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Negative symptoms predict adverse outcomes within psychotic disorders, in individuals at high-risk for psychosis, and in young people in the community. There is considerable interest in the dimensional structure of negative symptoms in clinical samples, and accumulating evidence suggests a 5-factor structure. Little is known about the underlying structure of negative symptoms in young people despite the importance of this developmental stage for mental health. We used confirmatory factor analysis to test the structure of parent-reported negative symptoms at mean ages 16.32 (SD 0.68, N = 4974), 17.06 (SD 0.88, N = 1469) and 22.30 (SD 0.93, N = 5179) in a community sample. Given previously reported associations between total negative symptoms and genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS) for major depressive disorder (MDD) and schizophrenia in adolescence, we assessed associations between individual subdomains and these GPSs. A 5-factor model of flat affect, alogia, avolition, anhedonia, and asociality provided the best fit at each age and was invariant over time. The results of our linear regression analyses showed associations between MDD GPS with avolition, flat affect, anhedonia, and asociality, and between schizophrenia GPS with avolition and flat affect. We showed that a 5-factor structure of negative symptoms is present from ages 16 to 22 in the community. Avolition was most consistently associated with polygenic liability to MDD and schizophrenia, and alogia was least associated. These findings highlight the value of dissecting negative symptoms into psychometrically derived subdomains and may offer insights into early manifestation of genetic risk for MDD and schizophrenia.
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Introduction

It is well documented that negative symptoms in schizophrenia are associated with a range of poor functional and clinical outcomes.1–4 They are also associated with transition to psychotic disorder in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis.5–7 and are often the first signs of emergent schizophrenia.8 Despite collective evidence suggesting the importance of these “early” negative symptoms,9 they remain poorly understood.10 Furthermore, the putative psychosis prodrome is characterized primarily in terms of positive symptoms10,11 (e.g., delusions, hallucinations) and characterization of negative symptoms is currently limited (though see12–14). Negative symptoms, which include deficits in emotional expressivity (flat affect), speech production (alogia), goal-oriented motivation (avolition), derivation of pleasure (anhedonia), and social engagement (asociality), are also seen in attenuated form in the general population.15,16

Adolescence is a key developmental stage for the onset of mental health problems,17 yet there is a paucity of research into negative symptoms reported by young people in the community. Presence of these symptoms also appears to represent a marker for suboptimal course,18 particularly when they co-occur with positive psychotic experiences.19,20 In a study of 14–24 year-olds, negative symptoms (together with an item about disorganization) predicted incidence and persistence of positive symptoms, and co-occurrence of the symptoms more strongly predicted psychotic impairment compared to positive symptoms alone.19 In a quasi-longitudinal study of 25–34 year-olds, negative symptoms in the presence of frequent psychotic experiences predicted transition to schizophrenia.20 Identifying aspects of continuity or discontinuity between these, prodromal, and clinical negative
symptoms may contribute to unraveling the mechanisms involved in their development.

This can be considered important in the context that there is currently no consensus on effective treatment for idiopathic negative symptoms in schizophrenia.21–23 Whilst findings suggest some efficacy of psychosocial and pharmacological treatments,24,25 several methodological issues limit the conclusiveness of the findings. Recent evidence supporting a reconceptualization of the negative symptoms construct in terms of its latent structure could prove an important catalyst for improving understanding and treatment.26

In the current Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM-5),27 negative symptoms in schizophrenia are encapsulated by 2 dimensions reflecting deficits in motivation and pleasure, and in emotional expression.28 It has been discussed that this bifurcated conceptualization was founded on exploratory factor analysis (EFA) research that identified 2 factors.29,30 However, EFA does not assess factor structure relative to other hypothesized models,31,32 and collective evidence that has compared models using confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) as well as network analysis33 has cast doubt over the validity of the 2-factor conceptualization (though see34). Recent findings from across rating scales,29 different cultures,30,35 and different stages of illness36 converge to suggest that the 5 subdomains of blunted affect, alogia, avolition, anhedonia, and asociality, as advanced by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) consensus conference, best describe the negative symptoms construct.26 There is also empirical support for hierarchical models that have specified these 5 factors with motivation-pleasure and emotional expression as second-order factors, although the 5-factor model has outperformed hierarchical models across studies29,30,36 with 1 exception.37

In the community, a single study to date has used CFA to investigate the latent structure of negative symptoms independently of other symptoms.38 This study reported a hierarchical structure of self-reported negative symptoms measured in individuals aged 11–18, including the 5 NIMH dimensions plus a second-order factor reflecting total negative symptoms. The study did not test a 2-factor model directly reflecting the DSM conceptualization or include these 2 factors in a hierarchical model. Other studies of negative symptoms independent of other symptoms are limited to exploratory methods using EFA. These studies identified a 3-factor structure of the Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences (CAPE39) self-reported negative subscale in adolescence,16 and in individuals aged 12–35.40 Three factors of flat affect, avolition, and social withdrawal were identified; however, competing theory-based models with a greater (or fewer) number of factors were not tested. A recent CFA of schizotypal personality traits (a related construct) in adolescence using the Schizotypal Personality Questionnaire for Children (SPQ-C41) found a 3-factor structure of negative (interpersonal), disorganized, and positive (cognitive-perceptual) domains,42 similar to that found in adults,43 though negative/interpersonal schizotypy has not been analyzed as a separate dimension.

Until recently, endeavors to understand negative symptoms on a mechanistic level and to develop treatment targets have largely been predicated on either a unidimensional or 2-dimensional conceptualization.34,44: Whilst the 2 dimensions of motivation-pleasure and emotional expression appear to show some correspondence to current understandings of the neurobiology of negative symptoms,45 more research is needed in order to identify and probe correlates of the 5 individual subdomains.27,46,47 Some specificity has been reported in neural response patterns48 and neuropsychological processes across the subdomains,49 though at present, etiological mechanisms remain unclear.46

It is noted that 2 studies suggest the influence of genetic (familial) risk for schizophrenia on aberrant reward processing, which may be related to the motivational impairments within negative symptoms.49,50 At a broader level, there is preliminary evidence for associations between several specific genetic variants and total negative symptoms in schizophrenia,46,52 and between polygenic liability to schizophrenia and these symptoms53–55 (though see56). In the community, associations have also been reported between total negative symptoms in adolescence and polygenic liability to major depressive disorder (MDD)57 as well as schizophrenia.57,58 Nonetheless, it is not known what symptom-level dimensions drive these associations.

The first aim of the current study was to establish the underlying structure of observer-rated negative symptoms in the community in adolescence and emerging adulthood. CFA of 4 theory-based models (1-factor, 2-factor, 5-factor, 5-factor hierarchical) in-line with those tested previously29 and a model derived through EFA was carried out in a longitudinal cohort at 3 ages. It was hypothesized that 5 factors would provide the best representation of the data at each age and that the structure would be invariant across age. The second aim was to investigate whether the identified subdomains were associated with GPS for schizophrenia and MDD. It was hypothesized that the most consistent subdomain-specific associations would be observed for avolition in light of findings suggesting that avolition may be a particularly central symptom within the negative symptoms construct.59–61

Methods

Participants

Participants were part of the Twins Early Development Study (TEDS). Parents of all twins born between 1994–1996 in England and Wales were invited to take part.62 Sixteen thousand eight hundred and ten (16 810) families responded to this initial study invitation.63 Supplementary tables 1–2 show details of subsequent study participation and exclusions.
Parents completed assessments of their twins’ negative symptoms at mean ages 16.32 (SD 0.68, N = 4974), 17.06 (SD 0.88, N = 1469) and 22.30 (SD 0.93, N = 5179). Twin analyses were not conducted in the current study because twin analyses were not part of our aims (see Statistical Analyses).

**Negative Symptoms**

Negative symptoms were assessed using the 10-item subscale of the Specific Psychotic Experiences Questionnaire (SPEQ). The SPEQ is a multidimensional measure of positive psychotic experiences and negative symptoms in the community, with 6 components (self-reported paranoia, hallucinations, cognitive disorganization, grandiosity, and anhedonia, and parent-reported negative symptoms) identified through principal components analysis. The negative symptoms subscale was based on the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS), adapted for an adolescent community sample and judged in terms of content validity by clinical collaborators (DF and AC, see). Parents were asked to rate how strongly they agreed or disagreed (“not at all”, “somewhat true”, “mainly true”, “definitely true”) with the items (Supplementary information 1). Two items relating to attentional deficits were excluded in-line with current conceptualizations of negative symptoms. The included items showed good internal consistency (α = 0.83–0.88). Descriptive statistics are reported in Supplementary table 2.

**Genome-Wide Polygenic Scores**

Genotyping of participants is described in Supplementary information 2. Approximately 60% of the phenotypic sample at each age were genotyped (60.31% at 16, 60.91% at 17, 60.19% at 22). Genome-wide polygenic scores (GPS) were calculated using LDpred software, described in Supplementary information 3. GPS were regressed on the first 10 principal components (PCs) of ancestry, batch, and chip. Standardized residuals were used in the analyses. The 1st and 2nd PCs are plotted in Supplementary figure 1. GPS available to TEDS collaborators are based on 3 different fractions (f) of causal markers (1, 0.3, 0.01), utilized here to identify the most predictive fractions (Supplementary information 4). GPS decile plots are shown in Supplementary figures 2–3.

**Statistical Analyses**

CFA was used to assess the latent structure of negative symptoms. At each age, 4 theory-based models and a model derived through EFA were tested (Supplementary information 5). By virtue of using data from twins, we utilized the 2 phenotypic “subsamples” to run the models in the “main” sample (comprised of one randomly selected individual per pair) and in the co-twin sample, as a pseudoreplication. Absolute fit of the models was assessed using the comparative fit index (CFI), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA) and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR, Supplementary information 6). CFI values >0.95, RMSEA values <0.06 and SRMR values <0.08 indicated acceptable fit. Relative fit was assessed using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), with lower values indicating better fit. Difference values >2 suggest positive evidence and difference values >10 suggest very strong evidence. Akaike’s Information Criterion (AIC) was referred to where BIC difference values were <2, with lower values indicating better fit and difference values >2 suggesting strong evidence.

Longitudinal measurement invariance of the factor structure between ages was tested in the subsamples separately (Supplementary information 7). Acceptable fit of each model (configural, metric, scalar, strict) was required to test sequential models. Negligible change in fit between models was required in order to conclude the level of invariance, specifically, CFI < 0.01, RMSEA < 0.015, and SRMR < 0.03. Measurement invariance of the factor structure was assessed between the main and co-twin samples, with adjusted SE to account for nonindependence of the data.

Parent response rates and demographics are shown in Supplementary table 3. Proportions of item-level data present are shown in Supplementary table 4. Data was assumed missing at random. Full information maximum likelihood (FIML) estimation with Huber-White robust SE and Yuan Bentler adjusted test statistic to correct for multivariate non-normality of the indicators was used (MLR). Data were modeled as continuous. In response to reviewer comments, data were also modeled as categorical using diagonally weighted least squares estimation with robust SE (WLSMV). Cross-sectional model-fitting was conducted using lavaan in R (version 3.6.2). Longitudinal measurement invariance and categorical models were run in Mplus (version 8.4).

GPS associations with negative symptoms were tested using linear regressions using data from both unrelated individuals (~60%) and related individuals (~40%) with adjusted SE, using MLR in lavaan. Subdomain mean scores at each age were first regressed separately on MDD and schizophrenia GPS at each f. The False Discovery Rate (FDR) method was used to correct for multiple testing at q < .05 (Supplementary information 4). Equality of the standardized regression coefficients was tested using the lavTestWald function. For each subdomain at each age, the most predictive f were used in multiple regressions with MDD and schizophrenia GPS as joint predictors.

**Results**

**CFA**

The 5-factor model had the best standalone fit at all ages in the main sample (CFI >= 0.99, RMSEA <=
0.06, SRMR <= 0.02; Table 1). At 16 and 22, BIC values were lower to a magnitude >100 for the 5-factor model compared to the next best fitting models (4-factor EFA and 5-factor hierarchical). At 17, the 5-factor model slightly outperformed the 4-factor EFA model in terms of RMSEA and SRMR, though CFI and BIC values were indistinguishable. The difference in AIC (>2) indicated better fit of the 5-factor model. A 5-factor model also fit the data best at all ages in the co-twin sample (Supplementary Table 5). Strict measurement invariance of the 5-factor structure between the subsamples at each age was found (Supplementary Tables 6–8). Superior fit of the 5-factor model at each age was also found for the categorical models (Supplementary Table 9).

**Latent Factors**

Parameter estimates are reported from the 5-factor model at each age in Supplementary tables 10–12. Latent factors were defined as flat affect, alogia, avolition, anhedonia, and asociality. Correlations between latent factors were moderate to high (.33 to .82). At each age, the highest cross-factor correlations were between flat affect and alogia. For factors with >1 indicator, standardized factor loadings were 0.61–0.89 across ages. Explained variance in the items by the factors was 37.21–79.21%. Total variance explained by the factors across items was 62.50% at age 16, 67.16% at age 17, and 56% at 22 (Supplementary Table 13). Figure 1 depicts the 5-factor model at each age.

**Longitudinal Measurement Invariance**

Strict invariance (constrained factor loadings, item intercepts, and residual variances) led to an unacceptable CFI change >0.01 (Supplementary Table 14). Free estimation of the item 2 parameters (“my child seems emotionally ‘flat’”) resulted in an acceptable CFI change and overall fit (CFI = 0.98, RMSEA = 0.02, 90% CI 0.019, 0.022, SRMR = 0.026). This same pattern of partial strict invariance was observed in the co-twin sample (Supplementary Table 15).

**Associations Between GPSs and Subdomains**

Supplementary Tables 16–17 show the linear regression results from each GPS f. Results for the most predictive f are shown in Table 2. Avolition showed the greatest number of significant associations across GPSs compared to the other subdomains. MDD GPS significantly predicted 4 of the 5 subdomains (β = 0.041–0.084) at q < .05. Alogia was the only subdomain not associated with MDD GPS. The flat affect and asociality associations were not significant at 17. All associations remained significant with schizophrenia GPS as a joint predictor, except for anhedonia at 17 (Supplementary Table 18). When Beta values from the MDD GPS regressions were compared (Supplementary Table 19), associations were significantly stronger between MDD GPS and avolition, anhedonia, and asociality, than between MDD GPS and alogia (P = .008–.029), though some differences were not significant at 17.

**Table 1. Confirmatory Factor Analysis of Negative Symptoms: Model Fit Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Log-likelihood</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
<th>χ² value (df)</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>RMSEA [90% CI]</th>
<th>SRMR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-factor model</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-28 955.59</td>
<td>57 959.18</td>
<td>58 115.47</td>
<td>1378.97 (20), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.18 [0.17, 0.19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-factor model</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-27 993.87</td>
<td>56 037.73</td>
<td>56 200.53</td>
<td>547.37 (19), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.12 [0.11, 0.12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-factor model</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-27 479.64</td>
<td>55 019.27</td>
<td>55 214.63</td>
<td>115.81 (14), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.06 [0.05, 0.07]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-factor model</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-27 382.81</td>
<td>54 829.63</td>
<td>55 038.01</td>
<td>31.48 (12), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.03 [0.02, 0.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H-factor model</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-27 509.06</td>
<td>55 074.12</td>
<td>55 256.46</td>
<td>139.67 (16), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.06 [0.05, 0.07]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-factor model</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-9753.86</td>
<td>19 555.72</td>
<td>19 682.73</td>
<td>444.50 (20), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.17 [0.15, 0.18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-factor model</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-9463.35</td>
<td>18 976.70</td>
<td>19 109.01</td>
<td>148.52 (19), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.10 [0.08, 0.11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-factor model</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-9333.55</td>
<td>18 727.11</td>
<td>18 885.88</td>
<td>16.75 (14), P = .27</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.02 [0.00, 0.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-factor model</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-9325.68</td>
<td>18 715.35</td>
<td>18 844.71</td>
<td>8.40 (12), P = .75</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00 [0.00, 0.03]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H-factor model</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-9336.36</td>
<td>18 728.73</td>
<td>18 876.91</td>
<td>19.59 (16), P = .24</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.02 [0.00, 0.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>22 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-factor model</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-34 446.79</td>
<td>68 941.58</td>
<td>69 098.84</td>
<td>940.15 (20), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.14 [0.13, 0.15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-factor model</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-33 945.17</td>
<td>67 940.34</td>
<td>68 104.15</td>
<td>480.38 (19), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.10 [0.09, 0.11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-factor model</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-33 658.92</td>
<td>67 375.84</td>
<td>67 565.86</td>
<td>217.03 (15), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.07 [0.06, 0.08]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-factor model</strong></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-33 554.72</td>
<td>67 173.44</td>
<td>67 383.11</td>
<td>110.13 (12), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.06 [0.05, 0.07]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H-factor model</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-33 633.77</td>
<td>67 323.54</td>
<td>67 507.01</td>
<td>185.89 (16), P &lt; .001</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.07 [0.06, 0.07]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: N age 16 = 4974; N age 17 = 1469; N age 22 = 5179. Robust maximum likelihood estimation (MLR). 5H-factor model, 5-factor hierarchical model; AIC, Akaike's Information Criterion; BIC, Bayesian Information Criterion; χ², chi-square value; CFI, comparative fit index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; SRMR, standardized root mean square residual. Baseline models: At 16, χ² (28) = 5626.51, P < .001. At 17, χ² (28) = 2643.21, P < .001. At 22, χ² (28) = 6163.17, P < .001. Bold typeset represents best fitting model at each age.*
Significant associations were observed between schizophrenia GPS and avolition at 17 ($\beta = 0.058$), and flat affect at 16 ($\beta = 0.037$) at $q < .05$ (table 2). When Beta values from the regressions were compared (Supplementary table 19), the association with avolition was significantly stronger than the association with asociality at 17 ($P = .018$), and the association with flat affect was significantly stronger than the association with asociality at 16 and 17 ($P = .035–.042$). The significant associations with schizophrenia GPS did not remain significant with MDD GPS as a joint predictor (Supplementary table 18).

**Discussion**

Confirmatory factor analysis was used to assess the latent structure of negative symptoms in the community in adolescence and emerging adulthood. A 5-factor model of flat affect, alogia, avolition, anhedonia, and asociality was found to fit the data best at all ages in relation to 3 other competing theory-based models and a model derived through EFA. We also found longitudinal measurement invariance of the 5-factor structure. As such, the current findings suggest that the latent structure of...
population-reported negative symptoms in adolescence and emerging adulthood comprises 5 dimensions and that this structure endures across time. They further suggest that the 5-factor conceptualization of negative symptoms that is empirically supported within clinical and high-risk domains generalizes beyond schizophrenia and clinical help-seeking. We found that avolition was most consistently associated with GPS for MDD and schizophrenia, in support of our hypothesis, and alogia was least associated.

The results from this study have several implications. First, they suggest that the underlying structure of negative symptoms that appears to be consistent across different stages of psychotic illness also extends to clinical populations. The current findings of inadequate fit for the 2-factor model and good fit of the 5-factor hierarchical model are further in-line with findings from clinical and high-risk samples. Previous work that has found invariance in the 5-factor structure between high-risk and first-episode psychosis samples has demonstrated that the 5-factor conceptualization is consistent across the early stages of psychotic illness. Future work will undoubtedly seek to merge data from samples at early and chronic stages of illness and the current results lend initial support to further including community samples in such analyses. Identifying aspects of continuity or discontinuity between nonclinical, prodromal, and clinical negative symptoms may contribute to delineating the pathways involved in their development. Negative symptoms show etiological continuity across a spectrum of severity and there is evidence for some of the same genetic and environmental influences on psychotic disorders and related dimensional traits in the community. Large community samples are essential to understand the early manifestation of negative symptoms prior to illness onset and without ascertainment biases and treatment confounds inherent in clinical samples.

Second, longitudinal measurement invariance of the 5-factor structure in the general population from late adolescence to emerging adulthood suggests that the identified factor structure is not specific to a developmental age nor the result of occasion-specific properties of the measurement instrument. Collectively, these results further corroborate findings from the clinical literature that a 5-factor conceptualization of negative symptoms appears to be an empirically robust representation of the construct.

The results are the first to show associations between polygenic risk for MDD in adulthood, and avolition, flat affect, anhedonia and asociality in adolescence and emerging adulthood ($\beta = 0.041 - 0.084$), and between polygenic risk for schizophrenia in adulthood, and avolition and flat affect in adolescence ($\beta = 0.037 - 0.058$). The finding that avolition showed the greatest number of associations with the GPSs compared to the other subdomains may suggest that genetic vulnerability to MDD and schizophrenia could manifest particularly as avolition. The observed absence of association between MDD GPS and alogia may further provide support to suggestions that alogia may be a distinguishing feature of negative symptoms that is separable from depressive symptoms. However, the lack of association between schizophrenia GPS and alogia warrants further investigation. In this context, future work should ascertain whether associations between schizophrenia GPS and alogia are found at other ages across the lifespan in the general population, or whether polygenic risk for schizophrenia manifests as alogia only in clinical populations, if at all.

As well as being subdomains of the negative symptoms construct within schizophrenia, some subdomains are also core symptoms of MDD. This phenotypic overlap of symptoms, the genetic overlap between MDD and schizophrenia, and the high occurrence (40–80%) of depression in first-episode psychosis and schizophrenia could be considered a challenge for understanding the etiology of negative symptoms. However, an alternative approach is to view negative symptoms within a broader, hierarchical framework of psychopathology such as the Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP) system, to harness the co-occurrence of these and other symptoms and traits in order to delineate etiology at multiple levels. Together with a research framework such as the NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) that seeks to understand transdiagnostic psychological processes, this is likely to be an important complement to the extant negative symptoms CFA literature in the pursuit of understanding the etiology of general and specific factors influencing both distinct and transdiagnostic symptoms.

Cumulative findings from across high-risk, clinical, and now, nonclinical domains, converge to suggest that a bifurcated conceptualization of the negative symptoms construct, as reflected in the current DSM, does not adequately capture its granularity. The genetic results presented here offer suggestive evidence to support this assertion. In the context of previous findings that have found associations between the GPSs and total negative symptoms, our results suggest that the GPSs exert symptom-level influence. The multiple-predictor GPS results add to our understanding of how MDD and schizophrenia play unique roles in influencing specific negative symptoms dimensions. Thus, our findings add to the recent shift in focus towards identifying external correlates of the 5 specific dimensions. They also highlight that the manifestation of polygenic liability to these psychiatric disorders may be both age-, or life stage-specific (e.g., schizophrenia GPS associations were observed in adolescence but not at age 22) as well as pervasive (i.e., MDD GPS was associated with avolition and anhedonia at all ages).

Several methodological considerations of the current study should be highlighted. Though we demonstrated
pseudoreplication of the 5-factor structure, we highlight the need for replication in independent community samples and with other measures. The 5-factor model in the current study included anhedonia and asociality as single-item indicators. The use of single-item indicators in structural equation models continues to be debated,\textsuperscript{94,95} however, there is considerable support for their use,\textsuperscript{96-100} and evidence for a 5-factor structure has been found in models of negative symptoms both with and without single-item indicators in clinical samples.\textsuperscript{29} While it was a strength to employ parent reports and have a very large community sample, it was not feasible to collect an interview-based assessment of negative symptoms, which may have added further depth and breadth to the symptom information. Genotype data were available for approximately 60% of the sample with negative symptoms data, and the age 17 subsample was smaller than at ages 16 and 22, with only families already responding at 16 invited to participate. It is possible that parents’ time spent with their children changed across ages 16 to 22 years, and this should be considered when interpreting our results.

The 5-factor structure of negative symptoms that has been found in clinical samples also appears to be present in young people in the community. We also found dimensional associations with polygenic liability for MDD and schizophrenia, except for alogia and particularly for avolition. Our findings suggest that research into negative symptoms at the subdomain-level in the community may have the potential to inform endeavors to delineate negative symptoms beyond general population samples, both within and across diagnostic boundaries.
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