Using stable Mg isotope signatures to assess the fate of magnesium during the \textit{in situ} mineralisation of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}S at the CarbFix site in SW-Iceland
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Abstract - The \textit{in-situ} carbonation of basaltic rocks could provide a long-term carbon storage solution. To investigate the viability of this carbon storage solution, 175 tonnes of pure CO\textsubscript{2} and 73 tonnes of a 75\% CO\textsubscript{2}-24\% H\textsubscript{2}S-1\% H\textsubscript{2}-gas mixture were sequentially injected into basaltic rocks as a dissolved aqueous fluid at the CarbFix site at Hellisheidi, SW-Iceland. This paper reports the Mg stable isotope compositions of sub-surface fluids sampled prior to, during, and after the CO\textsubscript{2} injections. These Mg isotopic compositions are used to trace the fate of this element during the subsurface carbonation of basalts. The measured Mg isotopic compositions of the monitoring well fluids are isotopically lighter than the dissolving basalts and continue to become increasingly lighter for at least two years after the gas-charged water injection was stopped. The results indicate that the formation of isotopically heavy Mg-clays rather than Mg-carbonates are the predominant Mg secondary phases precipitating from the sampled fluids. Isotope mass balance calculations suggest that more than 70\% of the Mg liberated from the basalt by the injected gas charged water was precipitated as Mg-clays, with this percentage increasing with time after the injection, consistent with the continued precipitation of Mg clays over
the whole of the study period. The formation of Mg clays in response to the injection of CO₂ into basalts, as indicated in this study, could be detrimental to carbon storage efforts because the formation of these minerals consume divalent Mg that could otherwise be used for the formation of carbonate minerals and because such clays could decrease host rock permeability.

1. Introduction

The CarbFix project was created to develop the technology to store carbon dioxide as stable carbonate minerals directly in the subsurface by reacting gas charged injection waters with basaltic rocks (Gislason et al., 2010, 2018; Aradóttir et al., 2011, 2018). Carbon storage in basaltic rocks offers numerous advantages including their ability to promote mineral carbonation and their large potential storage volume (McGrail et al., 2006; Goldberg and Slagle, 2009; Goldberg et al., 2010; Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2014). As such, numerous studies have focused on developing the technology to safely store CO₂ in basaltic rocks including laboratory (Assayag et al., 2009; Flaathen et al., 2009; Gudbrandsson et al., 2011; Stockmann et al., 2011; Gysi and Stefánsson, 2012; Rosenbauer et al., 2012; Galeczka et al., 2014; Mareni et al., 2018), modelling (McGrail et al., 2006; Van Pham et al., 2012; Goldberg et al., 2009, 2013; Rosenbauer et al., 2012; Bacon et al., 2014) and field efforts (Rogers et al., 2006; Matter et al., 2007; McGrail et al., 2011, 2012; Siggfusson et al., 2015). Basaltic rocks are rich in divalent cations such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Fe²⁺. The injection of acidic CO₂-charged water promotes the release of these metals, potentially leading to the formation of carbonate minerals such as calcite, magnesite, and siderite as the continued dissolution of basalt increases the pH of the aqueous fluid (Oelkers et al., 2008; Gislason et al., 2010, 2014; Stefánsson et al., 2011; Gislason and Oelkers, 2014; Olsson et al., 2014). About 5% of the continents and most of the oceanic floor are comprised of basaltic rocks, including the mid-oceanic ridges. As such the largest basaltic storage potential lies offshore; theoretically all CO₂ from the burning of fossil fuel carbon (estimated to be ~5000 Gt; Archer, 2005) could be stored by mineral carbonation along the mid-ocean ridges (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2014). The flanks of these ridges contain highly fractured and permeable basaltic layers (Fisher, 1998) with a pervasive circulation of about 1,000 Gt
seawater/yr (Harris and Chapman, 2004). The potential for using these marine systems for carbon storage is confirmed by the results of Wolff-Boenisch et al. (2011), who demonstrated the rapid dissolution of basaltic rocks in CO₂ charged seawater.

The efficiency of mineral carbonation, however, can be limited if Mg clay minerals rather than Mg carbonate minerals form in response to the injection of CO₂ into basalts. Magnesium clay formation is detrimental to carbon storage efforts because these minerals consume divalent Mg that could otherwise be used for the carbonate mineral formation, and because Mg-bearing clays could decrease host rock permeability. The fate of Mg during mineral carbonation efforts in basalts will be assessed in this study through the application of Mg isotopes. The stable isotopes of magnesium (²⁴Mg, ²⁵Mg and ²⁶Mg) are increasingly being used as tracers of geochemical processes, including their use to assess mineral reactions during weathering (Brenot et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012; Tipper et al., 2006, 2008, 2012a, 2012b; Wimpenny et al., 2011). Like all major elements, magnesium and its isotopes are affected by a number of processes. For example, the magnitude and sign of Mg isotope fractionation during precipitation appears to be dependent on the type of mineral forming (Geske et al., 2012; 2015; Immenhauser et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012, 2015; Saulnier et al., 2012; Wombacher et al., 2011), organic vs. inorganic precipitation (Chang et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Saenger and Wang, 2014), precipitation rate (Mavromatis et al., 2013), fractionation mechanism (Buhl et al., 2007), and aqueous speciation (Li et al., 2014; Schott et al., 2016). In addition, isotopic fractionation can also occur due to both the preferential incorporation and preferential adsorption of specific Mg isotopes (Huang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Opfergelt et al., 2011; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2012; Tipper et al., 2012a; Wimpenny et al., 2010, 2014). Finally, the uptake of Mg by plants and microbes causes variable isotope fractionation (Black et al., 2006; Bolou-Bi et al., 2010, 2012; Oelkers et al., 2015; Pokharel et al., 2017; Uhlig et al., 2017).

In this study the Mg isotope systematics of subsurface fluids sampled prior to, during, and after the injection of CO₂-charged waters into subsurface basalts was measured to
provide insight into the mineralisation reactions that occurred in response to this injection. This approach takes advantage of the distinct Mg isotope fractionation signatures of primary and secondary phases. The Mg isotope ratio of primary igneous silicate rocks is virtually uniform (Teng et al., 2010a; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011) and it differs markedly from that in carbonates (Chang et al., 2004; Pogge von Strandmann, 2008; Wombacher et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012, 2014; Saenger and Wang, 2014, Teng 2017). As such, the Mg isotope composition of surface waters is often controlled by the balance of dissolution of silicate to carbonate rocks in the host catchment and/or the relative precipitation rates of Mg-clays compared to Mg-bearing carbonates (Tipper et al., 2006a, 2006b, 2008; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2014). It is thus anticipated that a similar approach will provide insight into the identity of Mg minerals formed during subsurface processes occurring at the CarbFix site.

2. Background of the CarbFix Project

This study focuses on the fate of Mg liberated from dissolving basalts in response to the injection of CO\textsubscript{2}-charged water at the CarbFix injection site. A number of past publications have reported the details of this injection, including the temporal evolution of dissolved element compositions and chemical tracers following these injections. A description of the injection method was presented by Sigfusson et al. (2015). The temporal evolution of chemical tracers, dissolved carbon and pH in the first monitoring well downstream from the injection well was reported by Matter et al. (2016), as well as an estimate of the fraction of injected carbon fixed by carbonation reactions. This study concluded that more than 95% of the dissolved carbon injected into the subsurface was fixed as stable carbonate minerals within 2 years. The concentrations of dissolved major elements during and after injection in the first monitoring well, as well as the saturation indices of potential secondary minerals were described by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017). Reaction path modelling of the carbon dioxide charged injection fluids, as they reacted with the subsurface basalts were reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2018).

The CarbFix injection site is equipped with a 2000 m deep injection well and 8
monitoring wells ranging in depth from 50 to 1300 m in depth. The subsurface rocks at
the injection site are primarily olivine tholeiite basalts consisting of lava flows and
hyaloclastite formations (see Fig. 1). The hyaloclastites are relatively low permeability
glassy rocks formed under ice and melt water during glaciations; the boundaries between
hyaloclastites and lava flows, and those between individual lava flows boundaries are
preferential fluid flow pathways (Alfredsson et al., 2013). Some alteration is observed in
the hyaloclastite rocks starting at 120 to 300 m depth. The common alteration minerals at
this depth are smectite, calcite, Ca-rich zeolites, and poorly crystalline iron-hydroxides
(Alfredsson et al., 2013). Fluid injection was targeted at a lava flow sequence located
400–800 m below the surface with the main aquifer located at ~530 m depth. Loss on
ignition measurements on rock samples suggest that over 80% of the primary rocks in the
target zone are currently unaltered. Tracer tests were conducted both under natural and
forced flow conditions from 2008 to 2011 to define the system hydrology (Aradóttir et
al., 2012; Gislason et al., 2010; Khalilabad et al., 2008). These tests indicated that the
flow from the HN-02 injection well to the first monitoring well (HN-04) consists of
relatively homogenous porous media intersected by a low volume and fast flow path that
channels about 3% of the tracer flow (Khalilabad et al., 2008).

The water in the target zone prior to the injection ranged in temperature from 15 to 35
°C and had in situ pH ranging from 8.4 to 9.8. The concentrations of dissolved CO₂ and
O₂ collected from the injection well from the target reservoir were 1.27 x 10⁻³ and 1.1 x 10⁻⁵
mol/kg, respectively, prior to the injection (Alfredsson et al., 2013). These values are
substantially undersaturated with respect to the composition of these gases in the
atmosphere, suggesting that the target injection reservoir was isolated from the surface.
The concentration of Ca and Mg in these waters prior to the injection was limited by
secondary mineral precipitation (Alfredsson et al., 2013). All the water samples collected
from the target reservoir prior to the injection of CO₂-charged water were supersaturated
with respect to Ca-zeolite, analcime, Ca–Mg–Fe smectite, calcite, and aragonite, and
some are supersaturated with respect to dolomite and Fe–Mg carbonates (Snæbjörnsdóttir
et al., 2017).
Approximately 175 tons of pure commercial CO₂ and 73 tons of a 75%–24%–0.8% mixture of CO₂–H₂S–H₂ gases were dissolved into water during their injection from January until August 2012. This latter gas mixture was captured directly from the adjoining Hellisheidi power plant by its dissolution into water at elevated pressure (Gislason et al., 2018; Sigfusson et al., 2018). The injected water had a temperature of ~25 °C and was equilibrated with 26 to 28 bars pressure of the CO₂ gas or ~14 bars pressure of the CO₂–H₂S–H₂ mixture. The injected fluid equilibrated with pure carbon dioxide had dissolved CO₂ concentrations of ~0.8 mol/kg H₂O and a pH of 3.85, whereas the fluid equilibrated with the gas mixture had a dissolved CO₂ concentration of ~0.43 mol/kg H₂O and a pH of 4.0 (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017). All host rock minerals and glass were strongly undersaturated with respect to the gas charged injection waters (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2018). The interaction of these acidic fluids with the host basalts creates porosity near the injection well by dissolving primary and secondary minerals. As gas-charged water continues to dissolve the basaltic host rock, this fluid becomes increasingly basic and secondary minerals will precipitate, potentially clogging the system. Reaction path calculations reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2018) suggested that Mg–Fe–carbonates and siderite became supersaturated in the subsurface fluids at pH <5, whereas Ca-Mg-Fe-carbonates and calcite were saturated or supersaturated at higher pH. Mg bearing clays and Ca, Na-rich zeolites also became saturated in the subsurface fluids at pH >7.

3. Sampling and Analytical Methods

A detailed overview of fluid injection and sampling before, during and after the CarbFix injections have been reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017). Sampling of the fluids from the HN-04 monitoring well and other wells surrounding the injection well began in 2008. Water samples for chemical analysis were collected several times prior to the injections, which began during January 2012 (Alfredsson et al., 2013). During the injections and until mid-September 2012 the HN-04 injection well was sampled twice weekly. Weekly sampling continued until mid-July 2013 with few exceptions. Water was
pumped from this monitoring well at the rate of 3.5 m$^3$/h throughout this study maintaining a constant head from the injection to the monitoring well.

Fluid samples were collected via a 10 m long, 10 mm diameter stainless steel pipe connected to the 53 mm diameter monitoring well lining pipe extending down to the pump (Alfredsson et al., 2016). The sample pipe was connected directly to a sampling valve inside an on-site field laboratory. After flushing the sampling pipe, the sampled waters were immediately filtered through 0.2 µm Millipore cellulose acetate membranes using silicon tubing and a 140 mm Sartorius® filter holder. All air in the filtration system was expelled through a valve prior to sampling and at least 3 L of water was pumped through the system before the samples were collected in acid washed high density polyethylene bottles for cation and isotopic analysis. The sampling bottles were also washed with the monitoring well fluids three times before the final sampling. These samples were acidified using Suprapur® HNO$_3$, 1% (v/v) then stored prior to analysis. The fluid sample Si, Ca, Mg concentrations, alkalinity and pH data used in this study were previously reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017) and Alfredsson et al. (2013). Four pre-CO$_2$-injection fluid samples from different shallow wells and 19 post-injection monitoring well (HN-04) samples were selected for Mg isotope measurements (Table 1). Samples collected prior to the acid gas injections from the deep wells (> 400 m), including the HN-04 monitoring well, could not be analysed for their Mg isotopic ratios due to their low Mg concentrations (see Fig. 2). These concentrations did not exceed 6 µmol kg$^{-1}$ (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Snæbjörnsdóttir, et al., 2017).

**Mg isotope analyses** - Prior to stable Mg isotope analyses, the fluid samples were chemically purified by cation exchange chromatography in a clean laboratory equipped with filtered air laminar flow workstations at GET Toulouse following a protocol similar to that reported by Mavromatis et al. (2012, 2013), Pearce et al. (2012), and Shirokova et al. (2013). Briefly, fluid samples were evaporated to dryness and re-dissolved in 1M HNO$_3$ prior to loading onto 10 ml Bio-Rad poly-prop columns containing AG-50W-X12 resin (200–400 mesh) for separation of Mg from other elements. A total of 23 samples were processed in two batches of Mg column chemistry. With each batch of samples, the
IAPSO seawater reference material and a procedure blank was processed for quality control. Mg recovery after chromatographic separation was >99.5%. After column chemistry, samples were evaporated, treated with H$_2$O$_2$/HNO$_3$ at 150°C to remove any remaining organics, and finally re-dissolved in 0.3M HNO$_3$.

Mg isotope measurements were performed at the HELGES lab, GFZ Potsdam, following protocols described by Uhlig et al. (2017) and Pokharel et al. (2017). Before Mg isotope ratio analysis, the purity of the Mg sample solutions and the Mg content in procedure blanks were checked by ICP-OES (Varian 720ES) and quadrupole ICP-MS (Thermo iCAP-Qc), respectively. Most of the samples showed a purity of higher than 99% Mg; for some samples that did not, we tested that the remaining impurities of Na and K would cause no analytical bias. Doping DSM-3 with Na and K and measuring the Mg isotopic composition showed that the impurities did not bias the Mg isotope measurements, i.e., the Na-K-doped DSM-3 ($\delta^{26}\text{Mg} = -0.05\pm 0.10 \text{‰}, \text{2SD}$) was identical to pure DSM-3 within analytical uncertainty, consistent with previous findings (Pokharel et al., 2017). Procedural blanks contributed less than 0.9% to the Mg processed through column chemistry (15 µg) and are therefore considered insignificant (potential bias in $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ is less than 0.04 ‰). All Mg isotope ratio measurements were performed in medium resolution mode on a Thermo Neptune multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS). Samples and the DSM3 bracketing standard were diluted in 0.3 M HNO$_3$ to 500 ppb. The solutions were introduced to the MC-ICP-MS via a quartz-glass spray chamber (double pass cyclon-scott type, Thermo SIS) equipped with a self-aspirating ca. 100 µL/min. The Mg isotope signals ($^{24}\text{Mg}^+, ^{25}\text{Mg}^+, ^{26}\text{Mg}^+$) were measured simultaneously on Faraday detectors. $^{26}\text{Mg}$ was measured on the interference-free low mass side of the flat-top peak to avoid interference from $^{12}\text{C}^{14}\text{N}^+$. Sample signal intensities of ca. 12 V for $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$ were obtained. Background intensities (< 8 mV $^{24}\text{Mg}^+$) were measured on-peak in 0.3 M HNO$_3$ before and after each sample measurement block and were subtracted from sample signal intensities. Instrumental mass bias on measured Mg isotope ratios was corrected by the sample-standard bracketing method using concentration-matched DSM3 as a standard.
We report isotope ratios of samples as per mil deviation of the $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ and $^{25}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratios from the DSM3 international reference material using the delta notation, as $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ and $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}$, respectively. All results are consistent with mass dependent isotope fractionation. Average $\delta$-values obtained from 2 to 6 replicate measurements of the same fluid are reported in Table 1 together with twice the standard deviation (2SD), which indicates the instrument repeatability. To assess total analysis uncertainty, IAPSO Atlantic seawater was also analysed with our samples and gave a mean $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of $-0.90\pm0.08$ (n = 12), which is in agreement with literature reference values ($-0.83 \pm0.09 \%$, 2SD, Foster et al. 2010, Ling et al. 2011 and references therein). The pure Mg solution Cambridge-1 was measured 21 times during this study and gave a $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of $-2.62\pm0.10\%$ (2SD), which is also in close agreement with literature values ($-2.59$ to $2.78\%$ – see compilations by Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2011; An and Huang, 2014). These observations are consistent with previously established long-term uncertainty estimates of the MC-ICP-MS method at GFZ HELGES of $\pm0.06\%$ (2SD) for $\delta^{25}\text{Mg}$ and $\pm0.10\%$ (2SD) for $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ (Uhlig et al., 2017; Pokharel et al., 2017).

4. Results

The Mg isotope compositions of four pre-injection shallow well samples, collected during 2008 and 2010 from wells HK-12, HK-13, and HK-25, which are drilled to depths of 130, 210 and 310 m, respectively, are shown in Fig. 3, and listed in Table 1; the composition of selected elements in these fluids are provided in Table 2. The measured $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of these samples ranged from $-0.57$ to $-0.69 \%$, which is $\sim0.3\%$ lower than basaltic rocks from this area and previously measured groundwaters in Iceland (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008), but within the range of precipitation-uncorrected Icelandic soil pore waters (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2012). Note that the formation of Mg-bearing clays in these systems occurs only at depths greater than 120 to 300 m (Alfredsson et al., 2013), such that these shallow water samples are likely relatively unaffected by Mg clay precipitation compared to deeper wells, which is also consistent with the relatively high Mg concentrations of these fluids.
The fluid compositions of the HN-04 monitoring well fluids are provided in Tables 1 and 2. The first of these samples analysed for $\delta^{26}$Mg was collected on 9 February 2012, 17 days after the start of the initial CO$_2$-charged water injection. This sample had a Mg concentration of 36 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ and a $\delta^{26}$Mg of -0.84 ‰, which is approximately 0.25 ‰ lower than that of the pre-injection shallow well samples. As the aqueous Mg concentrations of the monitoring well fluids increased with time up to 101 $\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$ on 26 March 2012 (Fig. 2b) the $\delta^{26}$Mg values of these fluids decreased to -0.94 ‰. These $\delta^{26}$Mg values continued to decrease with time to about -1.3 ‰ through June 2013 as the dissolved Mg concentration decreased, increased, and decreased again in response to the arrival to the monitoring well of fluids influenced by the injection of the acid gases (see Fig. 2, 3). The isotopically lightest $\delta^{26}$Mg value of -1.34 ‰ was measured in the final sample collected on 8 June 2014.

5. Discussion

The basaltic glass in the region of CarbFix injection site has a $\delta^{26}$Mg value of -0.28‰ (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008; Wimpenny et al., 2010), which is identical to State University of New York (SUNY) Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalt (MORB; Teng et al., 2007), as well as the bulk silicate Earth (Hin et al., 2017). This glass is susceptible to dissolution in response to the injection of acidic fluids (Gislason et al., 1996; Oelkers, 2001; Oelkers and Gislason, 2001; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003) and has been identified as the major phase dissolving in response to the CarbFix gas charged water injections (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2018). The rapid fluid-flow pathway, channeling ~3% of the injected gas charged fluid was attributed to a fracture network located in crystalline basalts containing mainly plagioclase, olivine, and pyroxene. Nevertheless olivines and crystalline basalts near the CarbFix site have an identical $\delta^{26}$Mg composition to each other and the basaltic glass (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008, 2012). This is consistent with other studies suggesting basaltic olivines have a narrow isotopic range, with a similar Mg isotope composition to that of its coexisting basalt glass (Norman et al., 2006; Teng et al., 2007; Wimpenny et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2017). Although such results indicate that the preferential dissolution of distinct primary phases will not cause significant Mg isotope fractionation, it should be noted that both Wimpenny et al. (2010)
and Maher et al. (2016) reported an initial preferential loss of light Mg during the dissolution of basaltic glass and olivine. Longer-term experiments reported by Oelkers et al. (2015) however, suggest that for the case of olivine, this preferential release of light Mg is limited to the initial stages of dissolution.

The low Mg concentrations in the target reservoir fluids prior to the gas injection indicate that essentially all the Mg dissolved in the HN-04 monitoring well samples collected in this study after January 2012 originates from the injected fluids or the dissolution of the host minerals in response to fluid-rock interaction. The low Mg concentrations of the target reservoir prior to injection have been attributed to the formation of Mg-bearing secondary minerals in the subsurface reservoirs, and in particular to Mg-bearing clay precipitation. Indeed, the smectite, Mg-saponite, is calculated to be strongly supersaturated in these fluids prior to injection, whereas dolomite and magnesite are undersaturated (Snæbjörnsdóttir, et al., 2017). These calculated results are consistent with the observed secondary minerals in the target basalt formations (Alfredsson et al., 2013). They also explain the low $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of the pre-injection shallow reservoir fluids compared to that of the host basalt, as Mg-bearing silicate minerals preferentially incorporate heavy Mg isotopes upon their formation (c.f. Young and Galy, 2004; Tipper et al., 2006; Teng et al., 2007, 2010; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008).

It seems likely, therefore, that the increasingly isotopically light composition of the post-injection well samples must either be caused by continued dissolution of an isotopically light and previously formed secondary phase, or the precipitation of an isotopically heavy secondary phase. Evidence suggests the calcite present in the host basalts dissolve as the acidic gas charged injection waters first interact with the subsurface basalts (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017). This calcite likely has a $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ of no more than -1.4‰ (Saenger and Wang 2014; Wombacher et al., 2011) such that the initial dissolution of calcite would tend to make the fluids lighter. Nevertheless, after a short initial time, saturation state and reactive path calculations indicate that calcite, as well as dolomite and mixed Mg-Ca-Fe carbonates, become supersaturated in the monitoring
fluids collected from the HN-4 monitoring well (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017, 2018). The initially dissolved calcite tends to precipitate from this fluid as its pH increases in response to basalt dissolution. Due to the injection of carbon dioxide, more calcite precipitates in this system than it initially dissolved (Matter et al., 2016; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2018). Such carbonate minerals likely tend to preferentially incorporate light Mg into their structure. For example, Mavromatis et al. (2014) found that apparent dolomite-pore fluid $\delta^{26}$Mg fractionation factors were -2.6 ‰, a value similar to that reported by Higgins and Schrag (2010). Numerous other studies have observed the preferential incorporation of light Mg in Mg-bearing carbonates (Galy et al., 2002; Buhl et al., 2007; Hippler et al., 2009; Immenhauser et al., 2010; Wombacher et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012, 2015; Pearce et al., 2012; Mavromatis et al., 2012, 2013; Shirokova et al., 2013; Beinlich et al., 2014; Prikryl et al., 2018). Such observations suggests that carbonate precipitation as observed at the CarbFix site would drive the sampled monitoring well to heavier rather than lighter compositions. It seems therefore that carbonate precipitation is not the dominant process controlling the Mg isotope composition of these monitoring well fluids.

The measured formation water composition requires, therefore, the incorporation of heavy Mg into secondary phases. The calculations reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017, 2018) suggest that Mg-smectites are supersaturated in most of the monitoring fluids collected from the HN-4 monitoring well; the saturation state of the monitoring fluids analyzed in this study with respect to Mg-clay are provided in Table 2. The Mg isotopic compositions of clay minerals tend to be heavier than their co-existing fluid phases (Young and Galy, 2004; Tipper et al., 2006; Teng et al., 2007, 2010; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008). Similarly, Wimpenny et al. (2010) concluded that the formation of secondary chrysotile, an Mg clay, lead to light Mg release from dissolving basaltic glass and olivine. Ryu et al. (2016) estimated a clay-fluid Mg isotope fractionation factor of 0.54 ‰, for T-O-T clays formed at temperatures from 90 to 250 °C. Moreover, Wimpenny et al. (2014) measured the brucite-fluid $\delta^{26}$Mg fractionation factor to be 0.50‰ at 80 °C and near to neutral pH. This study argued that this system provided a good analogue to the incorporation of Mg into the octahedral sheets of Mg-rich clay minerals. Note also that $^{26}$Mg preferentially adsorbs on the surfaces of kaolinite type
minerals, including allophane (Huang et al., 2012; Pogge von Strandmann, 2012; Opfergelt et al., 2014). Thus, both precipitation and adsorption of exchangeable Mg onto clay minerals could lead to the observed pore fluid $\delta^{26}$Mg decrease with time.

If, to a first approximation, it can be assumed that the Mg isotopic composition of the HN-04 monitoring fluids stem exclusively from the conservative dissolution of basalt$^1$ having an isotopic composition of $\delta^{26}$Mg$_{basalt}$ = -0.28‰ (Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2008), coupled to the precipitation of a Mg-clay having a $\Delta^{26}$Mg$_{clay-fluid}$ fractionation factor of 0.50‰ (Wimpenny et al., 2014), the fraction of Mg released to the fluid by the basalt and originally injected into the well with the gas charged fluid that was incorporated into clays can be estimated from mass balance constraints. The Mg concentration of the sampled monitoring well fluids is equal to the sum of contributions from the Mg concentration of the injected gas charged water ($c_{Mg,inject}$), the Mg concentration of the original formation water ($c_{Mg,fw}$), and the change in Mg concentrations due to basalt dissolution ($\Delta c_{Mg,basalt}$) and Mg-clay precipitation ($\Delta c_{Mg,clay}$) such that

$$c_{Mg,measured} = X_{fw} c_{Mg,fw} + X_{inject} c_{Mg,inject} + \Delta c_{Mg,basalt} - \Delta c_{Mg,clay} \quad (1)$$

where $X_{fw}$ and $X_{inject}$ are the fraction of formation water and injected water in the collected monitoring well sample, determined by the concentrations of SF$_6$ and SF$_5$CF$_3$ tracers in these fluids (Matter et al., 2016) such that $X_{fw} + X_{inject} = 1$. If it can be assumed that the fluids mix and basalt dissolved prior to the precipitation of the Mg-bearing clay, the isotopic composition of the fluid before clay precipitation ($\delta^{26}$Mg$_1$) is given by

$$\delta^{26}Mg_1 = \frac{(\delta^{26}Mg_{fw} X_{fw} c_{Mg,fw} + \delta^{26}Mg_{inject} X_{inject} c_{Mg,inject} + \delta^{26}Mg_{basalt} \Delta c_{Mg,basalt})}{(X_{fw} c_{Mg,fw} + X_{inject} c_{Mg,inject} + \Delta c_{Mg,basalt})} \quad (2)$$

$^1$ The term conservative dissolution in this regard refers to a process where the dissolution releases to the fluid Mg having the same isotopic composition as the dissolving rock.
where $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_i$ designates the isotopic composition of the indicated source. The Mg isotope compositions of the monitoring well samples can then be determined from an expression of the Rayleigh equation of the form:

$$\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{sampled fluid}} = \delta^{26}\text{Mg}_1 - f\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{fluid}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{sampled fluid}}$ designates the isotopic composition in the sampled monitoring well fluid, $\Delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{fluid}}$ stands for the indicated isotope fractionation factor, and $f$, the fraction of the Mg remaining in the fluid following clay mineral precipitation in each sample. Equations (1) to (3) were solved simultaneously to generate the values of $f$ shown in Fig. 4. The values of $X_{\text{fw}}$ and $X_{\text{inject}}$ in Eqn. (2) required for this calculations were taken from Matter et al. (2016), and values of $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{inj}}$ and $c_{\text{Mg inj}}$ are provided in Tables 1 and 2. Note the $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{fw}} X_{\text{fw}} c_{\text{Mg fw}}$ term is negligible compare to the other terms in Eqn. (2) due to the low Mg concentration of the pre-injection formation waters (compare the composition of sample 12KMG01 with the others in Table 2). The calculated fraction of the Mg remaining in solution into clay minerals, as shown in Fig. 4, tends to decrease with time. Calculations suggest that this fraction decreases continuously from 33 to 12 percent with time from February 8, 2012 to June 8, 2014. This behavior contrasts somewhat with the saturation index of Mg clays, which becomes undersaturated in the sampled monitoring fluids when the injected acid fluid first arrive at the monitoring well – see Table 2. It can be seen in Fig. 4 that the distribution of calculated Mg precipitation fractions exhibit a concave distribution consistent with the slowing of clay formation rates with time. Consistent with the very dilute Mg concentrations of the deep well fluid prior to the injection, it seems likely that this fraction would approach zero over the long-term.

This estimate, however, is likely a minimum estimate as evidence indicates that calcite dissolved into the gas charged injection waters shortly after they arrive in the subsurface (Matter et al., 2016; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017, 2018). The dissolution of these calcites would likely release some light Mg to the fluid phase. In addition, the dissolution of basaltic glass and olivine has been reported to initially release light Mg to
the fluid (Wimpenny et al., 2010). Indeed, mass balance and reactive path calculations reported by Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017, 2018) suggest that more than 95% of the Mg release by dissolving basaltic glass needed to be incorporated into secondary phases to be consistent with the measured dissolved Mg concentration of the HN-04 monitoring well fluids in March 2013. The stable Mg isotope measurements reported here suggest that the bulk of these secondary Mg phases formed following the CarbFix injections were Mg bearing clays (e.g. smectite).

It should be emphasized, however, that the calculated results shown in Fig. 4 are highly uncertain due to poor understanding of the Mg isotope fractionation factor between Mg clay minerals and the aqueous fluid. For example, Teng et al. (2010) suggested that the $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$ deduced from the compositions of South Carolina saprolites range from 0.05‰ to -0.4‰. In contrast, Huang et al. (2012) reported that $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$ based on the compositions of southern Chinese saprolites ranged from -0.94‰ to -1.94‰. Wimpenny et al. (2014), however, concluded that the Huang et al. (2012) observations were more consistent with a $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$ of -0.57‰. The computed value of $f$, the fraction of the Mg remaining in the fluid following clay mineral precipitation in each sample determined in this study depends strongly on the value chosen for $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$. A value of $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}} = -0.40‰$ would yield a final $f$ value for the June 8, 2014 sample of 0.07, whereas a $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}} = -1.00‰$ would yield a final $f$ value for the June 8, 2014 sample of 0.34. Moreover, it should also be noted that at the pH and fluid composition changed (Schott et al., 2016), so too would $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$. Such changes also would alter the calculated values of $f$. It follows that precise and accurate values of $\Delta^{26}Mg_{\text{clay-fluid}}$ are an essential prerequisite to using the compositions the Mg isotopic compositions of minerals and fluids to quantify natural geochemical processes.

The formation of Mg clays in response to the injection of CO$_2$ into basalts, as indicated in this study, is detrimental to carbon storage efforts for two main reasons. First, the formation of these Mg-bearing silicates consume divalent Mg that could
otherwise be used for the formation of carbonate minerals. Second, Mg-bearing clays are voluminous, such that they consume valuable porosity and could decrease host rock permeability. The formation of these clays, however, will occur at a distance from the injection well, as their precipitation requires sufficient basalt dissolution to increase the fluid pH to at least 7 (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017). As such their formation will not likely clog flow pathways near the injection well. Nevertheless, their formation at a distance from these wells will limit somewhat the efficiency of carbon storage efforts in basalts. This potential challenge might be overcome by injecting at higher temperatures, where the formation of magnesite rather than Mg-bearing clays might be favored, however, Mg-silicate alteration phases including mixed-layered clays, chlorite, and epidote are common alteration phases in basalts to at least 280 °C (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the continuous injection of acidic CO₂-charged fluids may lead to a propagating reaction front that would progressively move these clay minerals further away from the injection well.

6. Conclusions

The Mg isotope compositions of monitoring well samples collected in this study are lighter than the host basalts that dissolved in response to the injection of gas charged waters into the CarbFix site. This observation is consistent with the consumption of the divalent Mg cations released by basalt dissolution by isotopically heavier Mg clay minerals, rather than carbonate minerals, which tend to favor the incorporation of isotopically light Mg. This conclusion is supported by the fact that Mg-smectites rather than Mg carbonate phases are commonly observed as secondary phases in basalts altered at low temperatures. Such results demonstrate that the Mg isotopic compositions of monitoring well fluids can be used to provide insight into the fate of Mg during subsurface carbon storage efforts.

The rates at which Mg precipitates as clay minerals appear to be relatively slow; mass balance calculations suggest that smectite is still continuing to precipitate 2 years after the termination of the acid gas injection – calculations suggest that ~12 percent of the Mg released to the fluid by basalt dissolution remains to be precipitated after two
years. This contrasts with calcite, which has demonstrated to precipitate more than 95% of the injected CO₂ in less than 2 years (Matter et al., 2016). Although Mg clay mineral precipitation is relatively slow, its precipitation may limit significantly the efficiency of carbon storage efforts based on the enhanced weathering of mafic or ultramafic rocks over the long term (Rigopoulos et al., 2018).
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Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Maps showing location of the study area (a) Map showing active volcanic zones in Iceland: RP = Reykjanes Peninsula, WVZ = Western Volcanic Zone, SISZ = South Iceland Seismic Zone, MVZ = Mid-Iceland Volcanic Zone, EVZ = Eastern Volcanic Zone and NVZ = Northern Volcanic Zone. (b) Map showing location of central volcanoes (dark gray) on Reykjanes Peninsula and associated fissure swarms (gray). (c) Map of the CO$_2$ injection site in, Hellsheidi, SW-Iceland. The CarbFix wells are shown as labeled gray dots. Mapped bedrock faults are located toward east and are part of the Hengill fissure swarm - after Alfredsson et al. (2013).

Fig 2. The temporal evolution of a) pH and b) Mg concentration of fluids collected from the HN-04 monitoring well, located 500 m away from the HN-02 injection well before during and after the injection of gas charged waters at the CarbFix site. The timing of the two injections is indicated by the two blue bars. Data from Snæbjörnsdóttir et al. (2017) and Alfredsson et al. (2013). The reported uncertainties on these analyses were reported to be $\pm 0.02$ units on pH and $\pm 3\%$ on the Mg concentration analyses.

Fig 3. The temporal evolution of $\delta^{26}$Mg of fluids collected from the CarbFix site. Open diamonds illustrate the compositions of shallow wells prior to the injection, whereas the filled circles represent the composition of monitoring well samples. The dashed horizontal line shows the compositions of the basalts at the CarbFix site whereas the timing of the two injections is indicated by the two blue bars – see text.

Fig 4. The temporal evolution of the fraction of Mg released by basalt dissolution that has been incorporated into clay minerals ($f_{\text{Mg, clay}}$). Fractions were calculated assuming a closed-system Rayleigh model (see text).
Table 1. Summary of measured Mg isotopic compositions of pre-injection shallow well fluids, and post-injection monitoring well fluids collected from HN-04. All isotopic compositions were measured at the HELGES lab, GFZ Potsdam. 2SD refers to twice the standard deviation of n MC-ICP-MS measurements of the same solution. The uncertainty of the presented data (relevant for geological interpretation) is estimated to be 0.10 ‰ (2SD) for $\delta^{26}$Mg and 0.06 ‰ (2SD) for $\delta^{25}$Mg based on long-term repeated analyses of samples and references materials – see text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>$c_{\text{HCO}_3}$</th>
<th>$\delta^{26}\text{Mg}_{\text{inert}}$</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>$\delta^{25}\text{Mg}_{\text{inert}}$</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Well</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(day/month/year)</td>
<td>(mol kg$^{-1}$) x 10$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-injection monitoring well samples collected from HN-04</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM08</td>
<td>09/02/2012</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM11</td>
<td>16/02/2012</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM19</td>
<td>27/02/2012</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM25</td>
<td>08/03/2012</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM33</td>
<td>26/03/2012</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM44</td>
<td>18/04/2012</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-1.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM49</td>
<td>04/05/2012</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.93</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12KGM60</td>
<td>30/05/2012</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS01</td>
<td>08/06/2012</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS09</td>
<td>17/07/2012</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS15</td>
<td>31/07/2012</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS21</td>
<td>14/08/2012</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS28</td>
<td>28/08/2012</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS34</td>
<td>24/09/2012</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12SOS39</td>
<td>29/10/2012</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-1.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13SOS01</td>
<td>07/01/2013</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13SOS10</td>
<td>16/04/2013</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13SOS17</td>
<td>10/06/2013</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-1.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14SOS11</td>
<td>08/06/2014</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HN-04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-injection samples taken from indicated shallow well.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09HAA09</td>
<td>07/08/2008</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>HK-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09HAA18</td>
<td>29/05/2010</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HK-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10HAA25</td>
<td>02/06/2010</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>HK-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10HAA32</td>
<td>25/06/2010</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>HK-13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| reference materials | | | | | | | | | |
| IAPSO seawater (batch a) | | | | | | | | | |
| IAPSO seawater (batch b) | | | | | | | | | |
| IAPSO seawater mean (batch a & b) | | | | | | | | | |
| seawater (reference value) | | | | | | | | | |
| Cambridge-1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Cambridge-1 (reference value) | | | | | | | | | |

- Published reference values are from compilations by Pogge von Strandmann et al. (2011), An and Huang (2014), Foster et al. (2010), Ling et al. (2011) and references therein.
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