration Duration (h)</th>
<th>Postmigration Swarm Duration (h/days)</th>
<th>Migration Distance (km)</th>
<th>Swarm Along-AX Length (km)</th>
<th>Seismic Moment (Mₑ)</th>
<th>Average Migration Rate (m/s)</th>
<th>Earthquake Depth Range (km)</th>
<th>Seismic Moment of Migrating Swarm (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12:39 (17-Jun., 2006)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>120/5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20:00 (25 Jul., 2006)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>23:48 (11 Aug., 2007)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0–8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16:04 (11-Nov., 2007)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>90/3.75</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0–8</td>
<td>82.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3:38 (31-Mar., 2008)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>60/2.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15.63</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3–10</td>
<td>88.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>08:24 (9-July, 2008)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>14/0.58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8.247</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0–8</td>
<td>94.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>07:15 (17-Oct., 2008)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50/2.08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>178.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>77.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17:11 (11-Feb., 2009)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>46/1.875</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>27.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>96.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12:39 (17-Jun., 2009)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.178</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0–9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*aMigration distances and swarm along-axis lengths represent along-rift lengths covered by the earthquake epicenters. Parameters for Dikes 2 and 3 are from Keir et al. [2009].
Figure 3
north of the AVC. The swarm of earthquakes appears to have migrated northward, but the migration pattern is less clear than the subsequent dikes without fissural eruptions for which there were a large number of recorded earthquakes (Figures 3a–f). The northward migrating swarm of earthquakes followed parts of intruded rift portions of Dikes 1, 2 and 5, as determined from fault mapping [Rowland et al., 2007], InSAR [Wright et al., 2006; Grandin et al., 2009; Hamling et al., 2009] and seismicity [Ayele et al., 2009; Keir et al., 2009]. A total seismic moment estimate of 1.293e + 15 Nm is obtained which is ~0.8% of the estimate determined from geodetic modeling (Table 1).

6.2. The 11–13 November 2007 Intrusion (Dike 8)

[20] The first recorded earthquake for this dike intrusion was a tectonic earthquake of $M_L = 2.9$ located ~7 km south of AVC on 11 November 2007 at 16:04 (Figures 3b and 4b). This swarm of $<3$ $M_L$ tectonic earthquakes migrated southeast along the rift at a rate of ~0.35 m/s for ~4 h (Figure 3b). The migration continued further south with a decreased rate of 0.23 m/s for the following 15 h (between ~21:00 on 11 November and ~12:00 on 12 November) after the generation of a magnitude 3.9 low-frequency earthquake at 20:37 (Figure 4b). The time of change in the migration rate is marked by increased rate of seismicity reaching a maximum of 17 events per hour around 20:30 on 11 November followed by an average number of 11 events per hour for 10 h (Figure 5b). Hypocenters are distributed mainly between the surface and 3 km with few earthquakes from depths up to 8 km (Figure 6b). Out of a total of 361 events recorded, 52 were $M_L > 3$ during the six days of continuous seismic activity and 21 were low-frequency events including the largest event, the $M_L = 4.5$ at 21:21 on 11 November. On 12 November around 12:00, the seismicity ceased to migrate, although mainly small magnitude (<2.5 $M_L$) earthquakes continued to occur at the end and along the length of the inferred dike (Figure 3b). Nearly 10 h later, seismicity began to migrate along the eastern side of the rift for another 15 h at a rate of 0.15 m/s and increased to a maximum of 12 events per hour (Figure 5b). This second migrating swarm had fewer (89) earthquakes with magnitudes between 2.3 and 3.7, and no low-frequency events were detected (Figure 4b). No earthquake was recorded from the region intruded by the first discrete dike until the second dike stopped. The southward migration of seismicity stopped around 13:00 on 13 November 2007 followed by ~6 days of high rates of postdiking seismicity along the entire length intruded by this ~24 km long dike.

[21] The distribution of earthquakes shows that this dike was intruded as two discrete dikes, with a 10 h stall period between the two dikes. The total seismic moment is ~1.027e + 17 Nm which is ~3.3% of the geodetic moment estimate (Table 1). About 82% of the energy was released during propagation of the two discrete dikes. Moreover, the first segment of the dike accounts for ~60% of the seismic energy release during propagation. Important to note is that the geodetic models fail to detect the second, deeper southern dike, and the surface deformation is attributed to the opening along the length of the first dike (Table 1).

6.3. The 31 March to 1 April 2008 Intrusion (Dike 9)

[22] A new seismic swarm started –6 km south of AVC at 03:38 on 31 March 2010 with a small magnitude earthquake. Another small event 7 h later was located ~4 km to the south along the length of the rift axis (Figure 3c). This is followed by diffuse southward migrating seismicity for ~6 h over ~4 km along-axis length. After a ~2 h gap of recorded seismicity, earthquake swarm migration recommenced around 18:54 at a rate of ~0.16 m/s until it reached its southern end at ~04:30 on 01 April 2008. The seismicity rate increased 16 h after the onset of the swarm reaching a maximum of 32 events per hour at around 22:30. This elevated rate lasted for 6 h with an average 18 events per hour between ~19:30 on 31 March and ~01:30 on 01 April (Figure 5c). Postmigration seismicity continued to occur at the southern end of the swarm for almost 3 days. Only one low-frequency event was recorded toward the northern end of the swarm after the dike stopped propagating (Figure 4c). Unlike the other dikes discussed here, most of the earthquakes associated with Dike 9 are limited to depths between 3 km and 10 km (Figure 6c). The clustered epicenters extend for ~12 km along the rift, and then follow the length intruded by the first discrete dike in November 2007. Seismic moment, ~88% of which is released during the 19 h of swarm migration, is estimated to be ~1.563e + 16 Nm. This is only 0.4% of the geodetic moment (Table 1).

6.4. The 9–10 July 2008 Intrusion (Dike 10)

[23] Dike 10 intruded the same region south of the midsegment as the previous two dikes (Figure 3d). The earthquake swarm associated with this dike started at 08:24 on 9 July 2008 close to the midsegment, and propagated south at a rate of ~0.26 m/s until 14:38 on 10 July (Figure 3d), taking a total of 30 h to reach its southern end. During the entire migration period of the earthquake swarm from this dike persistent seismicity was recorded from the rift between Dabbahu Volcano and AVC (Figure 3d). Low-frequency earthquakes occurred a few hours after the onset of the swarm, and earthquakes range in magnitude between 1.8 and 2.5 $M_L$ (Figure 4d). The seismicity rate was relatively low during the first 8 h since the onset of the swarm, with an average rate of three events per hour (Figure 5d). A sharp increase in the number of earthquakes is observed starting from around 17:30 on 9 July, and high seismicity levels
Figure 4. (a–g) Along-rift distance and cumulative seismic moment versus time in hours commencing 5 h before the onset of earthquake swarms for dikes since 2007. Stations were too sparsely located to evaluate the frequency content of Dikes 2 and 3 [Keir et al., 2009]. The time axis is the same for all plots. Gray dots are epicenters and red dots are those with peak frequency ≤2 Hz, both scaled by magnitude as earlier figures. The vertical red arrows in Figures 4a and 4g show the times of first thermal signal detected by MODIS. (h) Normalized cumulative seismic moment versus normalized time. Normalized moment is the cumulative moment divided by the total estimated seismic moment for each dike, and normalized time is time since the onset of swarm divided by the duration of the swarm. Although high-resolution GPS data were unavailable to this study, the anticipated time window for dike opening and slow slip events is shaded, based on analogy to patterns of Keir et al. [2009] and Hamling [2010].
continued for 9 h with an average rate of 13 events per hour (Figure 5d). The increased rate of scattered seismicity coincides with the occurrence of low-frequency earthquakes (Figure 5d). The along-axis profile shows the events span depths between 0 km and ∼7 km (Figure 6d). Few earthquakes were recorded after the swarm migration stopped. The epicenters extend for ∼20 km south along the rift. The total seismic moment is ∼8.247e + 15 Nm, ∼0.28% of geodetic moment (Tables 1 and 2).

6.5. The 17 October 2008 Intrusion (Dike 11)

Dikes 8–10 were limited only to the rift portion either close to or south of the AVC. Dike 11 broke this pattern with a northward migrating seismic swarm that began at approximately 07:15 on October 17, 2008 close to AVC with a small magnitude tectonic earthquake. The swarm migrated the first 6 km at ∼0.68 m/s, then slowed to ∼0.38 m/s until it stopped around 15:00 (Figure 3e). At 07:55 on 17 October the first low-frequency earthquake was recorded when the migration started to slow down. These low-frequency events are distributed along the entire 15 km length of the dike, and they continued to occur after the migration stopped (Figure 4e). During the ∼8 h of dike propagation an average of 14 events per hour were recorded (Figure 5e), and this intense activity continued for more than four days after the dike ceased to propagate. The epicenters have depths that range from the surface to ∼8 km subsurface (Figure 6e). Out of the over 600 earthquakes recorded during this intrusion 100 (75 during the 8 h migration period) of them were of magnitude ≥3 with the maximum being a 4.6 Ml earthquake. The total seismic moment is ∼1.781e + 17 Nm which is ∼2.26% of the geodetic moment (Tables 1 and 2). About 77% of the seismic energy was released during the dike propagation phase.

6.6. The 11–12 February 2009 Intrusion (Dike 12)

Dike 12 began with a small magnitude earthquake (Ml = 2) at 17:11 on 11 February 2009 ∼2 km south of the onset location of the earthquake swarm for the October 2008 (Dike 11) dike intrusion. Scattered swarms of earthquakes progressively migrated north along the rift at an average rate of ∼0.48 m/s (Figure 3f). Low-frequency earthquakes commenced an hour after the onset of the swarm (Figure 4f). The seismicity reached its northern end in ∼7 h, and it marks an approximately 12 km along-axis distance (Figure 3f). High levels of seismicity, however, continued for ∼10 h with an average of 12 events per hour (Figure 5f), and earthquakes have depths of 0–8 km (Figure 6f). The inferred dike followed the same rift path as Dike 11 but was shorter, and had smaller total seismic moment of ∼2.701e + 16 Nm (∼0.89% of geodetic moment) (Tables 1 and 2). Of the 185 events, 30 earthquakes with M L ≥3 were recorded during the migration phase of the swarm. Postdiking seismicity continued for 2 days with smaller magnitude (<2 M L) earthquakes.

6.7. The June 2009 Fissural Eruption (Dike 13)

On 28 June 2009 MODIS reported the first thermal alert at 23:20 from the same location as the August 2007 fissural eruption (Figures 3g and 4g). The eruption was preceded by a seismic swarm that started around 08:00 on 28 June 2009, located close to the AVC. Low-frequency earthquakes started two hours after the first located event (Figure 4g). The pattern of sparse seismicity shows that the dike propagated south along the rift reaching the surface at the site of the August basaltic fissural eruption [Ferguson et al., 2010] (Figures 3a and 3b). Only 33 locatable earthquakes with magnitudes between 2 and 3 were recorded by the network giving a total seismic moment of 1.178e + 15 Nm (0.065% of geodetic moment estimate) (Tables 1 and 2). As in the August 2007 fissural eruption, the June 2009 dike intrusion was largely aseismic.

A field team reached the eruption site on July 4 and found a’a basalt flows approximately 2–3 m thick sourced from fissures approximately 4–5 km long, lined by scoria ramparts 30–50 m high [Ferguson et al., 2010]. They estimated the areal coverage of the lava flow (∼4.5 km2) from...
7. Summary

[28] This comparison of seismicity patterns from Dikes 7–13 and two of three fissural eruptions that took place between 2007 and 2009 reveals several consistent patterns, as well as some distinct differences (Tables 1 and 2). All of the seismicity associated with the dike intrusions and fissural eruptions initiate from an ~5 km wide elliptical zone within the central rift, just south of the ridge marking the AVC. Depth control is inadequate to distinguish any vertical migration of seismicity. Migrating swarms for each dike followed the same rift path as the preceding intrusions that propagated in the same direction. The northward migrating swarms travel nearly twice as fast as southward migrating...

ASTER thermal data and an erupted volume of 0.0135–0.018 km³. Erupted lavas covered the August 2007 flows and have the same compositions [Ferguson et al., 2010].
Figure 6. Along-axis depth profiles for Dikes 7–13. Gray circles are earthquakes associated with dike emplacement scaled by magnitude. The black dots are seismicity occurring in the interdike periods. The top graphs on each column show the along-rift topographic profile. Note the persistent swarms of activity between 5 and 20 km north of the AVC during the period of southward propagating dikes, and the decrease in activity after the large volume October 2008 (Dike 11) intrusion. The profiles run from north (N) to south (S).
swarms. In addition, seismicity patterns are characterized by low-frequency earthquakes that occurred hours after the onset of migrating seismicity, and these unusual earthquakes are distributed along the entire intrusion lengths for all but the second, deeper segment of Dike 8, and for all of Dike 9. The cumulative seismic moment curves for all the dikes have a typical ‘long s’ shape (Figure 4) with a minimal moment release after the dike stopped propagating. In addition, >80% of seismic energy is released during propagation of the dikes (Table 2). The dikes with fissural eruptions were relatively aseismic and the majority of the earthquakes are low-frequency earthquakes. The interdike seismicity reveals no premonitory activity in the site of the next dike, but instead shows persistent seismicity 5–20 km north of the AVC (Figures 6 and 7).

8. Discussion

[29] Current models and observations of laterally propagating dikes in rifts show that seismicity occurs due to increased pore pressure at the dike tip and faulting above and in front of laterally propagating dike due to slip on
faults that extend into the dike plane [e.g., Einarsson and Brandsdóttir, 1980; Klein et al., 1987; Rubin and Pollard, 1988; Rubin, 1992]. The normalized combined seismicity rate plot (Figure S4) shows a rate stationary pattern as observed for multiple intrusions from Piton de la Fournaise, Mt. Etna and Miyakejima [Traversa and Grasso, 2009]. This suggests the earthquakes during intrusions are triggered by emplacement of magma. The epicenter migration, therefore, serves as a proxy for the lateral propagation of the dikes, and the spatial and temporal distributions and spectral content of the earthquakes are used to describe the timing and dynamics of dike intrusion processes in the DMH rift segment. As we discuss below, the wealth of information from the DMH dike sequences provides additional constraints on the feeder zone(s) for the dike intrusions, implications of dike length patterns and possible controlling factors, dike propagation rates and brittle failure mechanisms along the margins of dikes.

8.1. Earthquake Distribution

[30] The dike intrusions in the DMH rift generated migrating swarms of earthquakes that covered along-axis distances that range from 12 to 24 km (Table 2). The breadths of the seismogenic zones are <5 km, much broader than the 1–3 m wide dikes themselves taking into consideration the overall ~1 km horizontal location uncertainties. This is consistent with the areal overlap between seismicity distribution and field observations of normal fault slip across a 3–5 km wide zone after the 2005 megadike intrusion [Rowland et al., 2007]. During intrusions seismicity is limited only to the intruded portion of the rift except during intrusion of Dike 10 (Figure 3d). Hypocenters are distributed between the surface and up to 10 km depth (Figures 6 and 7). The exceptions are the second segment of Dike 7 and Dike 9 for which the earthquakes are distributed between 3 km and ~10 km. The distances covered by migrating earthquake swarms associated with Dikes 7–13 are much longer than the ~10 km dike lengths estimated from models of interferograms [Hamling et al., 2009] (Tables 1 and 2). This mismatch may be due to the smaller magnitude earthquakes that mark fractures with minor opening ahead of a propagating dike [e.g., Rubin and Pollard, 1988]. Moreover, oversimplification of elastic models and/or lack of faulting above the deeper dikes, which intrude densely faulted terrain, may also explain the mismatch.

[31] After migration ceases earthquakes continued to occur for days along the inferred dike length with higher concentrations in the middle and tip of the dikes (Table 2 and Figures 3 and 4). Such patterns are also observed during intrusions in basaltic volcanoes, where they have been interpreted to be the result of the volumetric deformation caused by intrusion of magma into the solid medium [Traversa and Grasso, 2009; Traversa et al., 2010]. Thermal stress may also contribute to high seismicity levels after dike intrusions [Coté et al., 2009]. Unlike the September 2005 megadike intrusion, the Dabbahu volcano region has been aseismic since 2007 consistent with Ayele et al.’s [2009] interpretation that the 2005 swarm close to Dabbahu volcano were distinct from the propagating dikes and may have triggered the subsequent dike intrusions sourced from beneath the AVC.

[32] Dikes 7 and 13 resulted in fissural basaltic eruptions, and they were largely aseismic. The low seismicity rate for these dikes can be attributed to various factors. One possibility is the weak rheology of the rocks above the feeder zone which results in a lower ambient background differential stress. Geodetic estimates show the two dikes are shallower and smaller in volume than the rest of the dikes in the DMH [e.g., Hamling et al., 2009]. These parameters imply that lower stressing rate, which has a direct scaling with dike volume [Pedersen et al., 2007]. Moreover, the eruption to the south of the AVC could be due to the ease to reach the surface than it is to the north where there is loading from topography.

[33] Between dike intrusions earthquakes from the DMH segment are low, and limited to the rift north of AVC between 12.4°N and 12.5°N (Figures 6 and 7). This rift portion was aseismic during the intrusion periods, and seismicity peaked after the dike-induced seismicity ceased. Most of the events are distributed between depths of 4 km and 9 km with a few events at shallower depths and depths greater than 10 km. The epicenters of these events coincide with the region where maximum geodetic opening was measured following the intrusion of the September 2005 dike [Wright et al., 2006; Grandin et al., 2009]. Grandin et al. [2010b] interpreted the interdiking surface deformation detected from InSAR as the result of magma ascent into a shallow crustal feeder zone in the midsegment. Therefore, the timing of occurrence of the high level seismicity relative to the dike intrusion periods may show the presence of lower crustal magma source(s) possibly connected with the shallower midsegment feeder zone.

8.2. Location of Feeder Zone

[34] The relatively high quality seismic data used in this study allows us to compare the onset locations of the migrating swarms for each dike. All of the dikes initiate from a <5 km radius zone centered just south of the Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex (12.28°N and 40.64°E, Figures 7 and 8). Earthquake swarms migrated to the north or south from the center of the rift segment, and the two and half year seismicity distribution reveals a seismicity gap in the inferred feeder zone (Figures 7 and 8), consistent with the possible presence of a hot, fluid-rich, and weak region that extends to near the surface. Uncertainties in depth estimates for the commonly small magnitude earthquakes at the onset of dike propagation prevent a clear image of the shape of the magma feeder zone(s). Assuming that the dikes initiate along zones of high fluid pressure along the margins of a magma chamber, the ~5 km radius of dike onset locations suggests the midsegment weak zone is underlain by a large midcrustal magma chamber with approximate along-axis dimension of <5 km. Our results refine the location and dimensions of the magma source zone near the center of the rift segment, and are consistent with the results of earlier seismic and geodetic studies [Ayele et al., 2009; Keir et al., 2009; Hamling et al., 2009; Grandin et al., 2010a] (Figures 7, 8, and 9).

[35] Clear S arrivals recorded on stations on either side of the rift, and the absence of a shallow high conductivity zone in 3D models of magnetotelluric data [Hautot et al., 2009] suggest that the magma reservoir feeding the dikes could possibly be deeper than 6 km. If these large volume dikes
were sourced from a shallow magma reservoir, one would expect to image subsidence patterns in interferograms during intrusions. Yet, only interferograms from Dike 8 (November 2007) and Dike 10 (October 2008) show small lobes of subsidence near the segment center close to AVC [Hamling et al., 2009; Grandin et al., 2010a; Hamling, 2010]. Fault deformation associated with Dike 1 was intense, masking any subsidence signal near the heavily faulted midsegment feeder zone [Wright et al., 2006; Grandin et al., 2009]. These subsidence fringes may signal a large and rapid pressure drop related to the relatively large volume of magma intruded for the two dikes [Rivalta, 2010] or presence of a shallower chamber that fed the dikes [Hamling et al., 2009; Hamling, 2010]. However, as discussed above, the interdiking seismicity and surface deformation patterns observed suggest a deeper magma chamber beneath AVC [Grandin et al., 2010b], and possibly between Dabbahu volcano and AVC (Figure 9).
The distance a dike propagates along a rift is controlled mainly by the level of magma chamber pressure, tectonic stress, the rate of pressure drop due to magma withdrawal, viscous resistance to flow, presence of regions of higher relative tectonic compression, stress barriers and loading from topography [Delaney and Pollard, 1982; Rubin, 1995; Behn et al., 2006; Buck et al., 2006, Rivalta and Segall, 2008]. Various models and observations of dike intrusion processes show that dike opening stops when the tip of the down-rift propagating dike stops [e.g., Tryggvason, 1984; Rubin et al., 1998; Rubin and Gillard, 1998; Buck et al., 2006; Rivalta, 2010]. Modeling of the kinematics of blade-like dike intrusions indicate that flexure at the brittle-ductile boundary due to the development of rift topography inhibits further propagation of dikes along the rift [e.g., Pinel and Jaupart, 2004; Behn et al., 2006]. Stress barriers along the dike path such as transform faults or the presence of en echelon fault systems, pronounced along-axis varia-

**Figure 9.** Cartoon model integrating the dike-induced seismicity patterns and geodetic constraints on the probable magma source zones for Dikes 2–13 in the Dabbahu-Manda Hararo rift segment. (a) Across rift profile showing the midcrustal to subcrustal chambers, dikes, and faults activated by the multiple intrusions. (b) Zoomed in cross rift profile showing distribution of low-frequency and tectonic earthquakes, and the 3–5 km zone of faults with surface offsets of up to 3 m. Red dots indicate low-frequency earthquakes that are generated by the interaction between graben forming faults and the dikes. The black dots represent distributions of tectonic earthquakes. (c) Along-axis topography relative to the dikes, subcrustal magma chambers, and possible upper mantle reservoir(s). The deep crustal magma reservoir beneath the northern part of the midsegment is inferred from the persistent and deeper earthquake swarms between dike intrusions.
tion in the state of stress and other kinds of ‘asperities’ may limit the extent of dikes within rift zones [e.g., Einarsson, 1991; Buck et al., 2006].

[37] All of the southward propagating dikes (first part of Dike 8, and Dikes 9 and 10) stopped at nearly the same location (Figure 10). The absence of any topographic feature south of the AVC suggests an asperity or structural step may have a role in limiting the dike lengths. Northward migrating earthquakes covered shorter along-axis distances (<15 km), but swarm terminations varied from dike to dike (Table 2). Earthquakes from Dike 11, the largest dike volume, reached ∼15 km north of the AVC (Tables 1 and 2). By analogy to models of Buck et al. [2006] and Behn et al. [2006], the shorter dike lengths in the northern rift sector may be a consequence of the topographic load of Dabbahu volcano, which has a summit elevation of ∼1400 m above sea level and ∼10 km radius, relative to the ∼400 m mean elevation (Figure 9).

[38] Dike intrusions increase the least compressive stress so that subsequent dike intrusions encounter an ambient stress that is far from failure, resulting in shorter dikes [Rubin, 1995; Buck et al., 2006]. A comparison of dike lengths does not show a clear systematic change in the length of the dikes within a sequence, as was estimated from models of geodetic data (Figure 2) (Tables 1 and 2). For instance, among the southward propagating dikes, the two segments of Dike 8 covered ∼25 km along-axis length. The following dike, Dike 9, propagated a distance of 11 km in the same direction. However, Dike 10, which started propagation closer to AVC than the previous two dikes, intruded ∼21 km along-axis length. The intrusion of only two dikes to the north, 17 October 2008 (Dike 11) and 11–12 February 2009 (Dike 12), so far did not allow us to confirm the pattern in dike length change in another sequence. These observations indicate that factors other than the evolution of tectonic stresses due to preceding intrusions probably influence the pattern of dike length changes. Such variations in dike length could be related to ambient stress level that is close to failure due to previous intrusions [Rubin and Gillard, 1998], or the degree of fracturing in earlier dikes.

[39] The first segment of Dike 8 and Dikes 9 and 10, stopped propagation at nearly the same position; only the second segment of Dike 8 passed this point (Figure 10). The presence of stress ‘barriers’/asperities could be a plausible explanation for this southern termination ‘point’ for the dikes. The segmentation of the November 2007 dike, Dike 8, the 10 h gap in seismicity migration between the two discrete dikes and the lack of seismicity along the length of the rift portion intruded by the first part, shows the second discrete dike propagated further south after the driving pressure reached a “breakout” pressure during the 10 h gap.

8.4. Propagation Rates

[40] The observed deflation signals and subsidence above magma chambers and laterally migrating seismicity away from the feeder zone indicate movement of magma along
rifts [e.g., Einarsson and Brandsdóttir, 1980; Rubin et al., 1998; Toda et al., 2002]. However, the rates at which dikes propagate are difficult to measure, and are estimated based on indirect observations. Migration rates of epicycles (e.g., Moore and Krivoy, 1964; Brandsdóttir and Einarsson, 1979; Einarsson and Brandsdóttir, 1980; Okamura et al., 1988], and geodetic data were used to determine the velocities of dike propagation [e.g., Björnsson et al., 1979; Toutain et al., 1992]. In addition, the size and composition of xenoliths carried by the flow have also been used to determine estimates of the rates of transportation [Carmichael et al., 1977; Spera, 1980; Pasteris, 1984]. These observations at different rift settings show that the migration velocity of seismicity is consistent with dike propagation velocity [Aki et al., 1977; Lister and Kerr, 1991; Battaglia and Aki, 2003], enabling us to use the seismicity migration rates as a proxy for dike propagation.

[41] Dikes that propagated north show higher average migration rates (0.53 m/s for October 2008 and 0.45 m/s for February 2009) than the ones that propagated south (November 2007 – 0.24 m/s, March/April 2008 – 0.16 m/s and July 2008 – 0.26 m/s) (Figure 10). In addition, the durations of swarm migrations are shorter to the north than south (Table 2). The intrusion of the September 2005 megadike and subsequent smaller dikes north of AVC resulted in maximum cumulative opening in the vicinity of the feeder zone [Grandin et al., 2009; Hamling et al., 2009; Ebinger et al., 2010]. The relatively higher rates of propagation to the north of AVC, therefore, could be related to the higher hydraulic connectivity due to the intensive faulting and fracturing between the midsegment feeder zone and the rift portion intruded by the dikes. This ensures a fast pressure drop, resulting in faster propagation and shorter duration [e.g., Rivalta, 2010]. Although small, and excluding the fissural eruptions, a decrease in migration velocity with successive dike intrusions within a sequence of dikes that propagated in one direction is observed (Figure 10).

[42] In addition, for individual dikes the rate decreases exponentially as the dike length increases (Figure 10). Experimental and theoretical considerations of propagation of fluid-filled cracks show a wide range of factors control the velocity of dike propagation. Lister and Kerr [1991] in their model showed for a sufficient amount of fluid injected, resistance to fracture of the host rock and propagation of fluid through preexisting fractures has less effect on the rate of propagation. Instead, the viscous resistance to the flow of magma into the dike tip due to freezing slows down the rate with increase in dike length [Delaney and Pollard, 1982; Lister and Kerr, 1991; Rubin, 1995]. Recent studies, on the other hand, show that the time history of the pressure drop in the magma chamber may be considered to be the most important factor. Pressure in the magma chamber is considered to decrease with time as the dike length increases [e.g., Tryggvason, 1980; Ida, 1999; Buck et al., 2006; Rivalta, 2010]. Models of the coupling between the feeding zone and the dike, and comparisons of studies of the volume history of dikes and feeder chambers show the exponential decrease in the dike propagation rates are mainly due to an exponential pressure drop in the chamber [Segall et al., 2001; Rivalta and Segall, 2008; Rivalta, 2010]. The decrease in the rate of propagation for the individual dikes considered in this paper could be explained in the same way due to the pressure drop in the magma chamber as more magma is fed into the rift and propagates along the rift away from AVC. These patterns also suggest replenishment of the midcrustal magma chamber during the interdike periods increasing the chamber pressure. A higher rate of decay of the migration velocities is observed for the northward propagating dikes (Figure 10) which may be related to the topographic loading from the Dabbahu volcano.

8.5. Seismic Moment

[43] In magma-rich oceanic rifts magma intrusion accommodates large proportions of strain [e.g., Buck et al., 2005; Dunn et al., 2005]. A comparison of the total geodetic and seismic moment for the dike intrusions in the DMH rift segment since 2005 reveals that the seismic moment release is <3% of the total geodetic moment, indicating that most of the plate boundary deformation due to intrusion of dikes occurs aseismically. The ‘long S’ shape of the cumulative seismic moment curves for all the dikes shows >80% of seismic energy is released during the propagation phase of the dikes (Figure 4) (Table 2). The combined cumulative seismic moment plot shows northward propagating dikes released relatively larger seismic energy compared to the ones that propagated to the south (Figure 4h). The exception is Dike 8 which has a relatively large volume comparable to the northward propagated Dikes 2 and 11 (Table 1). This pattern suggests that dike volume and regions with dense faults close to failure contribute significantly to the seismic energy release.

[44] The earthquake generation mechanisms associated with dike intrusions and the spatiotemporal distribution of the dike-induced earthquakes relative to the propagating dike are not well known. However, subsidence above the dike feeder zone, faulting ahead of the propagating dike, as well as above the dike once emplaced account for the large number of earthquakes recorded during the early phases of dike intrusions [e.g., Okamura et al., 1988; Rubin and Pollard, 1988; Rubin and Gillard, 1998; Rubin et al., 1998]. In Kilauea’s East Rift Zone, for example, the temporal distributions of shallow and small magnitude earthquakes and continuous ground tilt changes recorded by tiltmeters close to the rift were used to estimate the probable positions over time of the leading edge of the dike [Okamura et al., 1988; Rubin et al., 1998]. During the 1983 Kilauea intrusion deflation at the summit volcano was measured 90 min after the onset of the seismic swarm [Okamura et al., 1988; Rubin et al., 1998]. Combined seismic and tilt data from a dike intrusion at Mount Etna showed ground tilt was measured at nearby stations after the onset of a swarm of earthquakes [Alvissi et al., 2006]. A similar coincidence in space and time was observed between time-averaged continuous GPS and seismicity for Dikes 2 and 3 in the DMH [Keir et al., 2009].

[45] Field and geodetic observations in various volcanic rifts document graben formation above and ahead of the dike during dike intrusions [e.g., Rubin and Pollard, 1988], but earlier studies of dike intrusions from the DMH rift segment lack the time resolution, due to poor or lack of seismic network to discriminate between the possible causes of dike-induced migrating seismicity [e.g., Ayele et al., 2009; Keir et al., 2009]. The dikes considered in this paper, except the ones with fissural eruptions, show a clear
earthquake swarm migration pattern that starts with relatively smaller magnitude tectonic earthquakes that span the first few hours (1–2 h) (Figure 4). These tectonic events are followed by an increase in the magnitude of earthquakes and the onset of low-frequency earthquakes (Figure 4). The majority of the low-frequency (peak frequency ≤ 2 Hz) events, which are considered to be related to hydrofracture propagation, resonance of fluid-filled cracks, internal pressure changes due to high impedance contrast resulting from bubbles in the fluid or dynamic interaction between faults and dikes [e.g., Rubin, 1995; Chouet and Julian, 1985, Chouet et al., 1994], have magnitudes ≥3. The anomalously low-frequency content is not wholly a path effect, since tectonic earthquakes occur at the same locations.

[46] The observed time sequence of low-frequency earthquakes compared to the tectonic events gives support to the idea that intrusion processes start with small magnitude tectonic earthquakes mainly from the dike tip in the absence of subsidence above the feeder zone. The delayed onset of low-frequency events and increased level and size of seismicity shows that dike opening due to magma emplacement into fractures and subsequent interactions between faults and dike takes place after the passage of the dike tip. Low-frequency earthquakes are common except for the southern deeper segment of Dike 8 (Figure 4b), and Dike 9 from which only one low-frequency event was recorded (Figure 4c).

Since dike opening occurs during the migration phase of seismicity, and it stops when the dike tip ceases to propagate [e.g., Rubin, 1995; Okamura et al., 1988; Aloisi et al., 2006], we correlate the low frequency earthquakes with dike opening (Figure 4). Moreover, the seismic moment release patterns suggest that the graben bounding faults in the brittle ligament of rock above the dike form after the passage of the dike tip, and slip coincides with the low-frequency earthquakes. For Dikes 11 and 12 which propagated to the north, low-frequency earthquakes occur for up to 3 days after the swarm ceased to migrate (Figures 4e and 4f), suggesting multiple episodes of fault slip, consistent with the unusually large displacements (3–5m) observed along fault scarps north of the AVC after the passage of the Dikes 1 and 3 [e.g., Wright et al., 2006; Ayele et al., 2007a; Rowland et al., 2007; Keir et al., 2009].

8.6. Intrusion Intervals

[47] The frequency of dike intrusion episodes varies from one rift to another and with time within a rift. Notable examples of rifting by a single, intense diking sequence are the Asal rifting episode in 1978 [Abdallah et al., 1979; Cattin et al., 2005], the 1983 Kiluaea intrusion [e.g., Okamura et al., 1988], the 2000 Izu, Japan intrusion episode [e.g., Toda et al., 2002] and dike intrusions along the mid-Atlantic ridge [e.g., Dziak et al., 1995, 2009] and East Pacific rise [e.g., Tolstoy et al., 2006]. On the other hand, the 1975–1984 Krafla, Iceland sequence is a typical example of extended period of rifting by multiple intrusions of dikes [e.g., Björnsson et al., 1979; Tryggvason, 1984; Einarsson, 1991]. Most of these rifting episodes are preceded by inflation of the feeder zone followed by simultaneous deflation signals and lateral propagation of dikes. These observations and modeling of dike intrusion processes show that the change in the magma pressure within crustal chambers is the main controlling factor together with the local and far-field tectonic stresses [e.g., Tryggvason, 1984, Buck et al., 2006; Rivalta and Segall, 2008; Rivalta, 2010]. Inflow of magma into shallow magma chambers from midcrustal to subcrustal sources is used to explain the increase in the chamber pressure [Björnsson et al., 1979; Tryggvason, 1984; Decker, 1966; Fiske and Kinoshita, 1969; Kinoshita et al., 1974].

[48] Since the onset of the current rifting cycle, dikes in the DMH rift were intruded at intervals ranging from one month to 8 months, with a slight increase in the time interval between dikes after the August 2007 fissural eruption (Figure 2). However, there is no consistent pattern of change in the time interval within a sequence of dikes that propagated in the same direction and with the volume of the dikes. An exception is the ~9 month interval after the 2005 megadike which could be related to the relatively large volume of magma intruded [e.g., Wright et al., 2006; Grandin et al., 2009]. The change in the state of stress in rifts due to preceding intrusions and the rate at which the feeder zone pressure is increased by the influx of magma from deeper sources has been proposed to control intrusion intervals [e.g., Björnsson et al., 1979; Buck et al., 2006]. During individual intrusions, the sharp onset of migrating earthquake swarms with no premonitory activity suggests an abrupt change in the driving pressure of the magma chamber from new additions. This could be related to excess magma pressure in the midsegment magma chamber due to a higher rate of melt influx from deeper magma sources suggesting continued recharge of the reservoir since the onset of the volcanotectonic episode in Afar.

9. Conclusions

[49] Analysis of the seismicity patterns of the 7 dike intrusions in the Dabbahu–Manda Hararo rift segment sheds light on the timing and dynamics of the rifting process. The distributions of the dike-induced earthquake swarms for all the dikes show magma was mainly fed from a midsegment magma chamber possibly deeper than 6 km. The spatio-temporal distributions of the swarms and the lack of clear subsidence above the inferred magma chamber during most of the intrusions suggest midcrustal to subcrustal magma chamber (Figure 9). However, the persistent and relatively deeper interdiking seismicity spanning rift portion 5–20 km north of Ado’Ale Volcanic Complex suggests a secondary magma reservoir or feeder system that may be interconnected with the magma chamber beneath AVC. The dikes that propagated north of the midsegment have higher seismicity migration rates and short migration duration times relative to the dikes that propagated south. This is most likely the result of intensive faulting and fracturing north of AVC due to the preceding intrusion of large volume Dikes 1 and 2.

[50] For each dike a large proportion of seismic energy (>80%) is released during the propagation phase of the swarms. Such temporal distribution of energy release, in addition to the timing of low-frequency earthquakes, suggest that faulting above the dikes start after the passage of the leading edge of the dikes, and it continues to occur simultaneous with dike propagation and after it stalled. Dike lengths varied from 10 to 20 km, with no systematic change in dike length over time within a sequence of intrusion that propagated in the same direction. Observations of dike intervals and lack of premigration seismicity indicate the
feeder zone was recharged from deeper source(s) during the intrusion intervals since the onset of the volcanotectonic episode in 2005.
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