



BIROn - Birkbeck Institutional Research Online

Hart, Sarah (2006) Commuting involution graphs for $(A) \tilde{n}$. Archiv der Mathematik 86 (1), pp. 16-25. ISSN 0003-889X.

Downloaded from: <https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/id/eprint/423/>

Usage Guidelines:

Please refer to usage guidelines at <https://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/policies.html>
contact lib-eprints@bbk.ac.uk.

or alternatively

Birkbeck ePrints: an open access repository of the research output of Birkbeck College

<http://eprints.bbk.ac.uk>

Hart [née Perkins], Sarah (2006). Commuting involution graphs for $[(A)\tilde{t}ilde]_n$. *Archiv der Mathematik* **86** (1) 16-25.

This is an author-produced version of a paper published in *Archiv der Mathematik* (ISSN 0003-889X). This version does not include the publisher proof corrections, published layout and pagination. The published version is available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00013-005-1485-9>

All articles available through Birkbeck ePrints are protected by intellectual property law, including copyright law. Any use made of the contents should comply with the relevant law.

Citation for this version:

Hart [née Perkins], Sarah (2006). Commuting involution graphs for $[(A)\tilde{t}ilde]_n$. London: Birkbeck ePrints.

Available at: <http://eprints.bbk.ac.uk/archive/00000423>

Citation for the publisher's version:

Perkins, Sarah (2006). Commuting involution graphs for $[(A)\tilde{t}ilde]_n$. *Archiv der Mathematik* **86** (1) 16-25.

Commuting Involution Graphs for \tilde{A}_n

Sarah Perkins *

Abstract

In this article we consider the commuting graphs of involution conjugacy classes in the affine Weyl group \tilde{A}_n . We show that where the graph is connected the diameter is at most 6.

MSC(2000): 20F55, 05C25, 20D60.

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and X a subset of G . The commuting graph on X , denoted $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$, has vertex set X and an edge joining $x, y \in X$ whenever $xy = yx$. If in addition X is a set of involutions, then $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is called a commuting involution graph. Commuting graphs have been investigated by many authors. Sometimes they are tools used in the proof of a theorem, or they may be studied as a way of shedding light on the structures of certain groups (as in [1]). Commuting involution graphs for the case where X is a conjugacy class of involutions were studied by Fischer [4] – in that case X was the class of 3-transpositions of a 3-transposition group. These groups include all finite simply laced Weyl groups, in particular the symmetric group.

Commuting involution graphs for arbitrary involution conjugacy classes of symmetric groups were considered in [2]. The remaining finite Coxeter groups were dealt with in [3]. In this article we consider commuting involution graphs in the affine Coxeter group of type \tilde{A}_n . As in [2] and [3], we will focus on the diameter of these graphs. We show that if X is a conjugacy class of involutions, then either the graph is disconnected or it has diameter at most 6.

For the rest of this paper, let G_n denote \tilde{A}_{n-1} , for some $n \geq 2$, writing G when n is not specified, and let X be a conjugacy class of involutions of G . We write $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X)$ for the diameter of $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ (when it is connected). Let \hat{G} be the underlying Weyl group A_{n-1} of G . It will be shown that every conjugacy class X of G corresponds to a certain conjugacy class \hat{X} of \hat{G} . We may now state our main results (notation will be explained in Section 3).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $G = G_n \cong \tilde{A}_{n-1}$ and $a = (12)(34) \cdots (2m-1 \ 2m) \in \hat{X}$. Then $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is disconnected if and only if either $n = 2m + 1$, or $m = 1$ and $n \in \{2, 4\}$.*

Theorem 1.2 *Suppose $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected. If $n > 2m$ or m is even, then*

$$\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) \leq \text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X}) + 2.$$

If $n = 2m$ and m is odd, then $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) \leq \text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X}) + 3$.

Using results about commuting involution graphs in A_{n-1} (see Section 2) we can then deduce the following result.

Corollary 1.3 *Let $G = G_n \cong \tilde{A}_{n-1}$ and $a = (12)(34) \cdots (2m-1 \ 2m)$. Suppose $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected.*

(i) If $n \neq 2m + 2$ or $n > 10$, then $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) \leq 5$.

(ii) If $n = 2m + 2$ and $n = 6, 8$ or 10 then $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) \leq 6$.

In Section 2 we will establish notation, describe the conjugacy classes of involutions in G and state results which we will require. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we give examples of commuting involution graphs which show that the bounds of Theorem 1.2 are strict.

*School of Economics, Mathematics and Statistics, Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London, WC1E 7HX.
s.perkins@bbk.ac.uk

Remark In the case of finite Weyl groups, given any conjugacy class X of a finite Weyl group W , it was shown in [3] that if $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected, then $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) \leq 5$. It is natural to ask whether there is a similar bound in the case of affine Weyl groups. The answer is no. Let $W \cong \tilde{B}_n$, and let W_I be a standard parabolic subgroup of G such that W_I has type B_{n-1} . Let w_I be the central involution of W_I , and set $X = w_I^W$. It can be shown that $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(G, X) = n$. Thus the set of diameters of commuting involution graphs is unbounded.

2 The group $G_n \cong \tilde{A}_{n-1}$

Let W be a finite Weyl group with root system Φ and let $\check{\Phi}$ denote the set of coroots. (For full details, see for example [5].) The affine Weyl group \tilde{W} is the semidirect product of W with the translation group Z of the coroot lattice $\mathbb{Z}\check{\Phi}$ of W .

Elements of \tilde{W} are written as pairs (w, z) , for $w \in W, z \in Z$. Multiplication is given by

$$(\sigma, \mathbf{v})(\tau, \mathbf{u}) = (\sigma\tau, \mathbf{v}^\tau + \mathbf{u}).$$

We now fix $W = A_{n-1}$. Then $W \cong \text{Sym}(n)$, the symmetric group of degree n . W acts on $\mathbb{R}_n = \langle \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n \rangle$ by permuting the subscripts of the basis vectors. The root system Φ of W is the set $\{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, and in this case $\check{\Phi} = \Phi$. Writing a translation by $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varepsilon_i$ as $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \langle (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, -1, 0, \dots, 0) \rangle \\ &= \langle (u_1, \dots, u_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Involutions in G_n

By the definition of group multiplication in G_n , we see that the element (σ, \mathbf{v}) of G is an involution precisely when $(\sigma^2, \mathbf{v}^\sigma + \mathbf{v}) = (1, \mathbf{0})$. So σ is an involution of $\text{Sym}(n)$ and for appropriate a_i, b_i, c_i and m ,

$$\sigma = (a_1 b_1) \cdots (a_m b_m) (c_{2m+1}) (c_{2m+2}) \cdots (c_n).$$

Setting $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ we must have

$$v_{a_1} + v_{b_1} = \cdots = v_{a_m} + v_{b_m} = 2v_{c_{2m+1}} = \cdots = 2v_{c_n} = 0.$$

Hence we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *Any involution in G_n is of the form (σ, \mathbf{v}) , where*

$$\sigma = (a_1 b_1) \cdots (a_m b_m) (c_{2m+1}) (c_{2m+2}) \cdots (c_n),$$


with $v_{b_i} = -v_{a_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $v_{c_i} = 0$ for $2m+1 \leq i \leq n$.

It will be convenient to use a more compact notation for involutions of G . Let $g = (\prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i), \mathbf{v})$ with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $v_{\beta_i} = -v_{\alpha_i}$, and if $j \notin \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$, then $v_j = 0$. Thus \mathbf{v} is determined from the set $\lambda_i := v_{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. We may therefore write

$$g = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\lambda_i}.$$


2.2 Conjugacy classes of Involutions

We now describe the conjugacy classes of involutions in G_n . Conjugacy classes of involutions in Coxeter groups are well understood and in order to use the known results we must give another description of G_n , this time in terms of its Coxeter graph. A Coxeter group W has a generating set R of involutions (known as the fundamental reflections), where the only relations are $(rs)^{m_{rs}} = 1$ ($r, s \in R$), with $m_{rr} = 1$ and, for $r \neq s$, $m_{rs} = m_{sr} \geq 2$. This information is encoded in the Coxeter graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(W)$. The vertex set of Γ is R , where vertices r, s are joined by an edge labelled m_{rs} whenever $m_{rs} > 2$. By convention the label is omitted when $m_{rs} = 3$. The Coxeter graphs of $G_2 \cong \check{A}_1$ and $G_n \cong \check{A}_{n-1}$, $n \geq 3$ are as follows:



We may define $r_n = (1n)$, and for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $r_i = (i \ i+1)$ (using the notation defined in Section 2.2). It is not difficult to see that the appropriate relations hold.

The symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$ is a Coxeter group of type A_{n-1} , with Coxeter graph



We may set $r_i = (i \ i+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Definition 2.2 Let W be an arbitrary Coxeter group, with I, J two subsets of R . We say that I, J are W -equivalent if there exists $w \in W$ such that $I^w = J$.

Any subset I of R generates a Coxeter group in its own right, denoted W_I . Such subgroups are called standard parabolic subgroups of W . If W_I is finite then it has a unique longest element, denoted w_I . Richardson [6] proved

Theorem 2.3 Let W be an arbitrary Coxeter group, with R the set of fundamental reflections. Let $g \in W$ be an involution. Then there exists $I \subseteq R$ such that w_I is central in W_I , and g is conjugate to w_I . In addition, for $I, J \subseteq R$, w_I is conjugate to w_J if and only if I and J are W -equivalent.

It will be useful to narrow down the possible elements in the conjugacy class of involutions (a, \mathbf{u}) in the case where a is an involution of $\text{Sym}(n)$ with no fixed points.

Lemma 2.4 Suppose $n = 2m$. Let $a = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i)$ and $b = \prod_{i=1}^m (\gamma_i \delta_i)$. Suppose $g = (a, \mathbf{u})$ and $h = (b, \mathbf{v})$ are conjugate involutions of G_n . Then $\sum_{i=1}^m u_{\alpha_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m v_{\gamma_i} \pmod{2}$.

Proof Let $g = (a, \mathbf{u})$, and suppose $h = (b, \mathbf{v})$ is conjugate to g in G_n via (c, \mathbf{w}) . Reordering if necessary, assume that $c(\alpha_i) = \gamma_i$ and $c(\beta_i) = \delta_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (b, \mathbf{v}) &= (a, \mathbf{u})^{(c, \mathbf{w})} \\ &= (c^{-1}ac, (\mathbf{w}^{-1})^{c^{-1}ac} + \mathbf{u}^c + \mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $b = c^{-1}ac$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w}^b + \mathbf{u}^c$. Hence, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $v_{\gamma_i} = w_{\gamma_i} - w_{b(\gamma_i)} + [\mathbf{u}^c]_{\gamma_i}$. Since $c(\alpha_i) = \gamma_i$, it follows that $[\mathbf{u}^c]_{\gamma_i} = u_{\alpha_i}$. Hence, recalling that $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^m v_{\gamma_i} &= \sum_{i=1}^m (w_{\gamma_i} - w_{\delta_i} + u_{\alpha_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^m (w_{\gamma_i} + w_{\delta_i} - 2w_{\delta_i} + u_{\alpha_i}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n w_j - 2 \sum_{i=1}^m w_{\delta_i} + \sum_{i=1}^m u_{\alpha_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m u_{\alpha_i} \pmod{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sum_{i=1}^m u_{\alpha_i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^m v_{\gamma_i} \pmod{2}$, and the result holds. \square

We use Theorem 2.3 to establish the next result.

Proposition 2.5 *Let $g \in G$ be an involution. Then there is $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that g is conjugate to exactly one of the following:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}; \text{ or} \\
& \overset{1}{(12)} \overset{0}{(34)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)} \text{ (and } n = 2m).
\end{aligned}$$

If $n = 2m$ and $g = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\lambda_i}$, then g is conjugate to $\overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Proof By Theorem 2.3, g is conjugate to w_I for some finite standard parabolic subgroup W_I of W in which w_I is central. Note that if g is also conjugate to w_J for some $J \subseteq R$ then $|I| = |J|$, so that $|I|$ only depends on g and not the particular choice of I . For any proper subset $I \subsetneq R$, we see that W_I is isomorphic to a direct product of symmetric groups. The only symmetric group with non-trivial centre is $\text{Sym}(2) \cong A_1$. So for w_I to be central, W_I must be a direct product of symmetric groups of degree 2, and $w_I = r_{i_1} r_{i_2} \cdots r_{i_l}$ for some l , where $r_{i_j} r_{i_k} = r_{i_k} r_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq j < k \leq l$. This immediately implies that $|I| \leq n/2$. Suppose that w_I and w_J are central in W_I, W_J respectively, and, in addition, that there exists $r \in R \setminus (I \cup J)$. Set $K = R \setminus \{r\}$. Then K is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(n)$. It is well known that conjugacy classes in the symmetric group are parameterised by cycle type, so that w_I is conjugate to w_J precisely when $|I| = |J|$. Therefore, in the case $|I| < n/2$, we may assume that $I = \{r_1, r_3, \dots, r_{2m-1}\}$ for some $m < n/2$, so that $w_I = \overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$, with $2m < n$.

It only remains to consider the case $|I| = n/2$ (and then of course n must be even). We quickly see that there are only two possibilities for I such that w_I is central in W_I . Either $I = \{r_1, r_3, \dots, r_{n-1}\}$ and $w_I = g_1 := \overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$, or $I = \{r_2, r_4, \dots, r_n\}$ and $w_I = g_2 := \overset{1}{(1n)} \overset{0}{(23)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-2 \ 2m-1)}$. By Lemma 2.4, g_1 is not conjugate to g_2 . Hence g is conjugate to exactly one of g_1 and g_2 . By Lemma 2.4, $g_3 := \overset{1}{(12)} \overset{0}{(34)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$ must be conjugate to g_2 . Hence $g = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\lambda_i}$ is conjugate to exactly one of g_1 and g_3 . Furthermore g is conjugate to g_1 if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We have now proved Proposition 2.5. \square

If $n = 2m$, there are two conjugacy classes. However, there is an automorphism of the Coxeter graph of G mapping $\{r_1, r_3, \dots, r_{n-1}\}$ to $\{r_2, r_4, \dots, r_n\}$. This induces an automorphism of G which maps one conjugacy class to the other. Hence the two conjugacy classes have isomorphic commuting involution graphs. Thus we may assume that g is conjugate to $\overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$.

We end this section by stating some results from [2] concerning the diameters of commuting involution graphs in $\text{Sym}(n)$.

Let $a = \overset{1}{(12)} \overset{0}{(34)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)} \in \text{Sym}(n)$ and write $Y = a^{\text{Sym}(n)}$.

Theorem 2.6 *(Theorem 1.1 of [2]) $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y)$ is disconnected if and only if $n = 2m + 1$ or $n = 4$ and $m = 1$.*

Proposition 2.7 (Corollary 3.2 of [2]) *If $n = 2m$, then $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y)$ is connected and $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y) \leq 2$, with equality when $n > 4$.*

Theorem 2.8 (Theorem 1.2 of [2]) *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y)$ is connected. Then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y) \leq 3$; or
- (ii) $2m + 2 = n \in \{6, 8, 10\}$ and $\text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(n), Y) = 4$.

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

From now on, fix $a = (12) \cdots (2m-1 \ 2m)$, where $2m \leq n$, and set $t = (a, \mathbf{0}) = \overset{0}{(12)} \cdots \overset{0}{(2m-1 \ 2m)}$ and $X = t^G$. As we have observed, every commuting involution graph of G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ for an appropriate choice of m . Write $\hat{G} = \text{Sym}(n)$ and $\hat{X} = a^{\hat{G}}$. Finally, if $g = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\lambda_i} \in X$, then set $\hat{g} = \prod_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i \beta_i) \in \hat{G}$. Clearly if $g, h \in X$, then $\hat{g}, \hat{h} \in \hat{X}$. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $g, h \in X$. If $d(\hat{g}, \hat{h}) = k$, then $d(g, h) \geq k$. If $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$ is disconnected, then $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is disconnected.*

Proof Observe that if σ commutes with τ in G_n then $\hat{\sigma}$ commutes with $\hat{\tau}$ in $\text{Sym}(n)$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let $g_1 = (\alpha\beta)^{\lambda_1}(\gamma\delta)^{\lambda_2}$, $g_2 = (\alpha\gamma)^{\mu_1}(\beta\delta)^{\mu_2}$, $g_3 = (\alpha\beta)^{\lambda_1}$, $g_4 = (\alpha\beta)^{\lambda_2}$ for distinct $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and integers λ_i, μ_i . Then*

- (a) $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$ if and only if $\mu_1 - \lambda_1 = \mu_2 - \lambda_2$;
- (b) $g_3 g_4 = g_4 g_3$ if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$;
- (c) If $h \in G$ is an involution such that $\hat{h}(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $\hat{h}(\beta) = \beta$, then $g_3 h = h g_3$ for all $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof For part (a), we lose no generality by assuming, for ease of notation, that $n = 4$, $g_1 = \overset{\lambda_1}{(12)} \overset{\lambda_2}{(34)}$ and $g_2 = \overset{\mu_1}{(13)} \overset{\mu_2}{(24)}$. That is, $g_1 = ((12)(34), (\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_2))$ and $g_2 = ((13)(24), (\mu_1, \mu_2, -\mu_1, -\mu_2))$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 g_2 &= ((14)(23), (\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -\lambda_2)^{(13)(24)} + (\mu_1, \mu_2, -\mu_1, -\mu_2)) \\ &= ((14)(23), (\mu_1 + \lambda_2, \mu_2 - \lambda_2, -\mu_1 + \lambda_1, -\mu_2 - \lambda_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now g_1 and g_2 commute if and only if $g_1 g_2$ is an involution. This occurs if and only if $\mu_1 + \lambda_2 = -(\mu_2 - \lambda_1)$ and $\mu_2 - \lambda_2 = -(-\mu_1 + \lambda_1)$. Rearranging gives $\mu_1 - \lambda_1 = \mu_2 - \lambda_2$, as required.

For part (b), we may assume that $n = 2$, $g_3 = ((12), (\lambda_1, -\lambda_1))$ and $g_4 = ((12), (\lambda_2, -\lambda_2))$. Then $g_3 g_4 = (1, (-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \lambda_1 - \lambda_2))$. Hence $g_3 g_4 = g_4 g_3$ if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

For part (c), we again assume that $g_3 = ((12), (\lambda_1, -\lambda_1))$, and write $h = (b, (v_1, \dots, v_2))$. Since b fixes 1 and 2 and h is an involution, we must have $v_1 = v_2 = 0$. Hence $h g_3 = ((12)b, (\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, v_3, \dots, v_n)) = g_3 h$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

We may now dispose of the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $G = G_2 \cong \tilde{A}_1$. Then there are two conjugacy classes of involutions, representatives of which are $\overset{0}{(12)}$ and $\overset{1}{(12)}$. In either case $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is completely disconnected (the graph has no edges).*

A double transposition $(\alpha_1 \beta_1)^{\lambda_1} (\alpha_2 \beta_2)^{\lambda_2}$ for which $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ is even is called an *even pair*. Otherwise it is an *odd pair*.

Proposition 3.4 *Suppose $n > 2$ and that $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$ is connected. Let $g \in X$. If $n > 2m$ or m is even, then there exists $h = (c, \mathbf{0}) \in X$ such that $d(g, h) \leq 2$.*

Proof Let $g \in X$. We will find it useful to split g into various components. Write $g = \prod_{j=1}^m (x_j y_j)^{\rho_j}$, for some $x_j, y_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\rho_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose that $n = 2m$. Then m is even, and by Lemma 2.4, $\sum_{j=1}^m \rho_j$ is even. We may therefore split g into a product of even pairs.

Suppose that $n > 2m$. Since $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$ is connected, by Theorem 2.6 there are at least two fixed points. Splitting g into as many even pairs as possible, we will be left with either no transpositions (if m is even and $\sum_{i=1}^j \rho_j$ is even), an odd pair (if m is even and $\sum_{i=1}^j \rho_j$ is odd), or a single transposition (if m is odd).

Hence, in all cases, we may write g in the following form:

$$g = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_k Q$$

where P_1, \dots, P_k are even pairs and Q is either the identity, a single transposition along with at least two fixed points, or an odd pair along with at least two fixed points.

Let $P_i = (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\mu_i} (\gamma_i \delta_i)^{\nu_i}$ with $\mu_i + \nu_i = 2\lambda_i$ even. Now set $P'_i = (\alpha_i \delta_i)^{\lambda_i} (\gamma_i \beta_i)^{\lambda_i}$ and $P''_i = (\alpha_i \gamma_i)^0 (\delta_i \beta_i)^0$. Note that each of P_i, P'_i and P''_i is an even pair. It is clear by Lemma 3.2(a) that $P'_i P''_i = P''_i P'_i$. But Lemma 3.2(a) also implies that $P_i P'_i = P'_i P_i$, because we may rewrite $P_i = (\alpha_i \beta_i)^{\mu_i} (\delta_i \gamma_i)^{-\nu_i}$ and $P'_i = (\alpha_i \delta_i)^{\lambda_i} (\beta_i \gamma_i)^{-\lambda_i}$.

If Q is the identity, then let $Q' = Q'' = Q$. If Q is a single transposition along with at least two fixed points, then we may write $Q = (\alpha \beta)^{\lambda} (\varepsilon_1)^0 \cdots (\varepsilon_l)^0$ for some $l \geq 2$. Let $Q' = Q'' = (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)^0 (\alpha)^0 (\beta)^0 (\varepsilon_3)^0 \cdots (\varepsilon_l)^0$.

If Q is an odd pair, along with at least two fixed points, then we may write $Q = (\alpha \beta)^{\mu} (\gamma \delta)^{\nu} (\varepsilon_1)^0 \cdots (\varepsilon_l)^0$ for some $l \geq 2$. Let $Q' = (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)^0 (\gamma \delta)^{\nu} (\alpha)^0 (\beta)^0 (\varepsilon_3)^0 \cdots (\varepsilon_l)^0$ and let $Q'' = (\alpha \beta)^0 (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)^0 (\gamma)^0 (\delta)^0 (\varepsilon_3)^0 \cdots (\varepsilon_l)^0$.

Then set $g' = P'_1 \cdots P'_k Q'$ and $h = P''_1 \cdots P''_k Q''$. Let $c = \hat{h}$. Then by choice of h , $h = (c, \mathbf{0})$. If $n = 2m$, note that g' and h consist entirely of even pairs, so $g', h \in X$. If $n \neq 2m$, then g' and h are obviously in X . By construction, and Lemma 3.2, g commutes with g' and g' commutes with h . Therefore $d(g, h) \leq 2$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.5 *Suppose that $n = 2m$ with $m > 1$ odd. Let $g = (b, \mathbf{v}) \in X$. Then there exists $h = (c, \mathbf{0}) \in X$ such that $d(g, h) \leq 3$.*

Proof We may write $g = PQ$ where P is a product of k even pairs and Q is an ‘even triple’ with $Q = (\alpha_1 \beta_1)^{\mu_1} (\alpha_2 \beta_2)^{\mu_2} (\alpha_3 \beta_3)^{\mu_3}$ and $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 2\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\rho = \mu_1 - \lambda$.

Now define $Q_1 = (\alpha_1 \beta_1)^{\mu_1} (\alpha_2 \alpha_3)^{\rho + \mu_2} (\beta_2 \beta_3)^{\rho + \mu_3}$, $Q_2 = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^0 (\beta_1 \alpha_3)^{\mu_2 - \lambda} (\beta_2 \beta_3)^{\rho + \mu_3}$ and $Q_3 = (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^0 (\beta_1 \beta_3)^0 (\alpha_3 \beta_2)^0$. By repeated use of Lemma 3.2(a), we see that $QQ_1 = Q_1Q$, $Q_1Q_2 = Q_2Q_1$ and $Q_2Q_3 = Q_3Q_2$. Note also that Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 are all even triples.

By Proposition 3.4, there exist P', P'' , both products of k even pairs, such that $P'' = \prod_{i=1}^{2k} (\gamma_i \delta_i)^0$ for some γ_i, δ_i and $PP' = P'P$, $P'P'' = P''P'$. In addition, we may assume that $\text{Fix}(\hat{P}) = \text{Fix}(\hat{P}') = \text{Fix}(\hat{P}'')$. Define $g_1 = PQ_1$, $g_2 = P'Q_2$ and $h = P''Q_3$. Then by construction g_1 commutes with g and g_2 , and g_2 commutes with h . So $d(g, h) \leq 3$. Furthermore, by construction g_1, g_2 and h are all elements of X , and $h = (\hat{h}, \mathbf{0})$. We have now proved Proposition 3.5. \square

Lemma 3.6 *Suppose $g_1 = (b_1, \mathbf{0}), g_2 = (b_2, \mathbf{0}) \in X$. If $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$ is connected, then $d(g_1, g_2) = d(b_1, b_2)$.*

We are now able to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The case $n = 2, m = 1$ is Proposition 3.3. If $n = 2m + 1$ or $n = 4, m = 1$, then $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is disconnected by Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.6. If $n > 2$ and $\mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$ is connected, then the fact that $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected is an easy consequence of Propositions 3.4 and 3.5, and Lemma 3.6. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By Proposition 3.4, if $n > 2m$ or m is even, and $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ is connected, then there exists $h = (c, \mathbf{0}) \in X$ such that $d(g, h) \leq 2$. If $n = 2m$ and m is odd, then by Proposition 3.5 there exists $h = (c, \mathbf{0}) \in X$ such that $d(g, h) \leq 3$. By Lemma 3.6, $d(h, t) \leq \text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X})$. Thus $d(g, t) \leq \text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X}) + 2$ if $n > 2m$ or m is even, and $d(g, t) \leq \text{Diam } \mathcal{C}(\hat{G}, \hat{X}) + 3$ otherwise. Theorem 1.2 follows immediately. \square

Corollary 1.3 now follows from Theorem 1.2 in conjunction with Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 2.8.

4 Two Examples

In this section we give $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ for two examples: $n = 4, m = 2$ and $n = 6, m = 3$. These graphs, of diameters 3 and 5 respectively, illustrate the fact that the bounds in Theorem 1.2 are tight, because the respective diameters of $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(4), (12)(34)^{\text{Sym}(4)})$ and $\mathcal{C}(\text{Sym}(6), (12)(34)(56)^{\text{Sym}(6)})$ are 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$ for $n = 4, m = 2$. The variable(s) above a transposition can be taken to be any integers. So for example $(13)(24)$ commutes with $(12)(34)$ for any integers λ, μ .




Figure 1: $n = 4, m = 2$

Figure 2 shows the collapsed adjacency graph in the case $n = 6, m = 3$. If $g, h \in G$ are in the same orbit of the centralizer $C_G(t)$ of t in G , then clearly $d(g, t) = d(h, t)$. The vertices of the graph in Figure 2 are the $C_G(t)$ -orbits of $\mathcal{C}(G, X)$. We give one representative for each $C_G(t)$ -orbit.




Figure 2: $n = 6, m = 3$

References

- [1] M. Aschbacher. *Sporadic Groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 104, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).
- [2] C. Bates, D. Bundy, S. Perkins and P. Rowley. *Commuting Involution Graphs for Symmetric Groups*, J. Algebra **266** (2003), 133–153.
- [3] C. Bates, D. Bundy, S. Perkins and P. Rowley. *Commuting Involution Graphs for Finite Coxeter Groups*, J. Group Theory **6** (2003), 461–476.
- [4] B. Fischer, *Finite groups generated by 3-transpositions, I*. Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 232–246.
- [5] J.E. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 29 (1990).
- [6] R.W. Richardson. *Conjugacy Classes of Involutions in Coxeter Groups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **26** (1982), 1–15.