From a face to its category via a few information processing states in the brain
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Cognitive neuroscience assumes a correspondence between specific spatio-temporal patterns of neural activity and the states of a mechanism that processes cognitive information. Mechanistic explanations of cognition should therefore translate patterns of neural activity into the components of a formal mechanism: a set of information processing states and their transitions. For the first time, we carried out this research programme with four naive observers instructed to categorise randomly presented face information. With classification image techniques, we revealed the diagnostic features that the brain requires to produce correct behaviour (i.e., two eyes for gender categorisation in one session; the mouth for expression in the other session). With the same techniques applied to brain signals, we revealed the features processing states associated with modulations of oscillatory EEG energy (measured on occipito-temporal face-sensitive electrodes). Here, we show how transitions between distinct feature processing states in the theta/alpha [4–12 Hz] oscillatory bands implement two face categorisations. On the left and right occipito-temporal electrodes of each observer, processing of the contra-lateral eye precedes bilateral integration of the features required for behaviour. For the first time, we relate stimulus information to behaviour via sequences of categorisation-specific feature processing states in the brain. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

To study face categorisation mechanisms in an information system such as the brain, automata theory (Hopcroft and Ullman, 1979) specifies three generic questions that must be addressed to relate brain activity to the states of an information processing mechanism (i.e., a formal automaton). The first question is that of form: what is the nature of the brain activity supporting face processing—i.e., the states of the brain correlated with face processing? The second question is that of content: what is the information content processed in these brain states? The third question is that of transition: how does information flow from one brain state to the next between stimulus onset and behavioural response? So far, cognitive neuroimaging studies have not simultaneously addressed these three questions. Until this is resolved, inferences about cognitive information processing mechanisms will be severely restricted.

To illustrate the problem, researchers have proposed that slow brain rhythms could form distinct states of information processing, on the basis of considerable evidence of a consistent relationship between low frequency, theta (4–8 Hz) and alpha (8–12 Hz), oscillatory EEG activity of the neural substrate and behavioural variables (Van Rullen and Koch, 2003; Ward, 2003, for reviews). However, definitive evidence is lacking in part because it has been proven difficult to identify precisely the information content of these states from the parametric modulations (i.e., phase and amplitude) of slow brain rhythms. Consequently, little is known about the detailed flow of information (i.e., information processing states and their transitions) that the brain goes through when it dynamically evolves through peaks and troughs at a given rhythm to implement the information processing mechanisms of cognition.

Here, we adopted an established system modeling approach: build a mathematical model with the components of a finite state automaton. A finite state automaton comprises a finite number of information processing states together with conditions on state transitions specified in a table. We extracted a finite set of information processing states from the oscillatory EEG and then revealed their transitions (the flow of information), which reflect the underlying computations of information from the input face in order to produce categorisation behaviour.¹

Specifically, four observers resolved two biologically relevant face categorisations (male-female, GENDER; expressive or not, EXNEX) of the same stimulus set (i.e., males and females with two

¹ We are aware that “mechanism” is a loaded term, which is why we limit its scope to the formal definition given in the theory of computation (Hopcroft and Ullman, 1979): a finite set of information processing states together with a table specifying the state transitions that implement a particular computation. Note that this definition does not associate a mechanism with a particular level of neural integration.
expressions: smiling and neutral). For each observer and categorisation (GENDER and EXNEX), the experiment established a one-to-one correspondence between random samples of facial information presented on each single trial and response to this information—i.e., behavioural responses and the EEG measured on face-sensitive electrodes, the left and right low occipito-temporal electrodes (Smith et al., 2004). With classification image techniques (Gosselin and Schyns, 2001), we estimated across the 4000 trials of each task how facial information modulated responses. For the EEG, we examined modulations of oscillatory energy in a Time × Frequency domain: every 4 ms from −200 to 600 ms around stimulus onset; every 2 Hz from 4 to 30 Hz. For behaviour we examined categorisation accuracy and reaction time, see Fig. 1.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects were four paid observers (BB, MB, ML and RZ) from Glasgow University, UK.

Stimuli

Face stimuli were computed from 256 × 256 pixels grey-scale pictures of 10 actors (5 males and 5 females) each displaying two facial expressions (neutral and happy). All photographs were taken under standardised conditions of illumination and hairstyle was normalised across faces to eliminate this feature. Stimuli were presented on a light grey background at the centre of a computer monitor with a fixed chin rest maintaining a constant 1-m viewing distance, resulting in each stimulus spanning 4.6 × 4.6° of visual angle.

Procedure

A trial started with the 500-ms presentation of a fixation cross spanning 0.4° of visual angle. It was immediately followed by a randomly selected face picture whose information was revealed through 14 two-dimensional Gaussian apertures (σ=0.22° of visual angle) randomly allocated with the constraint that each aperture remained within the area of the face (see Fig. 1, Stimuli). Previous experiments determined that 14 apertures are required to reach a minimum of 75% correct categorisations in the GENDER and EXNEX tasks (Gosselin and Schyns, 2001). The sparse face remained on screen for 1500 ms and observers were instructed to respond as quickly as possible without making mistakes by depressing the appropriate button of a two-key response box. In one 4000 trials session observers indicated the gender of each sparse face and in a second session they indicated whether the sparse face was expressive or not. Order of task was counterbalanced across observers. Short breaks were allowed every 100 trials.

EEG recording

Scalp electrical activity (EEG) was recorded with 32 (ML and BB)/64 (MB and RZ) sintered silver/silver chloride electrodes mounted in an electrode cap (Quick-Cap/Easy-Cap respectively) at electrode positions including the standard 10–20 system positions along with intermediate positions (and an additional row of low occipital electrodes in the Easy-Cap). The right mastoid (TP10)/linked mastoids served as initial common reference, and the AFz electrode as ground. Vertical electro-oculogram (vEOG) was bipo-
Fig. 1. Bubbles methods applied to EEG measurements (illustrated for observer RZ resolving gender on right occipito-temporal electrode, OTR, P8). Stimuli. Illustration of 2 out of 4000 trials, displaying the original faces, the “bubble masks” that randomly sample facial information on each trial and the resulting facial samples constituting the experimental stimuli. Behaviour Classification Image. Accuracy: In white, significant ($p < 0.05$, one tailed) diagnostic features that this observer used to categorise the gender of the sampled faces, revealing mostly the two eyes. Reaction Time: In white, significant ($p < 0.025$, two tailed) diagnostic features that discriminated fast from slow reaction times in correct trials. EEG – Time x Frequency. On each trial, EEG recorded on face-sensitive OTR electrode P8 between −200 and 600 ms around stimulus onset is decomposed in a Time x Frequency ($T \times F$) analysis (every 2 Hz from 4 to 30 Hz). Across trials, a distribution of 3000–3200 (depending on categorisation performance and artefact rejection) TF energy values exists for each cell of the $T \times F$ matrix (illustrated for 6 Hz at 189 ms). Time x Frequency Classification Image. We sum the information samples presented on the trials associated with the top (vs. bottom) 30% of the energy distribution and subtract these sums to derive a classification image representing significant correlations between modulations of facial information and modulations of $T \times F$ energy (illustrated for 6 Hz at 189 ms). Feature Time x Frequency (FTF) Map. This computation repeated for each $T \times F$ cell of the matrix depicts sensitivity of the oscillatory EEG to three basic features (left eye, coded in blue; right eye, in red; mouth, in green). We linearly combine the sensitivities to basic features in RGB space to reveal, with different combinations of colours, combinations of basic features (see Supplementary Fig. 1). To illustrate, the white box in the FTF map highlights a transition, in the 6-Hz band, from 90 to 220 ms after stimulus onset, from processing the contra-lateral eye (coded in blue), followed by two eyes (coded in purple), followed by the two eyes and the mouth, coded in white. RGB line plots collapse the sensitivity measurements, for each basic feature, across time (top plot) and frequency (right plot).
padded by 200 ms pre and post the epoch limits. For each point in this Time × Frequency space (i.e., for each frequency band (4 to 30 Hz) and each time point (200 to 600 ms)) we derived an independent classification image to determine the features discriminating between low and high EEG energy at this point. To this end, at each point in Time × Frequency space, we split the distribution of energy values (there is one value per correct non-artifact trial, per time point at each time step, for all frequencies considered) into three bins (the top 30%, middle 40% and bottom 30%). To derive each EEG classification image, we assigned the bubble masks used on each trial to one of the 3 bins as a function of the energy elicited (at each particular time–frequency point) by that bubble mask. This fine split of the EEG time–frequency energy distribution allowed a detailed inspection of how visual information co-varies with EEG Time × Frequency energy. The Time × Frequency classification image was the sum of the bubble masks corresponding to the top 30% of the distribution minus sum of those masks corresponding to the bottom 30% of the distribution. In Fig. 1, EEG–Time × Frequency illustrates this procedure going from raw signal in response to bubbled stimuli to a Time × Frequency Classification Image. In Fig. 1, the Time × Frequency EEG Classification Image represents the two eyes, because their presence in the sampled input systematically modulated OTR oscillatory energy at 189 ms following stimulus onset, in the 6 Hz band.

For each observer and categorisation task, repeating this analysis across all frequencies and time points results in a matrix of classification images detailing any facial features that are systematically correlated with the EEG signal in each frequency band and at each time point, for a total of 2 electrodes (OTL and OTR) × 2 tasks (EXNEX and GENDER) = 4 matrices of EEG classification images per observer (see Fig. 1 for an illustration of one vector taken from the matrix).

Relating 1 and 2: The FTF Map: a representation of sensitivity of Time × Frequency Classification Images to the features of the behaviour classification images

To establish those features that are significantly correlated with the EEG energy at each point in the time–frequency space, we applied a cluster test (orthogonal space to project the Time × Frequency classification image—i.e., the intersection between the feature templates is an empty set. An alternative measure such as a correlation between each basic feature and the Time × Frequency classification image does not produce one such orthogonal space because the feature templates are not orthogonal to one another and do not form a basis. Instead, they are negatively correlated.

The intersection allows the basic feature templates to be used as an orthogonal space to project the Time × Frequency classification image—i.e., the two eyes in purple, the left eye and the mouth in turquoise, the right eye and the mouth in yellow and the left eye, the right eye and the mouth in white, see Supplementary Fig. 1). The linear combination is warranted because the three basic features (left eye, right eye and mouth) constitute, for each subject, an orthogonal basis onto which the Time × Frequency classification images can be projected. Linearly adding the three sensitivity matrices produces one FTF map per combination of observer, task and electrode (e.g., RZ resolving GENDER on OTR in Fig. 1). The FTF map establishes the sensitivity to individual features (e.g., the left eye, coded in blue) or combinations of features (e.g., the two eyes, coded in purple) and the time course of transitions between different information processing states. To illustrate, in Fig. 1, on OTR, in the 6 Hz band, the presence of the contra-lateral left eye at 141 ms is coded in blue, followed by the presence of two eyes at 161 ms (coded in purple) and the presence of the three features at 189 ms (coded in white). The FTF map is a novel solution to the foundational problem of inferring the information processing states and transitions of a cognitive mechanism between stimulus onset and response, and thereby relating a cognitive mechanism implemented in the brain to behaviour.

At this stage, it is worthwhile pointing out the critical difference between this approach and other more typical approaches (see Schyns et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2004, 2005, 2006; Nielsen and Rainer, 2007; Gosselin and Schyns, 2001 for discussions). Most brain imaging studies of visual categorisation aim to establish “that category X elicits a significantly different brain response than control categories Y, Z and so forth.” The shortcoming of this approach is that it leaves the reader guessing the precise visual information associated with the significant response difference. Our approach addresses this shortcoming by establishing a precise mapping between the variance of a brain response and input information, and the variance of a behavioural response and input information. Of course, one could always select a priori a number of features and test them against brain responses. However, this does not establish a precise mapping between the variance of the input information and the variance of the brain responses (see, e.g., Smith et al., 2004, for precise depictions of this correspondence over the N170 and the P300 ERPs). Furthermore, there is always the unresolved problem of the experimenter bias in choosing which information to test, which information to control, and which information to disregard. Here, we are testing all of the available information, by sampling it randomly across trials. The FTF maps and the behaviour classification images therefore represent different regressions of the same set of information samples with different responses. Critically, it is the different responses (i.e., OTR and OTL electrodes and categorisation accuracy) that are sensitive to different face information, leading to different classification images from the same input information samples. The strength of this approach results from its ability to compare behavioural and brain measurements within the same space (the input stimulus) to better understand functionality.

**Results**

**Behavioural information**

MB was correct on 92% of the trials in GENDER (RT: \( \mu = 861 \text{ ms; } \sigma = 271 \text{ ms} \)) and 90% in EXNEX (RT: \( \mu = 900 \text{ ms; } \sigma = \))
330 ms); RZ 85% (RT: $\mu=709$ ms; $\sigma=192$ ms) and 90% (RT: $\mu=732$ ms; $\sigma=237$ ms); ML 88% (RT: $\mu=790$ ms; $\sigma=210$ ms) and 87% (RT: $\mu=730$ ms; $\sigma=185$ ms); BB 81% (RT: $\mu=846$ ms; $\sigma=168$ ms) and 80% (RT: $\mu=760$ ms; $\sigma=152$ ms), respectively. The classification image analysis revealed that the accuracy of observers mostly depended on the presence of two eyes and some of the mouth in GENDER and on the exclusive presence of the mouth in EXNEX (henceforth, we consider a feature to be a cluster of connected classification image pixels above a statistical threshold; here, $p<0.05$). A similar use of information discriminated fast from slow reaction times (see Figs. 2, 3 and Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3, Behaviour Classification Image). It is important to stress that these features constitute the minimal face information that the brain must process in order to resolve the two face categorisations. Thus, we would expect modulations of the EEG oscillatory energy to reflect at least this information content between stimulus onset and behavioural response.

FTF maps

To reveal the information content associated with modulations of oscillatory energy, we computed independent FTF maps for each observer, electrode and categorisation task. For each cell of a Time × Frequency analysis applied to the EEG recorded on each correct trial of a task, we computed a Time × Frequency classification image to establish the facial features systematically correlated with variations of energy. We then classified the Time × Frequency classification image as to the degree of presence of the left eye (in blue), the right eye (in red) and the mouth (in green). The colour coding of features is purposeful: linear combinations of colours will reflect the combinations of two or more basic features in the classification image (e.g., the left eye and the mouth: blue + green in RGB coding = turquoise). Repeating the approach to each Time × Frequency cell produces an FTF map from which we will infer distinct information processing states and their transitions (see Methods and Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1).

Information Processing States

Consider the FTF map of observer BB resolving GENDER (Fig. 2A). We know from the behavioural analysis that this observer uses mostly the two eyes to categorise the faces as male and female (see Behaviour Classification image, Accuracy and Reaction Time in Fig. 2A). On an OTR electrode, in a band...
extending from 4 to 16 Hz and in a time window extending from around 100 to 300 ms following stimulus onset, there is a clear sensitivity to the contra-lateral left eye (coded in blue in Fig. 2A, OTR FTF map). On the opposite OTL electrode, in the same frequency and time window, sensitivity to the contra-lateral right eye is apparent (coded in red in Fig. 2A, OTL FTF map). Thus, observer BB processes the left and right eyes to resolve GENDER, but contra-laterally, in different hemispheres, resulting in two distinct states of information processing. Sensitivity to the conjunction of the left (blue) and right (red) eye (coded in purple in Fig. 2A) is present on electrode OTR in the 6-Hz frequency band, at 220 ms, extends for approximately 20 ms (represented in white in Fig. 3A). In EXNEX, categorisation accuracy depends on the presence of the mouth in the input a content processed on both electrodes.

A similar pattern of results in the two tasks is present for observer RZ (see Figs. 2B and 3B). Note in particular the 6-Hz sensitivity to the contra-lateral eye and the mouth in EXNEX (represented in turquoise vs. yellow on OTR vs. OTL in Fig. 3B) and note also the sensitivity to both eyes in GENDER (represented in purple in Fig. 2B). Observers ML and MB were also similar to each other in their information processing states, though theirs included more feature conjunctions (encoded in purple and in white in Supplementary Figs. 2A, B and 3A, B).

Thus, the FTF maps on face processing sensitive OTR and OTL electrodes reveal distinct, identifiable information processing states between stimulus onset and behavioural response, in the GENDER and EXNEX face categorisations. These information states reflect the processing of individual features (the left or right contra-lateral eye, or the mouth) or conjunctions of features (the two eyes, the left
or right contra-lateral eye and the mouth). It is important to un-
derstand that our experiment is a three-factor (2 electrodes × 200
time points × 15 frequency bands) exhaustive within-observer
design. Each cell of the FTF map represents its own selective
sensitivity (if any) to the same uniform distribution of input
information and serves as control to the other cells. Identifiable
information states (e.g., the left eye on OTR and the right eye on
OTL, the mouth and feature combinations) illustrate intricate
interactions between the three factors.

State Transitions and Time Course

The FTF maps also embed the time course and transitions of
information processing states. RGB line plots on top of each FTF
map in Figs. 2 and 3 collapse the frequency dimension to represent
the time course of sensitivity to the basic left eye (blue), right eye
(red) and mouth (green). Overlap between basic features reveals
conjunctive sensitivities. Returning to observer BB resolving
GENDER in Fig. 2A, OTR electrode reveals an initial processing
of the contra-lateral left eye (in blue), followed by the conjunction
of the contra-lateral and ipsi-lateral eyes (in purple). A similar state
transition appears on electrode OTR, starting this time with the
contra-lateral right eye (in red) followed by both eyes (in purple).

For BB resolving EXNEX in Fig. 3A, OTR and OTL electrodes
also reveal state transitions involving initially the contra-lateral eye
(i.e., the left eye in blue for OTR and the right eye in red for OTL),
followed here by a conjunction of the left eye and the mouth.

Fig. 4. (A, Top). Stochastic automata: EXNEX. For electrode OTR and OTL, a table specifies the conditional probabilities of transitions between states
\( p(S_{i+1} \mid S_i) \). Underneath the tables, two strings of states illustrate the two most likely chains of transitions for each electrode. To derive one transition table per
electrode, we collapsed the EXNEX FTF maps across observers, in a Time × Frequency window lasting between 60 and 350 ms following stimulus onset and
spanning 4 to 14 Hz (where most information states are located). We computed, without thresholding, only using the linear combinations of the three basic
features, all possible transition probabilities between state \( S_i \) and \( S_j \) excluding self-similar transitions (i.e., \( S_i \rightarrow S_i \)) and all transitions between a high state of
information (e.g., all features) and a low state of information (e.g., no information, or the two eyes, or one eye). This resulted in one diagonal transition table per
electrode. To read these tables properly, start in the left column with state \( S_0 \) at time 0 (\( S_{0,0} \), indicated with an arrow). In the adjacent row, a colour codes the
conditional probability of transition to \( S_j \) (colour-coded in the row) at time 1 (\( S_{1,0} \)), with \( \sum_{j=1}^8 p(S_{i+1} \mid S_i) = 1 \). The most likely transition is \( S_{0,0} \rightarrow S_{1,1} \), the
contra-lateral left eye, coded in blue. Reporting \( S_{i+1} \) in the left column of states, the process can be repeated to find that the most likely transition is \( S_i \) at time 2
(\( S_{2,1} \)), the mouth. Halting states are those for which there is no transition to another state. These show that the diagnostic information for behaviour for the
EXNEX categorisation (i.e., the mouth) is reached within a few information processing state transitions, independently on OTR and OTL. (B, Bottom). Stochastic automata: GENDER. The automata show that the diagnostic information for behaviour for the GENDER categorisation (i.e., the two eyes) is reached
within a few information processing state transitions, independently on OTR and OTL.
(turquoise) then the two eyes and the mouth (white) on OTR and by the right eye and the mouth (yellow) on OTL.

A similar pattern of state transitions characterises RZ for GENDER and EXNEX (see Figs. 2B and 3B). For ML and MB, state transitions reflect the higher level of information represented in each state, evolving from the conjunction of two features (mostly the two eyes encoded in purple in Supplementary Figs. 2, 3) to the conjunction of three features (encoded in white). Though not the most parsimonious strategy, they encoded all the information required to resolve the two categorisations.

Despite an obvious difference in information quantity between BB, RZ and ML, MB, all four observers displayed similar state transition dynamics, starting with contra-lateral eye information on OTR and OTL, followed by conjunctive information (but more of it, sooner in ML and MB). State transitions therefore evolved towards an increase in information complexity, on both occipito-temporal electrodes, between stimulus onset and response.

**Time Course and Stochastic Automata**

The characterised information states are a mixture of information directly relevant for behaviour (i.e., diagnostic, such as the mouth in EXNEX) and other features (e.g., the eyes in EXNEX).

As discussed, diagnostic features are particularly important because the observer must process them in order to properly categorise the faces. Thus, evidence of diagnostic features in the information state transitions is an important marker of a neural correlate of behaviour. To characterise the time course of diagnostic information processing, we collapsed observers per categorisation task (GENDER and EXNEX) and electrode (OTR and OTL) and isolated the Time × Frequency window within which the intersection of the diagnostic features (two eyes in GENDER, the mouth in EXNEX) with Time × Frequency classification image reached threshold. The white boxes in Supplementary Figs. 4A and B highlight a 6- to 8-Hz sensitivity to diagnostic features on OTR and OTL in GENDER and EXNEX, always preceded by sensitivity to the contra-lateral eye information. There is a marginal time advantage for the onset of two eyes in GENDER on OTR (44-ms gain) and OTL (24-ms gain), compare Supplementary Figs. 4A and B) over the mouth in EXNEX (see Supplementary Fig. 5B) that is not reflected in reaction time differences across tasks (GENDER: \( \mu = 802 \text{ ms; } \sigma = 210 \text{ s; EXNEX: } \mu = 781 \text{ ms; } \sigma = 226 \text{ ms, ns} \)).

Finally, coming back to our aim of modeling each categorisation from brain signals in terms of a finite state automaton, we provide probabilistic transition tables (computed across all observers and temporal frequencies) for each categorisation task and electrode. First, we set up the finite set of states by building an exhaustive list of all the states present across the four observers. Then, we compute, across the four observers, the conditional probabilities of transitions from state \( i \) at time \( t \), \( S_{ij} \), to state \( j \) at time \( t+1 \), \( S_{ij+1} \). To illustrate for EXNEX and electrode OTR, consider the left transition table in Fig. 4A. Starting at time 0 with a state of no information processing \( S_{0,0} \) (see arrow in Fig. 4A), the first row describes the conditional probabilities of a transition to any other possible state \( p(S_{1} = S_{1}, \ldots, S_{n})|S_{0,0} \). The most likely transition at time \( t = 1 \) is \( S_{1} \), the contra-lateral left eye (encoded in blue), with a 0.48 conditional probability of transition. From \( S_{1,1} \) in the left column, the most likely conditional transition at time 2 is \( S_{2} \), the mouth (encoded in green), with a 0.37 probability (the surrounding black box indicates that the state comprised diagnostic information). From \( S_{2,2} \) at time 2, the most likely transition at time 3 is \( S_{8} \) (encoding all three features), with a probability of 1. The two most likely chains of transitions are reported at the bottom of the transition tables in Fig. 4A. For a given categorisation, the comparison between OTR and OTL highlights the higher likelihood of an initial encoding of the contra-lateral eye (compared with the ipsi-lateral eye). Across categorisations (compare Figs. 4A and B), diagnostic information is reached within two transitions on OTR; it is reached between 1 and 3 transitions on OTL. Note also the progression of information states: the transition to a feature combination (e.g., one eye and the mouth) can only be reached from one of the component features, never from \( S_{0,0} \). Similarly, the probability of a transition from \( S_{0,0} \) to \( S_{8} \) (all three features) is 0. Together, Figs. 4A and B detail the categorisation-specific sequences of information processing states from the occipito-temporal regions linking the input face to a specific categorisation response.

Finally, Fig. 5 presents the most likely state transitions in each categorisation task. The left panel reveals significant transitions (\( p < 0.05 \) confidence interval estimated for a Bernoulli 0.5 distribution of transitions to tasks) from the contra-lateral eyes to the two eyes in GENDER (see corresponding letter G in the figure) whereas state transitions were more to the mouth in EXNEX (see corresponding letter E in the figure). This frequency of significant transitions is reported in the right histogram, where the two eyes and the mouth stand for transitions to this information.

**Discussion**

Individual observer’s FTF maps and the finite state automata have suggested (1) distinct visual information processing states and (2) transitions between states over the time course of two face categorisation tasks. States and their transitions were identified mostly in the low-frequency EEG, in the theta [4–8 Hz] and alpha [8–12 Hz] bands. The results suggest a time line of distinct feature
processing states in the brain on OTR and OTL electrodes, revealing for the first time the dynamics and specificity of cognitive face processing mechanisms in different face categorisation tasks. Following stimulus onset, a state of processing to the contra-lateral eye initiates the mechanism on OTR and OTL, irrespective of categorisation task. Following this apparently automatic encoding of contra-lateral eye information, processing states change to become specific to task-dependent, bilateral information. Specifically, later processing epochs on OTR and OTL were more sensitive to both eyes than the early epochs in GENDER and to the mouth and the eyes in EXNEX.

It is surprising that eye information is processed by all observers (and on both electrodes) before the mouth when arguments of efficiency and the blocked nature of the design should bias observers to extract the mouth first in EXNEX. A number of reasons could explain this sequence: the eyes are important for many natural face computations (including judgments of emotions; Adams et al., 2003; Adolphs et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2005; identity, Bentin et al., 1996; Gosselin and Schyns, 2001; Schyns et al., 2002; and gaze, Schuller and Rossion, 2001) and so their initial encoding could lead to more efficient categorisations of faces in general, given the prevalence of this information across tasks (Gosselin and Schyns, 2001; Smith et al., 2005; Schyns et al., 2002). Another reason is that the eyes provide a useful computational landmark to locate other features and so are used as reference from which diagnostic features can be extracted and added to a dynamic representation of the input for categorisation.

Functional interpretation of information state transitions

Our approach should enable a finer functional interpretation of the role of the brain structures underlying OTR and OTL activity. However, we must be cautious and point out that this functional interpretation is problematic with EEG measurement, given its low spatial resolution. Still, we know that the transition of information states from contra-lateral to diagnostic information on occipito-temporal cortex ensures that the underlying brain processes are involved with the information of the face categorisation tasks. An interpretation of this involvement is that we are observing the brain in action, progressively forging featural face representations for different categorisations, starting with the eyes, contra-laterally, then adding bilateral diagnostic information. The initial contra-lateral nature of the process suggests a relative independence of the hemispheres early on, until evidence of bilateral information suggests that an inter-hemispheric communication makes the information of each hemi-field available across hemispheres. When diagnostic information is processed (between 150 and 250 ms), visual categorisation systems have computed the information required for categorisation decision and motor behaviour. This would extend findings that inferior temporal cortex neurons in nonhuman primates are sensitive to diagnostic object properties (Freedman et al., 2003; Logothetis et al., 1995; Nielsen et al., 2006; Sigala and Logothetis, 2002) by providing, with a more integrated neural signal, the state transitions leading to the diagnostic representation.

One could object that we are not observing the constructions of these representations per se, but rather the correlates of one (or several) of the processes logically afferent to the construction of representations, such as attention to, or feature encoding, or even eye movements. Eye movements are easily ruled out because EEG signals with eye movement artifacts were excluded from the analyses. Furthermore, eye movement research (e.g., Rayner, 1998) has shown that in picture viewing, a fixation lasts for about 300 to 400 ms. If a saccade lasts for about 50 ms (though this depends on length of saccade), the rate of saccadic eye movements is about 3 Hz (1000/350 ms) to 2.5 Hz (1000/400 ms). The rate of saccadic eye movements is therefore well below the rate of the reported state transitions. Finally, and crucially, the evidence of a sequential accumulation of features over a short time window (e.g., the left eye, the left eye and the right eye, the left eye and the right eye and the mouth, over 100 ms on OTR) implies a process that integrates features and represents this integration over time (i.e., has a memory), not a process that sequentially visits independent features, as saccadic eye movements would.

In the context of this feature integration, theta and alpha EEG oscillatory bands act as “carrier” for the information processing states and their transitions. Alpha-band EEG oscillations have been related to task demands, task load and increasing demands of attention (Foe et al., 1998; Fu et al., 2001; Klimesch, 1999) both in the auditory (Large and Jones, 1999) and the visual (Varela et al., 1981; see also Gambini et al., 2002; Gho and Varela, 1988) domains. Our reported feature processing in the theta to alpha oscillatory band is suggestive of increased attention to features in both categorisation tasks, particularly early on in face processing, when faces must be detected in the stimulus.

On the other hand, theta oscillations have also been associated with the encoding of information in memory tasks (Axmacher et al., 2006; Basar et al., 2000; Buzsaki, 2002; Klimesch, 1999; Pare et al., 2002). In the model of Lisman and Idiart (1995), it is proposed that slow brain rhythms (e.g., theta and alpha) mediate the construction of discrete representations, the content of which would be fleshed out by faster rhythms (see also Ritz and Sejnowski, 1997). Such interactions between slow and fast brain rhythms have been proposed to reflect brain mechanisms that are global (to link distinct brain regions), quasi-periodic (i.e., with an active and an inactive phase) and flexibly adjustable (to adapt their period and amplitudes to constraints, both internal and external, Van Rullen and Koch, 2003). The evidence of an integration of facial features over time in the theta carrier reported here is compatible with the idea that facial information is progressively built over transitions to forge flexible, task-dependent representations for face categorisations.

At this stage, however, we cannot fully tease apart a “representation” from an “attention” account of the information states and it is not clear that such distinction is useful (Schyns, 1998). The existing literature proposes that theta and alpha rhythms can be related to each account and it is still a matter of considerable debate how the two accounts can be separated (e.g., Van Rullen and Koch, 2003; Ward, 2003). To illustrate the ensuing challenge for visual cognition, one could assume that the first information processing state to the contra-lateral eye reflects attention to features early on in the recognition process. But then, this attended information would need to be encoded and rehearsed in visual memory while other features (e.g., the other eye and/or the mouth) are also attended and added to the constructed representation until diagnostic features information is integrated. In this putative process, attention, memory and representation are intertwined functions. We know that the reported information states evolve from contra-lateral to bilateral and from apparently automatic (to the eyes) to more strategic (to diagnostic information) between stimulus onset and response. However, only further theoretical developments and extensive research would tease apart the information states that
are related to this (e.g., attentional) or that stage (e.g., representational, memory) of the recognition process.

Relationship to EEG and face processing

There is a vast literature on the EEG and face processing, which has revealed that faces (Bentin et al., 1996; Eimer, 2000; Rossion et al., 2000; Rossion et al., 2003), the encoding of facial structure (de Haan et al., 1998; Rossion et al., 1999, Sagiv and Bentin, 2001), the eyes (Bentin et al., 1996; Schyns et al., 2003; Smith et al., 2004), facial emotion (Batty and Taylor, 2003; de Haan et al., 1998; Eimer and Holmes, 2002) subordinate objects and expert categories (Rossion et al., 2002) modulated the average EEG (the event related potential, or ERP) at a latency of 170 ms following stimulus onset, though a recent controversy has attributed these effects to low-level stimulus properties (Thierry et al., 2007). Our methods allow a clear falsification of the latter position: the low temporal frequencies of the EEG (which would represent the ERP) represented the contra-lateral eye, a specific facial feature, not uncontrolled variance of low-level properties. Sensitivity to the contra-lateral eye is reflected in some proposals (Bentin et al., 1996; Smith et al., 2004) regarding one possible function of the N170. It is interesting to note, however, that processing on OTR and OTL electrodes does not end with the N170 ERP. In fact, sensitivity to information continues and accrues new feature over time until about 260 ms following stimulus onset. Schweinberger et al. (2002) reported that the repetition of familiar items elicited an N250 component, but at slightly more temporal locations, possibly reflecting different sources. Such tracking of information flow across sources should be the object of future studies.

Conclusions

We have related brain activity to the states of a cognitive information processing mechanism. In doing so, we have addressed three questions: to address the question of the brain activity supporting face processing, we examined a time-resolved brain measurement (Time × Frequency oscillatory energy) on face-sensitive electrodes. To address the question of the information content processed in the oscillatory energy, we applied a novel classification image to produce the FTF maps and identified distinct information processing states. We have used the FTF maps to address the question of how information flows between stimulus onset and behavioural response and proposed a different mechanism for two face categorisation tasks that integrates information over different states. We believe that the approach outlined here applied to brain measurements (EEG, MEG, fMRI and single cell recording) could offer considerable insight into the response properties of interactive brain signals (Eckhorn et al., 1988; Engel et al., 1991; Fries et al., 2001; Gray et al., 1989; Singer, 1999).
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